

Library Reference 2.7.2

Progress towards water quality improvement: Chlorophyll-a. AMP 2009 Annual Report.
(Narrative Standard, Assessment Measure)

AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT GOAL

Reduction in average and peak algal biomass, and frequency and duration of bloom conditions as a result of reduced phosphorus loading from Metro, to achieve desired uses of the lake for water contact recreation, aesthetics and aquatic life protection.

Hypotheses to be tested:	Status:															
Metro improvements and watershed phosphorus load reductions result in lower chlorophyll-a concentrations in the lake.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2005 – HRFS phosphorus treatment came on-line; reduced percent contribution of phosphorus to lake from 49% to 24%. • Chlorophyll-a concentrations have been below the nuisance bloom threshold (30 µg/l) during the summers since 2005. 															
Current Conditions with Historical Comparison																
Major Sources	Internal algal production based on nutrients (phosphorus is limiting as of late 1990s), light, and temperature.															
Upper Waters and Photic Zone Concentrations <i>(Summer= June 1 – September 30, Annual = January 1 – December 31; includes samples designated as “South”, “Photic”, “Epi”, “UML”, or “Tube”, at depths ranging from 0 to 8 meters)</i>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Time Period</u></th> <th><u>Summer Average (µg/l) with Standard Deviation</u></th> <th><u>Annual Maximum (µg/l) with Date Observed</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1990-1997:</td> <td>23.4 (14.6)</td> <td>716.4 (07/11/1990)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1998-2004:</td> <td>24.3 (4.66)</td> <td>129.2 (04/30/2001)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2005-2008:</td> <td>11.2 (4.91)</td> <td>35.8 (03/28/2006)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2009:</td> <td>5.87</td> <td>14.4 (11/17/2009)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Time Period</u>	<u>Summer Average (µg/l) with Standard Deviation</u>	<u>Annual Maximum (µg/l) with Date Observed</u>	1990-1997:	23.4 (14.6)	716.4 (07/11/1990)	1998-2004:	24.3 (4.66)	129.2 (04/30/2001)	2005-2008:	11.2 (4.91)	35.8 (03/28/2006)	2009:	5.87	14.4 (11/17/2009)
<u>Time Period</u>	<u>Summer Average (µg/l) with Standard Deviation</u>	<u>Annual Maximum (µg/l) with Date Observed</u>														
1990-1997:	23.4 (14.6)	716.4 (07/11/1990)														
1998-2004:	24.3 (4.66)	129.2 (04/30/2001)														
2005-2008:	11.2 (4.91)	35.8 (03/28/2006)														
2009:	5.87	14.4 (11/17/2009)														
Compliance with NYS AWQS and Guidance Value <i>(No NY State standard or guidance value for chlorophyll-a. Narrative P standard references algal abundance at nuisance levels. Federal guidance based on ecoregion and reference lakes)</i>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Time Period</u></th> <th><u>Percent exceeding 15 µg/l (Perceived impairment)</u></th> <th><u>Percent exceeding 30 µg/l (Nuisance bloom)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1990-1997:</td> <td>49%</td> <td>26%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1998-2004:</td> <td>65%</td> <td>31%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2005-2008:</td> <td>24%</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2009:</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Time Period</u>	<u>Percent exceeding 15 µg/l (Perceived impairment)</u>	<u>Percent exceeding 30 µg/l (Nuisance bloom)</u>	1990-1997:	49%	26%	1998-2004:	65%	31%	2005-2008:	24%	0%	2009:	0%	0%
<u>Time Period</u>	<u>Percent exceeding 15 µg/l (Perceived impairment)</u>	<u>Percent exceeding 30 µg/l (Nuisance bloom)</u>														
1990-1997:	49%	26%														
1998-2004:	65%	31%														
2005-2008:	24%	0%														
2009:	0%	0%														
Factors Affecting Compliance	Nutrients, light, temperature, grazing pressure, species composition															
Planned Load Reductions (1998 – 2012)																
Metro SPDES Permit Requirement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No SPDES requirement for chlorophyll-a • Staged reduction in total phosphorus load from Metro • Staged implementation of CSO and watershed projects to reduce phosphorus loading from nonpoint sources 															
Monitoring and Assessment Program																
Lake Monitoring <i>(Annual County monitoring program)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weekly measurements at South Deep Station, May–September <i>Collected as depth-integrated tube samples through the UML of the water column and as photic zone (2x Secchi depth,) composites. The photic zone composites were discontinued in 2008. The UML depth is determined by the temperature profile; should no distinct thermocline be present, 0, 3, 6 meters in depth is the UML default.</i> 															
Related Biological Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phytoplankton community measurements biweekly April-November • Zooplankton community measurements biweekly April-November • Alewife monitoring by hydroacoustics 															
Tools for Decision Making																
Model	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Onondaga Lake Water Quality Model (under development by QEA,LLC) • Mass balance TP framework and linked empirical eutrophication model (William Walker) 															
TMDL Allocations	Phosphorus - NYSDEC Phase I TMDL 8/27/97; Phase II TMDL by December 2011															