

2015 Annual Report

ONONDAGA LAKE AMBIENT MONITORING PROGRAM

Final, February 2017



Onondaga County

Joanne M. Mahoney, County Executive • Tom Rhoads, P.E., Commissioner

Save the Rain 

Onondaga County Department of
WATER
ENVIRONMENT
PROTECTION 

Onondaga County Department of Water Environment Protection

VISION

To be a respected leader in wastewater treatment, stormwater management, and the protection of our environment using state-of-the-art, innovative technologies and sound scientific principles as our guide.

MISSION

To protect and improve the water environment of Onondaga County in a cost-effective manner ensuring the health and sustainability of our community and economy.

CORE VALUES

Excellence
Teamwork
Honesty
Innovation
Cost-Effectiveness
Safety



Save the Rain

The "Save the Rain" logo graphic, which consists of three blue water droplets of varying sizes above a green sprout with two leaves.

<http://www.savetherain.us>

ONONDAGA LAKE AMBIENT MONITORING PROGRAM 2015 ANNUAL REPORT

ONONDAGA COUNTY, NEW YORK

Final, February 2017

Prepared for

ONONDAGA COUNTY, NEW YORK

Prepared by

Upstate Freshwater Institute
Syracuse, NY

EcoLogic
Cazenovia, NY

Anchor QEA, LLC
Liverpool, NY

**Onondaga County Department of Water
Environment Protection**
Syracuse, NY

Lars Rudstam, Ph.D.
Cornell Biological Field Station
Bridgeport, NY

Key Features of this Report

The 2015 report is distributed as an electronic file in portable document format (PDF), which can be opened using Adobe Reader. Key results and supporting tables and graphics are included in the main document, with links to additional tables, technical reports, and graphics in electronic appendices. The report and supporting files are available on the Onondaga County web site <http://www.ongov.net/wep>. Throughout the document the reader will find hyperlinks marked in bright blue, which provide easy access to other sections of the report (e.g., figures, tables) as well as external links to web pages and email addresses.


Users navigate to external resources by simply left-clicking on the hyperlink. For web links, the users default web browser will load and navigate to the selected web site. When finished viewing the web site, the user simply closes the web browser leaving the report open at the previous location. When the user first selects a web link, a security warning menu will appear: *“Do you trust (domain name here)? If you trust the site choose Allow. If you do not trust the site choose Block”*. The user will need to select the *Allow* button if they wish to display the web page. Left-clicking on email addresses will cause the users email program to open. After composing an email message, click the *Send* button and the email will be sent and the report will remain open at the previous location. For links to appendices, Adobe Reader will launch and open a copy of the selected appendix in PDF format. When finished viewing the appendix, simply close the PDF window, leaving the main report open at the previous location.

Navigation to and from internal links in the main Report PDF is very simple. The user simply clicks on the hyperlink marked in bright blue to move to the bookmarked position in the document. The user can return to their previous position in the report by selecting the *Previous View* on the *Page Navigation* toolbar, or by pressing the *Alt* key and *left arrow* key at the same time. Users can follow the instructions below to activate the *Page Navigation* toolbar in Adobe Reader.

To turn on the *Page Navigation* toolbar simply select the *Show/Hide* option on the *View* menu in Adobe Reader. Select the *Toolbar Items* option of the *Show/Hide* menu. Next select the *Page Navigation* option from the *Toolbar Item* menu. Finally, select *Show All Page Navigation Tools* from the *Page Navigation* menu. These steps are captured below in the picture of the report opened in Adobe Reader.



The following Page Navigation tool bar will appear at the top of the Adobe reader directly under the menu bar and existing tool bars.



The *Previous View* button on the *Page Navigation* toolbar is shown above. Alternatively, users can return to their previous location in the report by pressing the *Alt* key and *left arrow* key at the same time.

While each hyperlink has been checked, it is possible that some features will not be enabled on every computer's operating system. Feedback on the functionality of the electronic features of the document is welcome. Please contact JeannePowers@ongov.net with comments.

This page intentionally left blank

Table of Contents

KEY FEATURES OF THIS REPORT	iv
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Section 1. Introduction to the AMP	13
1.1 Regulatory Requirements.....	13
1.2 Classification and Designated Best Use.....	13
1.3 AMP Objectives and Design.....	20
1.4 Amended Consent Judgment Milestones	26
1.5 Use of Metrics to Measure and Report Progress	28
Section 2. Onondaga Lake and its Watershed	35
2.1 Watershed Size and Hydrology	35
2.2 Land Use	36
2.3 Morphometry	38
Section 3. Onondaga County Actions and Progress with Related Initiatives	41
3.1 Onondaga County Projects and Milestones	41
3.2 Progress with Related Initiatives	55
Section 4. Tributary Water Quality: 2015 Results and Long-Term Trends	57
4.1 Tributary Monitoring Program	57
4.2 Meteorological Drivers and Streamflow	58
4.3 Loading Estimates.....	62
4.3.1 Methodology	62
4.3.2 Results for Key Constituents	62
4.3.3 Phosphorus Loading and Bioavailability.....	68
4.4 Metro Performance	72
4.5 Prohibited Combined and Sanitary Sewer Overflows	78
4.6 Compliance with Ambient Water Quality Standards	79
4.7 Long-Term Trends.....	85
4.8 Post Construction Compliance Monitoring Program (PCCM)	91
4.8.1 Overview of the PCCM Program.....	91
4.8.2 The 2015 PCCM Program	92
4.8.3 2015 PCCM Results.....	96
4.8.3.1 PCCM Event 1 Onondaga Creek – May 18, 2015	96
4.8.3.2 PCCM Event 1 Harbor Brook – June 8, 2015	102

4.8.3.3 Summary of 2015 PCCM Results	106
4.9 Microbial Source Trackdown Study 2015.....	108
4.10 2015 Macroinvertebrate Monitoring	111
Section 5. Onondaga Lake Water Quality: 2015 Results and Trends.....	117
5.1 Onondaga Lake Sampling Program	117
5.2 Trophic State.....	118
5.2.1 Phosphorus.....	119
5.2.2 Chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	121
5.2.3 Secchi Disk Transparency	125
5.2.4 Trends in Trophic State	127
5.2.5 Comparisons to Other Regional Lakes	129
5.3 Dissolved Oxygen.....	131
5.4 Ammonia, Nitrite, and Nitrate.....	134
5.5 Deep Water Conditions	138
5.6 Compliance with AWQS.....	140
5.7 Recreational Water Quality	143
5.8 Long-Term Trends in Water Quality	148
5.8.1 10–Year Water Quality Trends: 2006–2015.....	148
5.8.2 Drivers of Long-term Phosphorus Trends	150
5.8.3 Application of Empirical Models to Explain Contemporary Dynamics in Total Phosphorus and Chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	152
5.8.4 N to P Ratio.....	153
Section 6. Biology and Food Web: 2015 Results and Trends.....	155
6.1 Introduction.....	155
6.2 Primary Producers	155
6.2.1 Phytoplankton	155
6.2.2 Macrophytes.....	162
6.3 Zooplankton.....	162
6.4 Dreissenid Mussels	166
6.5 Fish.....	169
6.5.1 Introduction.....	169
6.5.2 Early Life Stages.....	170
6.5.3 The Adult Fish Community	176
6.5.4 Physical Abnormalities	185
6.5.5 Cool-water and Cold-water Fish Habitat.....	187
6.6 Integrated Assessment of the Food Web	190
6.6.1 Introduction.....	190

6.6.2 Influence of Alewives, Dreissenid Mussels, and Invasive Fish	190
6.6.3 Macrophyte Coverage and Implications for the Fishery.....	194
Section 7. .Literature Cited	195
List of Acronyms	201
Glossary of Terms	203

Appendices

A. Progress Toward Water Quality Improvement Summary Tables

- A-01. Phosphorus 2015 Progress Toward Improvement
- A-02. Chlorophyll-*a* 2015 Progress Toward Improvement
- A-03. Secchi Disk 2015 Progress Toward Improvement
- A-04. Dissolved Oxygen 2015 Progress Toward Improvement
- A-05. Ammonia 2015 Progress Toward Improvement
- A-06. Nitrite 2015 Progress Toward Improvement
- A-07. Bacteria 2015 Progress Toward Improvement
- A-08. Phytoplankton 2015 Progress Toward Improvement
- A-09. Macrophytes 2015 Progress Toward Improvement
- A-10. Zooplankton 2015 Progress Toward Improvement
- A-11. Fish 2015 Progress Toward Improvement

B. Quality Assurance

- B-01. Data Quality Review Summary 2015
- B-02. Field Audit Tributary Water Quality 2015
- B-03. Field Audit Lake Water Quality 2015
- B-04. Environmental Canada Phosphorus and Mercury Report 2015

C. Metro Performance

- C-01. Secondary Bypass Volumes 2015
- C-02. Tertiary Bypass Volumes 2015
- C-03. Headworks Bypass 2015

- C-04. SPDES Exceedance 2015
- C-05. CSO Summary 2015
- C-06. SSO Summary 2015
- C-07. Metro SPDES Permit 2014

D. Tributary Water Quality and Loading

- D-01. Time Trends for all Tributary Water Quality 2006 - 2015
- D-02. Loading 2015
- D-03. Historic Loading 1996 - 2015
- D-04. Flow Weighted Average 2015
- D-05. Monthly Loads 2007 - 2015
- D-06. Loading Error Estimates 2015
- D-07. Six PCCM Reports 2015
- D-08. Macroinvertebrates Report 2015

E. Onondaga Lake Water Quality

- E-01. Time Trends for all Lake Water Quality 2006 - 2015
- E-02. North and South Comparison 1999 - 2015
- E-03. Fecal Coliform and Precipitation 2015
- E-04. Attainment of Designated Uses in Onondaga Lake

F. Biology

- F-01. Phytoplankton and Zooplankton Report 2015
- F-02. Dreissenid Mussel Report 2015
- F-03. Largemouth Bass Age and Growth Report 2015
- F-04. Alewife Report 2015

G. Bibliography

- G-01. Onondaga Lake Bibliography

H. Work Plans

- H-01. 2014-2018 Ambient Monitoring Program: 5 Year Work Plan
- H-02. 2015 Ambient Monitoring Program: Sampling Work Plan

List of Tables

Table 1-1.	Summary of regulatory classification of Onondaga Lake and streams within the Onondaga Lake watershed.	15
Table 1-2.	Listing of water quality impairments in Onondaga Lake, its tributaries, and Seneca River.....	17
Table 1-3.	Overview of the 2015 AMP parameters and their uses.	24
Table 1-4.	Metro compliance schedule for ammonia-N and total phosphorus.....	26
Table 1-5.	CSO capture compliance schedule.....	27
Table 1-6.	Summary of metrics, Onondaga Lake 2015.....	29
Table 2-1.	Morphologic characteristics of Onondaga Lake.	39
Table 3-1.	Summary (timeline) of significant milestones, pollution abatement actions, lake water quality status, and biological status.	43
Table 3-2.	ACJ and additional gray infrastructure milestones, schedules, and compliance status.....	51
Table 3-3.	Additional gray infrastructure projects and implementation schedules.	52
Table 4-1.	Annual loading estimates for selected water quality constituents, 2015.....	64
Table 4-2.	Percent annual loading contribution by gauged inflow in 2015.	65
Table 4-3.	Average annual flow and flow-weighted average concentrations for selected constituents in Onondaga Lake tributaries, 2015.....	67
Table 4-4.	A comparison of total phosphorus (TP) loading and flow-weighted concentrations for pre-ACJ (1990–1998) and post-Actiflo® (2007–2015) periods.	71
Table 4-5.	Total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) loading and flow-weighted concentrations for the post-Actiflo® (2007–2015) period.....	71
Table 4-6.	A comparison of soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) loading and flow-weighted concentrations for pre-ACJ (1990–1998) and post-Actiflo® (2007–2015) periods.	72
Table 4-7.	Annual Metro discharge volumes for the fully treated effluent and bypasses, 2010–2015.	78
Table 4-8.	Number and volume of prohibited combined sewer overflows (CSOs) and sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) within the Onondaga Lake watershed during 2010–2015.	79

Table 4-9.	Summary of tributary and outflow compliance (percent of observations in compliance) with ambient water quality standards (AWQS), 2015.	80
Table 4-10.	Ten-year (2006–2015) trends in tributary concentrations, from application of two-tailed Seasonal Kendall tests adjusted for serial correlation.	88
Table 4-11.	Ten-year (2006–2015) trends in tributary loading, from linear regression of annual load versus time.	90
Table 5-1.	Percent of Onondaga Lake ammonia-N measurements in compliance with ambient water quality standards, 1998–2015.	137
Table 5-2.	Percentage of measurements in compliance with ambient water quality standards (AWQS) and guidance values in the upper and lower waters of Onondaga Lake at South Deep in 2015.	141
Table 5-3.	New York State water quality standards for dissolved oxygen.	143
Table 5-4.	Summary of statistically significant trends in lake concentrations during the 2006 to 2015 period, according to two-tailed Seasonal Kendall tests that account for serial correlation.	148
Table 6-1.	Scientific and common names for major phytoplankton groups.	158
Table 6-2.	Fish species identified in Onondaga Lake, 2000–2015 (total catch, all gear types, and all life stages).	170
Table 6-3.	Yearly trap-net (May-October) captures 2008-2015.	183

List of Figures

Figure 1-1.	Tributary and lake regulatory classifications (6 NYCRR) and subwatershed boundaries.	16
Figure 1-2.	Map of AMP monitoring locations in Onondaga Lake.....	22
Figure 1-3.	Map of AMP tributary monitoring locations.	23
Figure 2-1.	Annual average inflows (gauged and ungauged) to Onondaga Lake, 1990–2015.	35
Figure 2-2.	Land cover classification map.	37
Figure 2-3.	Bathymetric map of Onondaga Lake, with tributaries and primary sampling locations (South Deep, North Deep) identified.	40
Figure 3-1.	Map of CSO areas.....	50
Figure 4-1.	Monthly precipitation in 2015 compared to the long-term (1985–2014) average.....	58
Figure 4-2.	Distribution of the annual average of daily average flows for Onondaga Creek at Spencer Street, 1971–2015.	59
Figure 4-3.	Cumulative probability plot of annual average flows for Onondaga Creek at Spencer Street, 1971–2015.	59
Figure 4-4.	Hydrographs showing USGS tributary flows in 2015 compared with the 30-year average (1985–2014) flow for (a) Onondaga Creek, (b) Ninemile Creek, (c) Ley Creek, (d) Harbor Brook, and (e) daily precipitation at Metro.	61
Figure 4-5.	Percent contributions to 2015 total load to Onondaga Lake for (a) total phosphorus and (b) fecal coliform bacteria.....	65
Figure 4-6.	Daily average total phosphorus (TP) loading from the watershed versus annual precipitation for the 1990–2015 period.	68
Figure 4-7.	Monthly phosphorus loading to Onondaga Lake from Metro and watershed sources in 2015: (a) total phosphorus (TP) and (b) total dissolved phosphorus (TDP).....	69
Figure 4-8.	Time plot of the annual daily average Metro (outfalls 001+002) and tributary ammonia-N loading (metric tons per year) to Onondaga Lake, 1990–2015.....	73
Figure 4-9.	Contributions of Metro (outfalls 001+002) and the watershed to the total annual input of ammonia-N to Onondaga Lake, average for 1990–2004 compared to the average for 2007–2015.....	73

Figure 4-10.	Metro effluent monthly average ammonia-N concentrations compared to permit limits for 2014 and 2015.	74
Figure 4-11.	Time plot of the annual daily average Metro (outfalls 001+002) total phosphorus (TP) loading (metric tons per year) to Onondaga Lake, 1990–2015.	75
Figure 4-12.	Contributions of Metro (outfalls 001+002) and the watershed to the annual input of total phosphorus to Onondaga Lake, average for 1990–2004 compared to the average of 2007–2015.....	75
Figure 4-13.	Metro effluent total phosphorus concentrations compared to permit limits for the 2006–2015 interval.....	76
Figure 4-14.	Time series of fecal coliform concentrations during wet weather and dry weather during 2015: (a) Onondaga Creek at Dorwin Ave., (b) Onondaga Creek at Kirkpatrick St., (c) Harbor Brook at Velasko Rd., (d) Harbor Brook at Hiawatha Blvd., and (e) Ley Creek at Park St.....	83
Figure 4-15.	Fecal coliform concentrations measured at Onondaga Creek stations (April–October 2010–2015).....	84
Figure 4-16.	Fecal coliform concentrations measured at Harbor Brook stations (April–October, 2010–2015).....	84
Figure 4-17.	Fecal coliform concentrations measured at Ley Creek, (April–October, 2010–2015).	85
Figure 4-18.	Annual loading of selected constituents to Onondaga Lake from Metro and watershed sources, 1991–2015: (a) total phosphorus (TP), (b) total dissolved phosphorus (TDP), (c) ammonia-N (NH ₃ -N), (d) nitrate (NO ₃ -N), and (e) fecal coliform (FC) bacteria.	86
Figure 4-19.	PCCM sampling locations and combined sewage outfalls on Onondaga Creek.	94
Figure 4-20.	PCCM sampling locations and combined sewage outfalls on Harbor Brook.	95
Figure 4-21.	Cumulative and hourly rainfall data from the Metro rain gauge, 15-minute flow data from Onondaga Creek at Dorwin Ave. and Spencer St., and fecal coliform and turbidity results for the May 18, 2015 PCCM storm event.	98
Figure 4-22.	Cumulative and hourly rainfall data from the Metro rain gauge, 15-minute flow data from Onondaga Creek at Dorwin Ave. and Spencer St., and measured CSO discharges for the May 18, 2015 PCCM storm event.	101
Figure 4-23.	Cumulative and 5 minute rainfall data from the Metro rain gauge, 15-minute flow data from Harbor Brook at Velasko Rd. and Hiawatha Blvd., and fecal coliform and turbidity results for the June 8, 2015 PCCM storm event.....	103
Figure 4-24.	Cumulative and 5 minute rainfall from the Metro rain gauge, 15-minute flow data from Harbor Brook at Velasko Rd. and Hiawatha Blvd., and measured CSO discharges for the June 8, 2015 PCCM storm event.....	105

Figure 4-25.	Fecal coliform concentrations in the influent to the Clinton and Lower Harbor Brook Storage Facilities and the Midland RTF during 2015.....	107
Figure 4-26.	NYSDEC water quality scores of Onondaga Creek sites since 2000. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.	114
Figure 4-27.	NYSDEC water quality scores of Ley Creek sites since 2000. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.	114
Figure 4-28.	NYSDEC water quality scores of Harbor Brook sites since 2000. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.	115
Figure 5-1.	Time series of total phosphorus (TP), total dissolved phosphorus (TDP), and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentrations in the upper waters (0-3 meters) of Onondaga Lake during 2015.	120
Figure 5-2.	Summer (June to September) average total phosphorus (TP), total dissolved phosphorus (TDP), and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentrations in the upper waters (0-3 meters) of Onondaga Lake, 1990–2015.....	120
Figure 5-3.	Seasonal time plot of average upper water (0-3 meters) concentrations in Onondaga Lake, 2015: (a) chlorophyll- <i>a</i> , and (b) silica.	121
Figure 5-4.	Summer average (June–September) chlorophyll- <i>a</i> concentrations in the upper waters of Onondaga Lake (South Deep), 1990–2015.....	122
Figure 5-5.	Percent occurrence of summer (June to September) algal blooms in Onondaga Lake evaluated annually for the 1990–2015 period, based on chlorophyll- <i>a</i> measurements taken at South Deep.	123
Figure 5-6.	Relationship between the frequency of major summertime algal blooms at South Deep and the total phosphorus concentration in spring, 2000–2015.....	124
Figure 5-7.	Relationship between summer (June–September) average chlorophyll- <i>a</i> concentrations in the upper waters at South Deep and total phosphorus loading from Metro over the full water year (October–September) for 1999–2015.	124
Figure 5-8.	Secchi disk transparency, Onondaga Lake South Deep, 2015.....	125
Figure 5-9.	Long-term summer median Secchi disk transparency, Onondaga Lake South Deep, 1990–2015 for (a) the summer median value, and (b) the percent Secchi disk observations less than 1.2 meters.....	126
Figure 5-10.	Time series of common trophic state indicators based on summer average (June–September) data, 1998–2015.	128
Figure 5-11.	June to August average total phosphorus (TP) and chlorophyll- <i>a</i> concentrations in Onondaga Lake compared with selected regional lakes.	130

Figure 5-12.	A comparison of summer average (May – September) trophic state metrics in Onondaga Lake and selected regional lakes: (a) total phosphorus concentrations and (b) chlorophyll- <i>a</i> concentrations.	131
Figure 5-13.	Color contour plots of Onondaga Lake in 2015, based on biweekly sensor profiles conducted at South Deep: (a) temperature (°C), (b) dissolved oxygen (mg/L), and (c) specific conductance (µS/cm), (d) chlorophyll- <i>a</i> (µg/L).....	132
Figure 5-14.	Minimum dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in the upper waters (0-4 meter average) of Onondaga Lake during October, annually 1990–2015.....	133
Figure 5-15.	Volume-days of anoxia (dissolved oxygen less than 1 mg/L) and hypoxia (dissolved oxygen less than 4 mg/L), in Onondaga Lake, 1992–2015.....	134
Figure 5-16.	Summer average ammonia-N (NH ₃ -N) concentrations in the upper waters (0-3 meters) of Onondaga Lake, 1990–2015.	135
Figure 5-17.	Summer average concentrations of nitrogen species in the epilimnion and hypolimnion of Onondaga Lake, 1995–2015: (a) ammonia-N, (b) nitrate-N, and (c) nitrite-N.....	136
Figure 5-18.	Time-series of concentration values in the deep waters of Onondaga Lake: (a) 2009 (18 meters) dissolved oxygen (DO), (b) 2009 (hypolimnion composite) nitrate (NO ₃ -N), (c) 2009 (18 meters) soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP), (d) 2015 (18 meters) dissolved oxygen (DO), (e) 2015 (18 meters) nitrate (NO ₃ -N), (f) 2015 (18 meters) soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP).....	139
Figure 5-19.	The maximum mass of total phosphorus (TP) accumulated in the hypolimnion during summer stratification, 1995–2015.....	140
Figure 5-20.	Time series of annual average mercury (Hg) concentrations at the North and South Deep stations of Onondaga Lake, 1999–2015 (a) total Hg at 3 meters, (b) methyl Hg at 3 meters, (c) total Hg at 18 meters, and (d) methyl Hg at 18 meters.	142
Figure 5-21.	The percentage of months in compliance with the water quality standard for fecal coliform bacteria for nearshore stations in Onondaga Lake, April–October: (a) 2008, (b) 2009, (c) 2010, (d) 2011, (e) 2012, (f) 2013, (g) 2014, and (h) 2015.	146
Figure 5-22.	Percentage of nearshore Secchi disk transparency measurements greater than 1.2 meters (4 feet) during June–September: (a) 2008, (b) 2009, (c) 2010, (d) 2011, (e) 2012, (f) 2013, (g) 2014, and (h) 2015.....	147
Figure 5-23.	Evaluation of the relationship between summer (June–September) average total phosphorus (TP) concentration in the upper waters (0–3 meters) of Onondaga Lake and TP loading for the 1999–2015 period.	151

Figure 5-24.	Performance of multiple linear regression models in describing contemporary (2006–2015) interannual variations in trophic state metrics: (a) TP _{epi} , and (b) Chl- <i>a</i> _{epi}	153
Figure 5-25.	Summer average ratio of total nitrogen to total phosphorus (TN:TP, by weight) in the upper waters of Onondaga Lake, 1998–2015. Error bars represent plus and minus 1 standard error.	154
Figure 6-1.	Temporal trend of average annual phytoplankton biomass (April – October) in Onondaga Lake from 1998-2015.	157
Figure 6-2.	Temporal trend of average annual phytoplankton biomass (April – October) in Onondaga Lake during 2014 and 2015.	158
Figure 6-3.	Temporal trends in biomass (upper panel) and proportional biomass (lower panel) of phytoplankton divisions in Onondaga Lake in 2015. Phytoplankton biomass first peaked in May with diatoms, then during a short-lived period with chrysophytes. Biomass was lower through the summer with a slight increase in the fall with mainly chlorophytes and cryptophytes.....	159
Figure 6-4.	Temporal trend of average biomass (April-October) of phytoplankton divisions in Onondaga Lake from 1998-2015.	160
Figure 6-5.	Temporal trend of biovolume of cyanobacteria genera in Onondaga Lake (South station) in 2015.	161
Figure 6-6.	Average biomass of zooplankton (all taxa combined) and the proportion of major taxa in Onondaga Lake from April through October in 1996-2015 (June through October, 2015).	164
Figure 6-7.	Average crustacean zooplankton length (mm).....	165
Figure 6-8.	Time series of <i>Cercopagis pengoi</i> in Onondaga Lake, 1996 to 2015. Data represent the average biomass from standard samples collected at South Deep station from April through October.....	165
Figure 6-9.	Biomass of zebra and quagga mussels in depth zones of Onondaga Lake, 2002-2015. The black lines represent the proportion of quagga mussel in each depth zone.....	168
Figure 6-10.	Relative abundance and catch per unit effort (number of fish per seine sweep) of larval fish in 2015 by stratum and species (fish collection by larval seining).....	172
Figure 6-11.	Relative abundance and catch per unit effort (number of fish per seine sweep) of young-of-year fish in 2015 by stratum and species.	174
Figure 6-12.	Relative abundance and catch per unit effort of juvenile fish in 2015 by stratum and species. Life stage indicated as juvenile during seining (young-of-year and adult excluded).	175

Figure 6-13. Relative abundance and catch per unit effort (fish collected per hour of electrofishing) of littoral adult fish in 2015 by species and stratum (based on "counts" only; counts for all species except for Gizzard Shad and Alewife for which counts and estimates were used). 177

Figure 6-14. Annual average catch rates (number per hour) from fall electrofishing events of Largemouth and Smallmouth Bass combined in Onondaga Lake from 2000 to 2015. 178

Figure 6-15. Trends in catch per unit effort (CPUE) of select fish species captured by electrofishing, 2000–2015. CPUE is based upon number of fish captured per hour..... 180

Figure 6-16. Trends in adult fish Shannon-Weiner diversity (H') and richness, fish captured electrofishing, Onondaga Lake, 2000–2015. 182

Figure 6-17. Relative abundance of fish collected in trap nets from Onondaga Lake by SUNY-ESF, 2008–2015..... 184

Figure 6-18. Percent of adult fish captured during AMP sampling with DELTFM abnormalities. 186

Figure 6-19. Occurrence of lesions and tumors in Brown Bullhead from Onondaga Lake and other regional waters. 186

Figure 6-20. Cold-water fish habitat in Onondaga Lake in 2015 and trends in cold-water habitat availability, 2000–2015. 188

Figure 6-21. Cool-water fish habitat in Onondaga Lake in 2015 and trends in cool-water habitat availability, 2000–2015. 189



View of Onondaga Lake from the Northeast Shore.

Executive Summary

Introduction

Onondaga County has conducted the Onondaga Lake monitoring program annually since 1970 and the Ambient Monitoring Program (AMP) annually since 1998, providing water resource managers, public officials, state and federal regulators, and the entire community with a detailed evaluation of the state of Onondaga Lake and its tributaries. The 2015 AMP Annual Report documents water quality conditions, the status of the biological community during 2015, and the substantial improvements achieved in Onondaga Lake over the long term.

A variety of factors have contributed to recent changes observed in the Onondaga Lake ecosystem. Some of these factors are directly related to lake cleanup efforts, including the significant investment in state-of-the-art wastewater treatment technology and the ongoing efforts to remediate legacy industrial wastes. Biological factors, such as the fluctuating population of the Alewife and its cascading effects on the food web, have also affected water quality in Onondaga Lake. The 2015 Annual Report provides estimated inputs of water and materials (bacteria, sediment, nutrients, and salts) to the lake from its watershed and the Metropolitan Syracuse Wastewater Treatment Plant (Metro). Documenting the response of the lake to changing inputs is a primary focus of the AMP. In addition, the AMP evaluates water quality conditions, compliance with New York State ambient water quality standards (AWQS), and long-term trends. The AMP also tracks species composition and abundance of fish, phytoplankton, zooplankton, benthic invertebrates, aquatic macrophytes, and dreissenid (zebra and quagga) mussels.

Report Format

This report is a scientific summary of the major findings of the AMP in 2015, supported by graphs and tables of current and historic data. This paperless format was developed to advance two objectives: first, to reach a broader audience, and second, to continue to find ways to reduce our environmental footprint through a commitment to green initiatives (for more information on Onondaga County's green initiatives visit <http://www.savetherain.us>). This format was envisioned as a means to enable Onondaga County leaders and citizens to learn about the condition of Onondaga Lake and its watershed. Additional program information is available on the County web site <http://www.ongov.net/wep/we15.html>. Annual reports from prior years are posted at <http://www.ongov.net/wep/we1510.html>.

Regulatory Framework

The 2015 AMP Annual Report has been prepared to comply with a judicial requirement set forth in the 1998 Amended Consent Judgment (ACJ) between Onondaga County, New York State, and the Atlantic States Legal Foundation (ASLF). The ACJ requires upgrades to the County's wastewater collection and treatment infrastructure and an extensive monitoring program (the AMP) to document related environmental improvements. Onondaga County Department of [Water Environment Protection](#) (WEP) is responsible for implementing the AMP and reporting its findings. Links to the ACJ and its amendments are posted on the Onondaga County web site <http://www.ongov.net/wep/we15.html>.

The 2015 AMP results should be considered in the context of two important regulatory milestones reached in 2012. First, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) issued a new State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) Permit for Metro on March 21, 2012. A modification to Metro's SPDES permit (No. NY 002 7081) became effective on June 4, 2014. Second, a [total maximum daily load \(TMDL\)](#) allocation for phosphorus inputs to Onondaga Lake was approved by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on June 29, 2012. Upon TMDL approval, a total phosphorus concentration limit of 0.10 mg/L on a 12-month rolling average basis became effective for Metro's primary outfall (001). In addition, phosphorus loading reductions are to be implemented for other SPDES permits by 1/1/2016, CSOs and Metro outfall 002 by 12/31/2018, agricultural lands by 12/31/2022, and for municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4) areas by 12/31/2025. Phosphorus loading reductions from small farms are voluntary and incentive based.

Every two years NYSDEC Division of Water publishes a List of Impaired Waterbodies, known as the 303(d) list. The 303(d) list was updated in 2016 and includes waterbodies where use attainment is considered to be "impaired" or "precluded". Water quality impairments identified for cyanide in Ley Creek and ammonia-N in Ley Creek and Onondaga Creek in the Draft New York State 2016 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Waters Requiring a TMDL/Other Strategy should be revisited based on recent AMP data.

The Fourth Stipulation of the ACJ required the County to submit a plan, with a schedule for implementation, for proposed modifications to the tributary component of the County's established AMP. These modifications are referred to as the Post Construction Compliance Monitoring (PCCM) Program. The primary objective of PCCM, in the context of the recently constructed gray project milestones, is to demonstrate that the abated CSOs are not causing or contributing to violations of water quality standards in receiving waters. The ultimate goal of the PCCM program is to determine whether Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook are meeting the

NYS AWQS and their designated best uses. Results of the 2015 PCCM program are summarized in [Section 4.8](#) of this report.

Onondaga County Actions and Progress with Related Initiatives

The County completed a number of “gray” and “green” infrastructure projects in recent years designed to reduce wet weather discharges from [combined sewer overflows \(CSOs\)](#) into Onondaga Lake and its tributaries. Gray infrastructure projects include sewer separation, capture of floatable materials, and maximization of system storage capacity. In 1998, there were 72 active CSOs (outfall points with the potential to discharge combined sewage) in the collection system discharging to Onondaga Creek, Harbor Brook, and Ley Creek. Through 2015, 47 of the County’s 72 pre-ACJ CSOs have been closed or abated as a result of ACJ projects. In addition, green infrastructure projects captured an estimated 123 million of gallons of stormwater runoff in 2015 before it could enter the combined sewer system. Results from the County’s recently calibrated Storm Water Management Model (SWMM) indicate that the annual capture percentage for the 2015 system conditions exceeds 96 percent and is ahead of schedule with respect to the mandated compliance milestones. NYSDEC will make the determination of compliance with the ACJ Stage IV Percent Capture Milestone Value as part of the 2018 ACJ Annual Report review.

The County has completed construction of all gray infrastructure projects required in the ACJ, including milestone projects such as the Clinton and Lower Harbor Brook Storage Facilities. The gray infrastructure projects are enhanced by the County’s use of green infrastructure. Indeed, the combination of the two technologies has succeeded in exceeding the CSO program’s volume capture goals. Going forward, the County will focus on optimizing the performance of its CSO control facilities, while continuing to implement green infrastructure in priority areas, perform maintenance on both gray and green facilities, implement best management practices (BMPs) and floatables control measures, and monitor system performance. Green infrastructure solutions are being implemented at County facilities and in other urban areas to help capture and reuse urban storm runoff before it enters the CSO system.

To-date, 180 green infrastructure projects have been completed as part of the “Save the Rain” initiative (<http://savetherain.us/>), reducing inputs of stormwater runoff and pollution to Onondaga Lake and its tributaries by 123 million gallons annually and providing CSO reduction of approximately 59 million gallons per year according to SWMM simulations. Eleven green infrastructure projects were completed as part of the “Save the Rain” program in 2015. These projects included replacement of traditional pavement with porous pavement, construction of green roofs, installation of bioretention and infiltration systems, removal of pavement from

some areas, and other techniques to reduce stormwater runoff. A new County campaign called “Connect the Drops” is engaging the community to help clean up and prevent litter (floatables) from reaching the tributaries and Onondaga Lake.

Honeywell International, with oversight by the federal Environmental Protection Agency, is proceeding with a number of projects to address industrial contamination issues in and around Onondaga Lake. By the end of 2014, about 2.2 million cubic yards of contaminated Onondaga Lake sediments had been removed from the lake by hydraulic dredging. The dredging effort was completed a year ahead of schedule. In 2015, the capping phase of the sediment restoration effort continued, and will extend into 2016. About 450 acres of the lake bottom are being capped to provide a new habitat layer, prevent erosion, and isolate remaining contaminants. To date, 44 acres of wetlands have been restored at Geddes Brook, Harbor Brook, Ninemile Creek, the former LCP Chemicals site, and along the western shoreline of Onondaga Lake. More than 110 species of fish, birds, and mammals have returned to restored wetlands, including mink, bald eagles, and northern pike. About 1.1 million plants, shrubs, and trees are being planted to enhance habitat for fish and wildlife in the Onondaga Lake watershed. Following a successful three year pilot test during 2011–2013, nitrate was again added to the deep waters of Onondaga Lake in 2015 with the objective of limiting release of methylmercury from the [profundal](#) sediments to the hypolimnion. Additional information on Honeywell’s remediation activities is available on their project website (<http://www.lakecleanup.com>).

Tributary Water Quality

Precipitation is the primary driver of stream flow and the single most important meteorological attribute affecting material loading from the tributaries to Onondaga Lake. Annual precipitation totaled 41.9 inches in 2015, 8% higher than the 30-year historic (1985–2014) average of 38.9 inches and 1.5 inches greater than the 40.5 inches received in 2014. Despite above average precipitation during 2015, the annual average flow for Onondaga Creek was 7% lower than the 1971–2014 average. The timing of precipitation (24% of the annual total in June) likely contributed to the apparent contradiction of above average rainfall and below average streamflow.

The largest [total phosphorus](#) (TP) loads to Onondaga Lake were delivered by Ninemile Creek (35%) Onondaga Creek (32%), and the Metro effluent (15%). Ley Creek (11%) and the Metro Bypass (5%) were estimated to be the fourth and fifth largest sources of TP, respectively. Annual total phosphorus loads in 2015 were 11% lower than in 2014, consistent with lower runoff in 2015. Ninemile Creek, Onondaga Creek, and the Metro effluent also had the highest [total dissolved phosphorus](#) (TDP) loads in 2015.

The Metro effluent was the leading source of both [total nitrogen \(TN\)](#) and [ammonia nitrogen \(NH₃-N\)](#) to Onondaga Lake in 2015. The largest source of ammonia-N in 2014 was Ninemile Creek. The [total suspended solids \(TSS\)](#) load was dominated by inputs from Onondaga Creek and Ninemile Creek, which combined to account for 89% of the total load to Onondaga Lake. Inputs of clay particles from the mud boils in upstream portions of the watershed contribute substantially to the high TSS contribution from Onondaga Creek. Compared to 2014, TSS loading from Onondaga Creek decreased 36% at Dorwin Ave. and 50% at Kirkpatrick St. The 18% reduction in streamflow in 2015 likely contributed to these loading reductions. A reduction in mudboil activity could also explain the observed decrease in TSS loading, although we are unaware of recent related changes. The primary sources of fecal coliform bacteria were the Metro Bypass, Onondaga Creek and Ninemile Creek. The combined loading from these three sources accounted for 73% of the total fecal coliform load to Onondaga Lake. However, Ley Creek and the treated Metro effluent also made noteworthy contributions.

Metro continued to perform at a high level in 2015, meeting permit limits for total phosphorus throughout the year, and often by a wide margin. Since mid-2008 the 12-month rolling average total phosphorus concentration in the Metro effluent has remained below 0.10 mg/L. The 12-month rolling average total phosphorus concentration has remained below 0.08 mg/L since May 2013. The average total phosphorus concentration in the Metro effluent during 2014 was 0.066 mg/L. In 2015, with the exception of October, when the tertiary treatment process was shut down for one week for planned maintenance and inspections, monthly average ammonia concentrations continued to meet permit limits by wide margins.

Headworks bypasses of the full Metro treatment process, which are sometimes required during intense runoff events, receive little treatment prior to discharge. There was a single headworks bypass in 2015 that discharged 0.65 million gallons. This bypass was associated with reduced capacity due to the Grit Improvement Project, which was substantially completed by the scheduled completion date of October 15, 2015. An additional 462 million gallons were discharged as a result of 60 secondary bypasses and another 41 million gallons were discharged as a result of 19 tertiary bypasses. A detailed description of headworks, secondary, and tertiary bypasses is provided in [Section 4.4](#).

The 2015 tributary data continued to indicate that the major tributaries were generally in compliance with New York State [ambient water quality standards \(AWQS\)](#). The primary exceptions in meeting AWQS in the tributaries were [total dissolved solids \(TDS\)](#) and [fecal coliform bacteria \(FC\)](#). Contravention of the AWQS for TDS is primarily associated with the natural hydrogeology of the watershed and not with anthropogenic effects. The largest source

of fecal coliform bacteria to Onondaga Lake in 2015 was the Metro Bypass. However, Onondaga Creek, Ninemile Creek, and Ley Creek made noteworthy contributions as well. Compliance with the AWQS for fecal coliform bacteria, which is calculated as a monthly geometric mean, was met less than half of the time at Bloody Brook (43%), Harbor Brook-Hiawatha (14%), Ley Creek (14%), Ninemile Creek (43%), and Onondaga Creek-Kirkpatrick (14%).

The County's Post Construction Compliance Monitoring (PCCM) program includes monitoring of water quality and water quantity to demonstrate that abated and separated CSOs are not causing or contributing to violations of water quality standards in receiving waters. In 2015, Onondaga County conducted a total of six PCCM events; four in Harbor Brook and two in Onondaga Creek. Each of these sampling events included high frequency monitoring of storms with rainfall intensities of at least 0.35 inches of rain per hour. Results of the 2015 PCCM events indicate that CSO discharges were an important contributor to elevated fecal coliform levels in Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook during wet weather. In addition, a failing community septic system is contributing significantly to fecal coliform loading in Harbor Brook.

Despite the impressive accomplishment of 96% capture of CSO volume in 2015 (model estimate), CSOs in Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook continued to contribute to exceedances of the AWQS for fecal coliform bacteria during wet weather. A Water Quality Compliance Conference has been scheduled for January 2017 to discuss water quality assessment and compliance pursuant to the National CSO Policy 59 F.R. 18666 (1994). The goal of the conference is to develop scientific and policy recommendations with respect to compliance oriented water quality monitoring and the formulation of wet weather standards that take into consideration both CSO and non-CSO related conditions, including structural and safety related use constraints. Determination of compliance with the ACJ Stage IV Percent Capture Milestone Value will be made by NYSDEC as part of the 2018 ACJ Annual Report review.

Macroinvertebrate sampling conducted in Onondaga Creek, Harbor Brook, and Ley Creek during 2015 provided an initial assessment of the potential impact of CSO abatement measures on these streams. The 2015 results were compared to the robust baseline dataset comprised of data collected every two years from 2000 through 2010. Data from four replicate samples collected at each of 10 sites on the CSO-impacted tributaries were analyzed by calculating various metrics designed to indicate the health of the macroinvertebrate community.

The most downstream site on Onondaga Creek (Spencer Street) showed notable recent improvement, from moderately impacted to slightly impacted. The improvement in the macroinvertebrate community at the Spencer Street site from 2010 to 2015 may be a response to the reduced organic load to the stream once the Clinton storage facility came on line in

December 2013. The most upstream site on Onondaga Creek (Tully Farms Road) showed increased adverse impact and the mid-reach sites (Webster Road and Dorwin Avenue) remained slightly impacted. The condition of both monitoring sites on Harbor Brook improved from 2010 to 2015, with the upstream site, Velasko Road, showing the greater improvement. Ley Creek showed the greatest degree of overall impact of the three Onondaga Lake tributaries, with sites assessed as either moderately or severely impacted.

Onondaga Lake Water Quality

Trained [Water Environment Protection](#) (WEP) technicians collect samples from various locations and depths within Onondaga Lake to characterize physical, chemical, and biological conditions. Most sampling occurs between April and November, when the lake is free of ice. The data are used to track seasonal and long-term trends and to assess status with respect to ambient water quality standards.

Long-term trends in [total phosphorus](#) (TP) concentrations in the upper waters of Onondaga Lake continue to depict major decreases since the early 1990s. The 2015 summer (June–September) average TP concentration in the upper waters of the lake was 23 micrograms per liter ($\mu\text{g/L}$), slightly higher than the NYSDEC guidance value of 20 $\mu\text{g/L}$. The lake was included on state and federal list of impaired waters, due to elevated phosphorus concentrations; this impairment has been addressed by the approved phosphorus TMDL. [Dissolved oxygen](#) (DO) concentrations met the AWQS in the upper waters of Onondaga Lake throughout the 2015 sampling period. Anoxic conditions prevailed in the lower waters during most of the summer stratified period. However, this situation is not uncommon in stratified lakes where the volume of the lower stratum (the hypolimnion) is relatively small. In New York, an estimated 70% of assessed lakes do not meet the AWQS for DO in the deep waters.

The summer average [chlorophyll-*a*](#) (Chl-*a*) concentration in the upper waters of the lake was 7.0 $\mu\text{g/L}$ in 2015, somewhat lower than the 2014 average of 8.5 $\mu\text{g/L}$. The average and peak concentrations of this measure of algal biomass have declined substantially since completion of the phosphorus treatment upgrade at Metro in 2005. According to the criteria adopted by the AMP (15 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and 30 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for minor and major blooms, respectively), and based on weekly laboratory measurements, there was one minor algal bloom identified in Onondaga Lake during the summer recreational period (June–September) of 2015. The infrequent occurrence of algal blooms in Onondaga Lake stands in contrast to the widespread occurrence of blue-green (cyanobacterial) harmful algal blooms in lakes across New York State (see <http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/77118.html> for more information). The AMP has established a minimum summer average Secchi disk transparency of 1.5 meters at South Deep as a target

for improved aesthetic appeal. During the summer of 2015, Secchi disk values ranged from 1.4 to 3.5 meters and averaged 2.1 meters.

The mass of phosphorus accumulated in the hypolimnion during the summer stratification interval has decreased by 90% since the 1990s as a result of lower primary production following the Metro phosphorus treatment upgrade and the increase in nitrate from year-round nitrification. The supply of nitrate to the lower waters in summer has been augmented by Honeywell since 2011 to control sediment release of mercury. Release of both phosphorus and mercury from the sediments is blocked by maintenance of high nitrate concentrations in the hypolimnion. The absence of noteworthy sediment phosphorus release under the high nitrate concentrations of 2011–2015 clearly demonstrates the positive effect of nitrate on phosphorus cycling.

The 2015 monitoring results indicate that the open waters of Onondaga Lake were in compliance with most ambient water quality standards. The lake is now in full compliance with the AWQS for ammonia-N, and in 2008 was officially removed from the New York State's 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies for this water quality parameter. Exceedances of the AWQS for nitrite now only occur in the lower layers of the lake when [hypoxic](#) conditions prevail. These conditions reflect incomplete nitrification of ammonia-N within those lower lake depths rather than excessive external loading of nitrite.

Fecal coliform bacteria levels in Class B areas of Onondaga Lake did not exceed the standard established for contact recreation during the April to October interval of 2015. Bacteria levels at two nearshore sites, located within the Class C segment in the southeastern portion of the lake, exceeded the standard during the month of May. In addition, one of these sites also exceeded the standard in October. The New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) swimming safety guidance value for water clarity was met in Class B waters throughout the summer recreational period of 2015. Monitoring locations in the southern end of the lake, near the mouths of Onondaga Creek, Harbor Brook, and Ley Creek, regularly failed to meet this guidance value due to inputs of turbid water from tributaries.

The concentration of [total dissolved solids](#) (TDS) in Onondaga Lake routinely exceeds the AWQS of 500 mg/L by a wide margin. Exceedance of this standard is associated with the natural hydrogeology of the lake and not with anthropogenic effects. The bedrock in Onondaga County is comprised of sedimentary rocks with high concentrations of calcium and sulfate, which contribute to the high TDS levels in Onondaga Lake and its tributaries.

Biology and Food Web

As phosphorus concentrations in Onondaga Lake have declined to mesotrophic levels, biological conditions have responded. The dramatic decline in phosphorus concentrations has led to lower phytoplankton biomass and greater water clarity. Light penetration deeper into the water column resulted in expansion of macrophyte beds, which has improved habitat and shelter for fish and other aquatic organisms.

The average biomass of phytoplankton, which includes microscopic algae and cyanobacteria, in Onondaga Lake has been below 2.0 mg/L since 2007, and 2015 was no exception with an average phytoplankton biomass of 1.7 mg/L. This growing season (April – October) average concentration is below average for meso-eutrophic lakes, which Wetzel (2001) reported as 3-5 mg/L. Peak biomass, at over 6 mg/L, was more than double the peak biomass measured in 2014 and reflects the spring bloom of diatoms. Cyanobacteria (blue-green algae), which had been largely absent from the phytoplankton community after the phosphorus reduction, comprised a slightly higher percentage of the phytoplankton biomass in 2015. There was no evidence of the harmful algal blooms in Onondaga Lake which have been reported in other regional lakes.

The size structure of the zooplankton community (i.e., the relative abundance of small and large species), is a consequence of the grazing pressure exerted on zooplankton by fish. The average total zooplankton biomass in 2015 remained relatively low as it has since 2010, although a slight recovery from the record low values reported in 2014 was observed. The temporal changes in the zooplankton community are linked to the fluctuating population of the dominant fish planktivore in the lake, the Alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*).

Quagga mussels (*Dreissena rostriformis bugensis*) have displaced zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) in a number of North American lakes. Populations of the two dreissenids continue to coexist in Onondaga Lake, although biomass and density fluctuations over the years are consistent with increased dominance by the quagga mussels. There is a clear effect of depth; quagga mussels have largely displaced zebra mussels where the overlying water depth exceeds 3 meters, but both are present in shallower water. Quagga mussels are consistently larger than zebra mussels at all depths, indicating that quagga mussels have a growth advantage.

Most North American lakes where quagga mussels have become dominant are relatively deep, and support an oxygenated hypolimnion. This observation suggests that quagga mussels ability to colonize deeper waters or their ability to grow in lower productivity systems may be key factors. In Onondaga, summer anoxia limits the depth to which quagga mussels are able to colonize. The continued coexistence of both species in 0–3 meter depths may be related to the advantage of greater attachment strength of zebra mussels in areas affected by wave action.

The 2015 dreissenid monitoring results indicate that both populations are decreasing, and the decline is greater for quagga mussels. The data are consistent with predation by increasing numbers of Round Goby (*Neogobius melanostomus*), which were detected in the lake in 2010.

Changes in the fish community of Onondaga Lake have occurred as water quality and habitat conditions improved. In 2015, OCDWEP field technicians sampled the larval, juvenile, and adult fish communities. Researchers from SUNY-ESF continued their fishery research programs on the lake as well. A total of 3,052 larval fish representing 14 species were collected during the 2015 larval seine events. Brook Silverside (*Labidesthes sicculus*) were the most common fish collected, comprising 53% of the lakewide catch followed by Lepomis species (Bluegill and Pumpkinseed) at 35%. A decline in Alewife was attributed to the cold winter of 2014-2015, as this fish is near the northern edge of its range.

In 2015, 331 young-of-year fish were captured representing eight species: Bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*), Pumpkinseed (*Lepomis gibbosus*), Rock Bass (*Ambloplites rupestris*), Largemouth Bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), Smallmouth Bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*), Brown Bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*), Longnose Gar (*Lepisosteus osseus*), and Golden Shiner (*Notemigonus crysoleucas*). As in 2014, Largemouth Bass dominated the catch. Only 57 juvenile fish were captured in 2015, also representing eight species: Largemouth Bass, Bluegill, Pumpkinseed, Green Sunfish (*Lepomis cyanellus*), Gizzard Shad (*Dorosoma cepedianum*), Brown Bullhead, Rock Bass, and Northern Hog Sucker (*Hypentelium nigricans*).

The 2015 fall electrofishing for adult fish captured 1,694 fish representing 24 species. The results are notable for the dramatic reduction in Gizzard Shad compared to the 2014 survey. Winter mortality was likely a contributing factor. Six of the 24 species accounted for 82% of the catch. Overall CPUE was 471 fish per hour, which was less than half of the 2014 catch rate (1017 fish per hour).

Overall trends in catch rates have varied by species since 2000. Smallmouth Bass, White Perch (*Morone americana*), and Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) have had reduced catch rates over the past several years, while catch rates for other species such as White Sucker (*Catostomus commersoni*) and Walleye (*Sander vitreus*) show no clear trend. These patterns likely reflect responses to increased macrophyte coverage, improved water quality, changing dreissenid mussel abundance, interactions among species, variation in weather and climate conditions. The impacts of in-lake construction for dredging and capping are also unknown. Alewives periodically produce exceptionally strong year classes that can have a cascading effect across multiple trophic levels. It is likely that the reasons for changes in abundance are a combination of these factors, with individual species responding differently to changes in overall lake productivity and littoral zone habitat. In Onondaga Lake, fish species richness has

gradually increased since 2000. In 2015, 30 species were captured during electrofishing and seining surveys. Since the monitoring program started in 2000, 53 fish species have been identified in the lake.

The occurrence of physical abnormalities in adult fish captured during AMP sampling is monitored using a standardized protocol to identify Deformities, Erosions, Lesions, Tumors, Fungus, and/or Malignancies (DELTFM). Data are used for trend analysis and to compare fish from Onondaga Lake to those from other waterbodies. Fish abnormalities can result from chemical contamination, biological agents such as bacteria, viruses, or fungi, or interactions among multiple stressors, and are an overall tool to evaluate recovery or degradation of the aquatic ecosystem.

DELTFM (Deformities, Erosions, Lesions, Tumors, Fungus, and/or Malignancies) began declining in 2010. In 2015, the estimated percentage of affected fish was 2.1, which is comparable to other systems. The presence of skin lesions in Brown Bullhead was 1.2% in 2015, indicating a gradual recovery of this population that had been affected by a number of pathogens.



Spectators Enjoying the Onondaga Cup and Lakefest, July 16, 2016.

This page intentionally left blank

Section 1. Introduction to the AMP

1.1 Regulatory Requirements

The 2015 Annual Ambient Monitoring Program (AMP) report has been prepared and submitted to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) to comply with a judicial requirement set forth in the 1998 Amended Consent Judgment (ACJ) between Onondaga County, New York State, and the Atlantic States Legal Foundation. The ACJ requires Onondaga County to design and implement various improvements to the County's wastewater collection and treatment infrastructure. Moreover, the County is required to conduct an extensive monitoring program to document the effectiveness of these improvements on Onondaga Lake and its tributary streams. Onondaga County Department of Water Environment Protection (WEP) is responsible for implementing the AMP and reporting its findings each year in this technical report.

The three signatories have modified the ACJ four times since 1998, most recently by stipulation in November 2009. Modifications to the 1998 document incorporate emerging information regarding appropriate technologies to mitigate the impacts of Metro and the CSOs on local waterways. Links to the ACJ and the Fourth Stipulation are posted on the Onondaga County web site at <http://www.ongov.net/wep/we15.html>.

1.2 Classification and Designated Best Use

NYSDEC classifies surface waters and groundwater with respect to both human uses and ecosystem protection. The AMP is designed to provide the data and information required to assess whether Onondaga Lake and its tributary streams meet water quality standards and guidelines appropriate for the designated best use of the waterways. A recent report ([Attainment of Designated Uses in Onondaga Lake](#)) presents an evaluation of the extent to which Onondaga Lake is presently meeting its designated uses, including public bathing and recreation, aquatic life support, fish consumption, natural resources habitat/hydrology, and aesthetics.

Onondaga Lake and its tributaries are classified as Class B and Class C waters ([Table 1-1](#); [Figure 1-1](#)). The best uses of Class B waters are for primary and secondary water contact recreation and fishing (New York Codes, Rules and Regulations (NYCRR) Part 701.7). Primary water contact recreation includes activities that immerse the body in the water, such as swimming; secondary water contact recreation includes activities without full immersion, such as boating. In addition, Class B waters shall be suitable for fish, shellfish, and wildlife propagation and survival (NYCRR Part 701.7). The best usage of Class C waters is fishing. These

waters shall also be suitable for fish, shellfish and wildlife propagation and survival. Class C waters shall be suitable for primary and secondary water contact recreation, although other factors may limit the use for these purposes (NYCRR Part 701.8).

The NYSDEC Division of Water is responsible for evaluating whether water quality and habitat conditions fully support each resource's designated best use. Periodically, the Division of Water issues a Priority Waterbodies List (PWL) for each of the state's major river basins to report on the findings of the evaluation. Data from NYSDEC as well as other sources (including County Water Quality Committees, lake associations, Departments of Health, Soil & Water Conservation Districts, and others) are used to determine whether water bodies should be categorized as "stressed, threatened, impaired, or precluded" with respect to supporting human uses and a healthy aquatic biota. The specific criteria used by NYSDEC in their evaluation are described in the Consolidated Assessment and Listing Methodology (http://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/water_pdf/asmtmeth09.pdf). The most recent PWL for the Seneca-Oneida-Oswego Basin, which encompasses Onondaga Lake and its watershed, was published in 2007 (http://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/water_pdf/wioswegoonondaga.pdf). However, the listing for Onondaga Lake was updated in 2014. Segments of several tributary streams within the Onondaga Lake watershed, as well as the lake itself, were included in the 2007 document. An update to the PWL that reflects more recent data is pending.

In addition to the PWL, the NYSDEC Division of Water publishes a List of Impaired Waterbodies-the 303(d) List-every two years. The 303(d) list, which is divided into three parts, includes waterbodies where use attainment is considered to be "impaired" or "precluded", the two most severe categories reported in the PWL. Part 1 includes waters with verified impairment which is expected to be addressed by a segment/pollutant specific Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) allocation. Part 2 waters are groups of waters affected by similar causes or sources where a single TMDL may be able to address multiple waters with the same issue. Waters impaired by atmospheric deposition (acid rain), fish consumption advisories, and shellfishing restrictions are covered in Part 2. Part 3 waters include those where the suspected impairment requires verification, and a TMDL may be deferred. A listing of water quality impairments in Onondaga Lake and its watershed, based on the Draft New York State 2016 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Waters Requiring a TMDL/Other Strategy (Part 1), is provided in [Table 1-2](#). The water quality impairments listed for cyanide in Ley Creek and ammonia-N in Ley Creek and Onondaga Creek (Table 1-2) should be revisited based on recent AMP data.

Table 1-1. Summary of regulatory classification of Onondaga Lake and streams within the Onondaga Lake watershed.

Lake/Stream	Description of Lake/Stream segment	Regulatory Classification	Standards
Onondaga Lake	northern 2/3 of lake, excluding the area adjacent to Ninemile Creek	B	B
	southern 1/3 of lake and waters adjacent to the mouth of Ninemile Creek	C	C
Onondaga Creek	enters Onondaga Lake at southeastern end. Mouth to upper end of Barge Canal terminal (0.85 miles)	C	C
	upper end of Barge Canal terminal to Temple Street (1.7 miles)	C	C
	from Temple Street, Syracuse to Tributary 5B (4.4 miles)	B	B
	from Tributary 5B to Commissary Creek (1.9 miles)	C	C
	from Commissary Creek to source	C	C(T)
Ninemile Creek	enters Onondaga Lake from south approximately 2.25 miles from lake outlet along west shore of lake. From mouth to Allied Chemical Corp. water intake located on creek 0.6 mile upstream of Airport Rd and 0.6 mile downstream of Rt. 173 bridge at Amboy	C	C
	from water intake between Airport Rd and Rt. 173 to outlet of Otisco Lake	C	C(T)
Harbor Brook	enters Onondaga Lake at the southernmost point of the lake and within the City of Syracuse. From mouth to upper end of underground section, at Gifford Street (approx. 1.9 miles)	C	C
	from upper end of underground section to City of Syracuse line (1.3 miles)	B	B
	from City of Syracuse city line to source	C	C(T)
Ley Creek	enters Onondaga Lake 0.2 mile southeast of point where City of Syracuse line intersects east shore of lake. From mouth to the Hiawatha Regional Treatment Facility	C	C
	from the Hiawatha Regional Treatment Facility to South Branch. Tribs. 3-1A and 3-1B enter from north approximately 3.0 and 3.1 miles above mouth, respectively	B	B
Bloody Brook	enters Onondaga Lake 2.25 miles southeast of outlet. From mouth to trib. 1 of Bloody Brook (approximately 0.37 miles from mouth)	B	B
	from trib. 1 of Bloody Brook to source	C	C
Source: 6 NYCRR Part 895 Onondaga Lake Drainage Basin			




Figure 1-1. Tributary and lake regulatory classifications (6 NYCRR) and subwatershed boundaries.

Table 1-2. Listing of water quality impairments in Onondaga Lake, its tributaries, and Seneca River.

Waterbody Name	Category of Impairment	Cause/Pollutant	Source	Year Listed
Onondaga Lake, northern end	fish consumption advisory	dioxin, mercury, PCBs, other toxics	contaminated sediments	1998
Onondaga Lake, northern end	pending verification of use impairments/pollutants/sources	dissolved oxygen	–	2012
Onondaga Lake, southern end (including Ley Creek)	fish consumption advisory	dioxin, mercury, PCBs, other toxics	contaminated sediments	1998
Onondaga Lake, southern end	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	pathogens	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	2008
Onondaga Lake, southern end	pending verification of use impairments/pollutants/sources	dissolved oxygen	–	2012
Bloody Brook and tribs	requires verification of cause/pollutant/source	aquatic toxicity	unknown	2010
Bloody Brook and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	pathogens	municipal, urban runoff	2008
Geddes Brook and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	ammonia-N	municipal, urban runoff	1998
Harbor Brook, lower, and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	pathogens	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	2008
Harbor Brook, lower, and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	nutrients (phosphorus)	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	1998
Harbor Brook, lower, and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	ammonia-N	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	1998
Ley Creek and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	pathogens	municipal, urban runoff	2008
Ley Creek and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	nutrients (phosphorus)	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	1998

Table 1-2. Listing of water quality impairments in Onondaga Lake, its tributaries, and Seneca River.

Waterbody Name	Category of Impairment	Cause/Pollutant	Source	Year Listed
Ley Creek and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	ammonia-N	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	1998
Ley Creek and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	cyanide	municipal, urban runoff	2008
Minor tribs to Onondaga Lake	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	pathogens	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	2008
Minor tribs to Onondaga Lake	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	nutrients (phosphorus)	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	2008
Minor tribs to Onondaga Lake	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	nitrogen (ammonia-N, nitrite)	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	2008
Minor tribs to Onondaga Lake	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	cyanide	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	2008
Ninemile Creek, lower, and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	pathogens	municipal, urban runoff	2008
Ninemile Creek, lower, and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	nutrients (phosphorus)	municipal, urban runoff	1998
Onondaga Creek, lower, and tribs	requires verification of impairment	turbidity	streambank erosion	2010
Onondaga Creek, lower	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	pathogens	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	2008
Onondaga Creek, lower	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	nutrients (phosphorus)	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	1998
Onondaga Creek, lower	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	ammonia-N	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	1998
Onondaga Creek, middle, and tribs	requires verification of impairment	turbidity	streambank erosion	2008

Table 1-2. Listing of water quality impairments in Onondaga Lake, its tributaries, and Seneca River.

Waterbody Name	Category of Impairment	Cause/Pollutant	Source	Year Listed
Onondaga Creek, middle, and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	pathogens	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	2008
Onondaga Creek, middle, and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	nutrients (phosphorus)	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	2008
Onondaga Creek, middle, and tribs	TMDL is deferred pending development/implementation/evaluation of other restoration measures	ammonia-N	CSOs, municipal, urban runoff	2008
Onondaga Creek, upper, and tribs	requires verification of impairment	turbidity	streambank erosion	2008
Seneca River, lower, main stem	fish consumption advisory	PCBs, other toxics	contaminated sediments	2014
Seneca River, lower, main stem	requires verification of impairment	pathogens	onsite WTS	1998
Seneca River, lower, main stem	requires verification of cause/pollutant/source	oxygen demand	invasive species, agriculture	1998
Source: <i>The Draft New York State 2016 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Waters Requiring a TMDL/Other Strategy</i> , on-line at http://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/water_pdf/303dproplist2016.pdf				

1.3 AMP Objectives and Design

The primary objectives of the AMP are to evaluate the effectiveness of improvements to the wastewater collection and treatment infrastructure, and to assess the need for additional measures to bring the waters into compliance. The AMP is designed to provide data and information required for this assessment. As a consequence, the annual report focuses on compliance and trends.

In 2015, trained field technicians collected representative samples from a network of permanent long-term sampling locations in nearshore and deep regions of Onondaga Lake ([Figure 1-2](#)) and along the lake tributaries ([Figure 1-3](#)). In 2015, all analyses were completed by fully-certified laboratories participating in the Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP). The OCDWEP Environmental Laboratory conducted analysis for all parameters, with the exception of methyl mercury analyzed by Test America and free-cyanide analyzed by Spectrum Analytical. These data are reported to NYSDEC to support their evaluation of whether designated uses are fully supported.

In addition to the overall assessment of compliance and trends in water quality, the 2015 program incorporated monitoring efforts designed to:

- Identify sources of materials (nutrients, sediment, bacteria, and chemicals) entering the lake
- Track the species composition and diversity of the biological community

The design of the AMP is presented in the [AMP Five-Year Work Plan \(2014–2018\)](#), dated October 2014. The current AMP work plan, which serves as a roadmap for monitoring and assessment of Onondaga Lake and its tributaries between 2014 and 2018, was developed in consultation with members of the County's Onondaga Lake Technical Advisory Committee (OLTAC), representatives of NYSDEC Region 7, ASLF, Onondaga Environmental Institute (OEI), and Parsons (Honeywell's project consultant). The work plan is intended to comply with the requirements of the Fourth Stipulation to the ACJ and the SPDES permit for Metro. The monitoring program continues to remain flexible to respond to new information and emerging issues. In addition to approving the annual workplan and AMP report, NYSDEC participates in technical discussions of the AMP results and their implications.


The Five-Year Work Plan may be amended annually to address the changing needs of the AMP and new information. The following elements are included in the [2015 AMP](#):

- Monitoring to support evaluation of the trophic status of Onondaga Lake
- Monitoring to determine whether designated best uses are supported in Onondaga Lake and its tributaries
- Monitoring of various biological communities, including phytoplankton, zooplankton, dreissenid mussels, macroinvertebrates, and fish
- Post Construction Compliance Monitoring of Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook to assess CSO impacts

Parameters measured in the 2015 AMP are used to support compliance assessment, loading calculations, and analysis of lake ecology ([Table 1-3](#)). Some sampling program modifications were made to the AMP Five-Year Work Plan in 2015; these modifications relate to the required Post Construction Compliance Monitoring (PCCM) for the CSOs. Wet weather samples were collected from influent chambers of CSO storage facilities instead of the CSO outfalls. In addition, the number of instream sampling locations was reduced to facilitate more frequent sampling at fewer sites.




Kids Admiring a Largemouth Bass from Onondaga Lake at the 2014 Clean Water Fair, September 6, 2014 at Metro.



ONONDAGA COUNTY
 WATER ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION
 ONONDAGA LAKE SAMPLING LOCATIONS (AMP 2015)



Figure 1-2. Map of AMP monitoring locations in Onondaga Lake.



S:\GIS\WEP (Flow Control)\1.0 MXDs\2.0 AMP\AMP_tribs_11_17_portrait.mxd

Figure 1-3. Map of AMP tributary monitoring locations.

Table 1-3. Overview of the 2015 AMP parameters and their uses.

Parameters	Sampling Program	Compliance	TMDL Analysis	Trend Analysis	Trophic Status	Load Analysis	Use Attainment	Effectiveness of CSO Control Measures	Indicator of Water Clarity	Nutrient Cycling	Habitat Conditions	Lake Ecology
Chemical												
Alkalinity	L,T			✓								
Bacteria	L,T	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓				
BOD-5	T			✓		✓						
Carbon	L,T			✓	✓	✓						
Dissolved oxygen	L,T	✓		✓	✓		✓					✓
Mercury	L,T	✓		✓								
Metals/Salts	L,T	✓		✓		✓						
Nitrogen	L,T	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓
Phosphorus	L,T	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				✓		✓
Salinity	L,T			✓			✓					
Silica-dissolved	L,T				✓							✓
Solids	L,T	✓		✓								
Specific conductance	L,T			✓			✓					
Optical												
Secchi Disk transparency	L	✓		✓	✓		✓		✓			✓
Turbidity	L,T			✓					✓			
Biological												
Chlorophyll- <i>a</i> /algae	L			✓	✓		✓					✓
Zooplankton	L			✓								✓
Fish	L			✓							✓	✓
Macroinvertebrates	T			✓			✓	✓			✓	✓
Visual Observation												
Floatables	T							✓				
Locations: L = Lake; T = Tributaries												

Each year, Onondaga County reviews the laboratory data for quality assurance/quality control criteria ([Appendix B-1](#)) prior to uploading the annual data set to the long-term database. This custom database archives the complete set of Onondaga Lake and tributary monitoring results collected since 1970. In addition, field audits associated with tributary ([Appendix B-2](#)) and lake ([Appendix B-3](#)) water quality monitoring programs are carried out in accordance with the approved work plan. The Onondaga County Laboratory voluntarily participates in a program of Environment Canada documenting proficiency of low-level total phosphorus and low-level total mercury analyses in natural waters ([Appendix B-4](#)). These annual blind tests include multiple academic, government, and private laboratories. In 2015, the Onondaga County Environmental Laboratory was rated as “very good” for ultra-low level total mercury and “fair” for total phosphorus. In each of the previous five years the laboratory had been rated as “very good” for total phosphorus, the highest rating given. Following a thorough investigation by the laboratory, it was determined that carry-over from a highly concentrated sample caused the “fair” rating. This does not appear to be an endemic problem in the laboratory or with the method, and a remedial plan has been implemented to eliminate carry-over effects.

The County maintains a bibliography of published materials related to Onondaga Lake ([Appendix G-1](#)). The bibliography serves the AMP team and the community at large by compiling references to investigations by agencies of local government, regulatory agencies, university researchers, and private companies working on various aspects of the Onondaga Lake restoration effort. The findings of these investigations help inform the AMP team in data analysis and interpretation.



Pier at Onondaga Lake Park.

1.4 Amended Consent Judgment Milestones

The ACJ stipulates a series of specific engineering improvements to the County's wastewater collection and treatment infrastructure. Onondaga County has agreed to undertake a phased program of **Metro** improvements (Table 1-4). Combined sewer overflows (CSOs) serve older portions of the City of Syracuse. These utilities carry both sewage and stormwater in a single pipe. During heavy rain and snowmelt, the pipes can overflow, and a mixture of stormwater and untreated sewage flows into Onondaga Creek, Harbor Brook, and Ley Creek and ultimately reaches Onondaga Lake. When these overflows occur, CSOs carry bacteria, floating trash, organic material, nutrients and solid materials through the CSOs to the waterways. Improvements to the wastewater collection and treatment infrastructure are scheduled through 2018. The Fourth Stipulation of the ACJ requires phased reductions of CSO volume.

Table 1-4. Metro compliance schedule for ammonia-N and total phosphorus.
(lb/d = pounds per day; mg/L = milligrams per liter; WLA = waste load allocation)

Parameter	SPDES Limit	Effective Date	Achieved Date
Ammonia-N	Interim limit: 8,700 lb/d (7/1-9/30) 13,100 lb/d (10/1-6/30)	January 1998	January 1998
	Interim limit: 2 mg/L (6/1-10/31) 4 mg/L (11/1-5/31)	May 2004	February 2004
	Final limit: 1.2 mg/L (6/1-10/31) 2.4 mg/L (11/1-5/31)	March 21, 2012 to March 20, 2017	February 2004
Total Phosphorus*	Interim limit: 400 lbs/day (12-month rolling average)	May 1, 2004 to March 31, 2006	January 1998
	Interim limit: 0.12 mg/L (12-month rolling average)	April 1, 2006 to November 15, 2010	April 2006
	Interim limit: 0.10 mg/L (12-month rolling average)	November 16, 2010 to June 30, 2012	November 2010
	Final limit: 0.10 mg/L (12-month rolling average) Final loading limit Outfall 001 – 21,511 lbs/yr	After June 30, 2012	November 2010
	Final limit: 0.10 mg/L (12-month rolling average) Final loading limit – 27,212 lb/yr	After December 31, 2018	Pending
	WLA for 002 is 7,602 lb/yr WLA for bubble is 27,212 lb/yr	After December 31, 2018	Pending
* This is the final limit determination based on lake/watershed models and subsequent TMDL analysis and allocation process, approved by the USEPA, June 29, 2012.			

The schedule of the percentage of CSO volume that must be captured or eliminated on a system-wide annual average basis is provided in [Table 1-5](#). The 2015 annual stormwater management model (SWMM) update reflects projects completed by December 31, 2015. The model was calibrated using flow monitoring data collected in 2015; this update of the model is referred to as the “2015 conditions model.” SWMM results showed that the annual combined sewage percent capture for the “2015 system conditions” exceeded 96%, and continues to be ahead of schedule with respect to the mandated Stage IV compliance milestone. NYSDEC will make the determination of compliance with the ACJ Stage IV Percent Capture Milestone Value as part of the 2018 ACJ Annual Report review.

Table 1-5. CSO capture compliance schedule.

ACJ Compliance Stage	ACJ Percent CSO Capture by Volume	Onondaga County Save the Rain Program Status Percent CSO Capture by Volume ^{1,2}	ACJ Compliance Deadline
Stage I	89.5%	92.9%	December 31, 2013
Stage II	91.4%	96.2%	December 31, 2015
Stage III	93.0%	TBD	December 31, 2016
Stage IV	95%	TBD	December 31, 2018
¹ SWMM results based on the 1991 precipitation record.			
² TBD = To Be Determined			

A total maximum daily load (TMDL) allocation for phosphorus inputs to Onondaga Lake was developed by NYSDEC and approved by USEPA on June 29, 2012. A total phosphorus concentration limit of 0.10 mg/L on a 12-month rolling average basis was established for Metro outfall 001, and became effective upon TMDL approval. In addition, phosphorus loading reductions are to be implemented for other SPDES permits by 1/1/2016, CSOs and Metro outfall 002 by 12/31/2018, agricultural lands by 12/31/2022, and for MS4 areas by 12/31/2025. Phosphorus loading reductions from small farms are voluntary and incentive based. NYSDEC used an ensemble modeling approach to evaluate the environmental benefits associated with additional phosphorus removal from Metro and other sources. The Onondaga Lake Water Quality Model (OLWQM) developed by AnchorQEA was a key component of this modeling ensemble. OLWQM was developed and calibrated using data from the AMP, and was subject to outside expert peer review.

1.5 Use of Metrics to Measure and Report Progress

Onondaga County Department of Water Environment Protection, in consultation with NYSDEC and the [Onondaga Lake Technical Advisory Committee \(OLTAC\)](#), has developed a suite of [metrics](#) to help organize and report on the extensive AMP data set each year. These metrics relate to the lake’s designated “best use” for water contact recreation, fishing, and protection of aquatic life. As summarized in [Table 1-6](#), the Class B segments of Onondaga Lake demonstrate water quality conditions that support the lake’s designated best uses. Major reductions in loading of ammonia-N and phosphorus from Metro to Onondaga Lake have resulted in marked improvements in suitability of the lake for water contact recreation, aesthetic appeal, aquatic habitat, and recreational fishing. Metrics selected for Onondaga Lake address both human uses and ecosystem function:

- water contact recreation
- aesthetics
- aquatic life protection
- sustainable recreational fishery



Fishing on Onondaga Lake.

Table 1-6. Summary of metrics, Onondaga Lake 2015.

Metrics	Measured By	Target ¹	2015 Results ²	Comments
Improved Suitability for Water Contact Recreation				
Indicator bacteria	Percent of months in compliance with AWQS ¹ for fecal coliform bacteria, April–October (disinfection period). Measured at nearshore sites, Class B segment.	100% NOTE: The best usages of Class B waters are primary and secondary contact recreation and fishing (NYCRR Part 701.7).	Percent in compliance, Lake Class B locations: Bloody Brook: 100% North Deep: 100% Eastside:100% Willow Bay: 100% Maple Bay:100% Westside Wastebeds:100%	Class B segments of Onondaga Lake met the bacteria standard for water contact recreation.
	Percent of months in compliance with AWQS ¹ for fecal coliform bacteria, April–October (disinfection period). Measured at nearshore sites, Class C segment.	NOTE: The best usage classification of Class C waters is fishing; water quality shall be suitable for primary contact and secondary contact recreation, although other factors may limit the use for these purposes (NYCRR Part 701.8).	Percent in compliance, Lake Class C locations: South Deep: 100% Ninemile Creek: 100% Harbor Brook: 86% Metro: 71% Ley Creek: 100% Onondaga Creek: 86%	Four sites within Class C segments of Onondaga Lake met the bacteria standard for water contact recreation in all months. Two nearshore sites exceeded the standard in certain months: Onondaga Creek nearshore (May), and Metro nearshore (May and October), following runoff events (refer to plot in Appendix E-3 - Onondaga Lake Fecal Coliform and Metro Daily Precipitation, 2015).

Table 1-6. Summary of metrics, Onondaga Lake 2015.

Metrics	Measured By	Target ¹	2015 Results ²	Comments
Water clarity	<p>Percent of observations with Secchi disk transparency at least 1.2 m (4 ft.) to meet swimming safety guidance³, June–September (recreational period). Measured at nearshore sites, Class B segment.</p>	100%	<p>Percent in compliance, Lake Class B locations: Bloody Brook: 96% North Deep: 100% Eastside:100% Willow Bay: 100% Maple Bay:96% Westside Wastebeds:100%</p>	<p>With the exception of single measurements of elevated turbidity at two nearshore stations (Bloody Brook and Maple Bay) in June 2015, Class B segments of Onondaga Lake met the designated use for water contact recreation.</p>
	<p>Percent of observations with Secchi disk transparency at least 1.2 m (4 ft.) to meet swimming safety guidance³, June–September (recreational period). Measured at nearshore sites, Class C segment.</p>	<p>NOTE: The best usage classification of Class C waters is fishing; water quality shall be suitable for primary contact and secondary contact recreation, although other factors may limit the use for these purposes (NYCRR Part 701.8).</p>	<p>Percent in compliance, Lake Class C locations: South Deep: 100% Ninemile Creek: 91% Harbor Brook: 83% Metro: 70% Ley Creek: 91% Onondaga Creek: 70%</p>	<p>Nearshore areas of the lake’s southern basins (Class C) do not consistently meet water clarity guidelines for swimming safety. Turbidity levels are elevated after storm events.</p>

Table 1-6. Summary of metrics, Onondaga Lake 2015.

Metrics	Measured By	Target ¹	2015 Results ²	Comments
Improved Aesthetic Appeal				
Water clarity	Summer average Secchi disk transparency at least 1.5 m at South Deep during the summer recreational period (June–September).	Summer average at least 1.5 m	100% (summer average 2.1 m)	By these metrics, the lake met its designated use as an aesthetic resource.
Algal blooms ³	Reduction in average and peak algal biomass and absence of noxious algal blooms ⁴ . Measured by the magnitude, frequency and duration of elevated chlorophyll- <i>a</i> (Chl- <i>a</i>) during the summer recreational period (June–September). Based on laboratory measurements of Chl- <i>a</i> at South Deep.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No more than 15% of Chl-<i>a</i> measurements above 15 µg/L • No more than 10% of observations above 30 µg/L 	2 of 23 (9%) measurements exceeded 15 µg/L No measurements exceeded 30 µg/L	
Algal community structure	Low abundance of cyanobacteria (blue-green algae)	Cyanobacteria represent no more than 10% of the algal biomass	Cyanobacteria represented 4.6% of the algal biomass during summer (June – September)	

Table 1-6. Summary of metrics, Onondaga Lake 2015.

Metrics	Measured By	Target ¹	2015 Results ²	Comments
Improved Aquatic Life Protection				
Ammonia-N	South Deep ammonia-N concentrations compared to AWQS ¹ (upper waters)	100% of measurements in compliance, all depths and all times	100% of measurements in compliance, all depths and all times	Based on these metrics, the designated use for aquatic life protection (warm water fishery) was met in the upper waters
Nitrite	South Deep nitrite concentrations ¹ (upper waters)	100%	100%	
Dissolved oxygen	Minimum daily average ¹ at South Deep Instantaneous minimum ¹ at South Deep (upper waters)	>5 mg/L >4 mg/L	7.89 mg/L ⁵ 7.72 mg/L	

Table 1-6. Summary of metrics, Onondaga Lake 2015.

Metrics	Measured By	Target ¹	2015 Results ²	Comments
Improving Sustainable Recreational Fishery				
Habitat quality	Percent of the littoral zone that is covered by macrophytes	40%	50% (2013)	Littoral zone macrophyte coverage provides high quality habitat for warm water fish community
Fish reproduction	Reproduction of target species: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • bass and sunfish • yellow perch • black crappie • rock bass • walleye and northern pike 	occurring occurring occurring occurring occurring	occurring occurring no evidence no evidence no evidence	Fish reproduction for several target species has not been observed; reproduction of sunfish has been limited in the last four years. Adult population of these species are stable and, in some cases, increasing.
<i>The lack of suitable spawning habitat, not water quality, is the limiting factor for the reproduction of some fish species in the lake. Habitat restoration and enhancement are included in the Honeywell lake restoration efforts</i>				
Fish community structure	Species richness of the adult fish community – warm water and cool water species	Comparable to other regional lakes with similar habitat and connectivity	Adults representing 24 species were identified during electrofishing, comparable to regional lakes.	The lake’s natural temperature and dissolved oxygen conditions limit habitat for cold water species (see discussion of habitat in Section 6.5).
¹ Ambient water quality standards (AWQS), criteria and guidance regulatory citations are as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>FC- fecal coliform bacteria Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Bacteria 1986 - EPA440/5-84-002, (http://water.epa.gov/type/oceb/beaches/upload/2009_04_13_beaches_1986crit.pdf)</i> • <i>fecal coliform bacteria 6 NYCRR Part 703.4 (http://www.dec.ny.gov/regs/2485.html)</i> • <i>ammonia-N and nitrite 6 NYCRR Part 703.5 (http://www.dec.ny.gov/regs/2485.html)</i> • <i>dissolved oxygen 6 NYCRR Part 703.3 (http://www.dec.ny.gov/regs/2485.html)</i> ² 2015 Results are shaded green, yellow, or red to qualitatively represent the results as positive, mixed, or negative. ³ Secchi depth water clarity swimming safety guidance of 4 ft. NYSDOH Title 10, Section 7-2.11 ⁴ Algal blooms subjectively defined as “impaired” at >15 µg/L and “noxious” at >30 µg/L ⁵ Daily average based on average of all measurements taken within a day from the Honeywell-UFI <i>in-situ</i> water quality monitoring buoy, 2 meter depth.				

In addition to the annual snapshot provided in the table of metrics, a series of more detailed tables are presented to describe progress toward improvement with respect to specific water quality and biological attributes of Onondaga Lake (Appendix A). This appendix provides an overview of the monitoring program design, criteria used to evaluate progress, and a summary of temporal trends, including:

- [total phosphorus \(Appendix A-1\)](#)
- [chlorophyll-*a* \(Appendix A-2\)](#)
- [Secchi disk transparency \(Appendix A-3\)](#)
- [dissolved oxygen \(Appendix A-4\)](#)
- [ammonia-N \(Appendix A-5\)](#)
- [nitrite \(Appendix A-6\)](#)
- [bacteria \(Appendix A-7\)](#)
- [phytoplankton \(Appendix A-8\)](#)
- [macrophytes \(Appendix A-9\)](#)
- [zooplankton \(Appendix A-10\)](#)
- [fish \(Appendix A-11\)](#)

Metrics related to water contact recreation, aesthetic appeal, and aquatic life protection are also tracked in the tributaries to Onondaga Lake as part of the AMP. Tributaries are monitored for fecal coliform bacteria and compared to standards developed for contact recreation, although other factors limit recreational access and use of these urban streams. Bacteria data are also used to identify potential sources and track the effectiveness of stormwater management efforts. The occurrence of floatables is documented to demonstrate effectiveness of floatable control measures and support assessment of aesthetic conditions in the streams affected by CSOs. Many water quality parameters measured in the tributaries are indicators of suitability for aquatic life, e.g., dissolved oxygen, pH, and ammonia-N. The tributary macroinvertebrate community structure was evaluated in 2015 as an indicator of overall water quality and habitat conditions.

Section 2. Onondaga Lake and its Watershed

2.1 Watershed Size and Hydrology

The Onondaga Lake watershed encompasses approximately 285 square miles (740 km²), almost entirely within Onondaga County, including six natural sub-basins: Onondaga Creek, Ninemile Creek, Ley Creek, Harbor Brook, Bloody Brook, and Sawmill Creek (refer to [Figure 1-1](#)). Tributary 5A and the East Flume direct runoff and industrial discharges into the lake. Onondaga County’s Metro treatment plant discharges to Onondaga Lake. Onondaga Creek is the largest water source to the lake, followed by Ninemile Creek, Metro, Ley Creek, Harbor Brook, minor tributaries, and direct runoff ([Figure 2-1](#)). Much of the annual volume of water flowing to Onondaga Lake through the Metro treatment plant originates outside of the watershed. Water supply for the City of Syracuse is drawn from Skaneateles Lake. Lake Ontario and Otisco Lake are source waters for suburban towns and villages. Onondaga Lake discharges into the Seneca River, which flows in a northerly direction and joins the Oneida River to form the Oswego River, ultimately discharging into Lake Ontario.




Figure 2-1. Annual average inflows (gauged and ungauged) to Onondaga Lake, 1990–2015.

The tributaries convey surface runoff and groundwater seepage from the watershed to Onondaga Lake. The volume of runoff, and consequently stream flow, varies each year depending on the amount of rainfall and snow cover. Overflows from combined sewer systems also vary in response to the intensity and timing of rainfall events and to a lesser degree, snowmelt. The Metro effluent volume exhibits less annual variation, although the effects of extreme wet or dry years can be detected due to the portion of the service area served by combined sewers. The goal of the AMP is to sample the tributaries over a range of representative flow conditions, targeting a minimum of five samples collected during high flow (*High flow* is defined as one standard deviation above the long-term monthly average flow). OCDWEP targets high flow sampling events based on real-time provisional data from the USGS flow gage at Onondaga Creek-Spencer Street.

2.2 Land Use

Compared with other lakes in the Seneca-Oneida-Oswego river basin, the watershed of Onondaga Lake is relatively urbanized, as displayed in [Figure 2-2](#), a map of land cover updated in 2011. The National Land Cover Dataset classified approximately 18% of the watershed as developed (urban/suburban), 34% as forested or scrub/shrub, 9% as developed open space, and 29% as cultivated lands or pasture. The remaining 10% is comprised of wetlands, lakes and barren land. Urban areas of the City of Syracuse, two towns (Geddes and Salina) and two villages (Liverpool and Solvay) border the lake.



OCDWEP Technician Sampling Onondaga Lake.




Figure 2-2. Land cover classification map.

2.3 Morphometry

Onondaga Lake is relatively small, with a surface area of 12 km². The lake's depth averages 10.9 meters (m) with a maximum of 19.5 m. Morphologic characteristics of Onondaga Lake are summarized in [Table 2.1](#). Its bathymetry is characterized by two minor depressions, referred to as the northern and southern basins (also frequently referred to as North and South Deep), separated by a shallower region near the center of its longitudinal axis ([Figure 2-3](#)). The littoral zone, defined as the region of the lake where 1% of the incident light reaches the sediment surface, and consequently supports the growth of rooted plants, is narrow as illustrated by the proximity of the depth contours on the bathymetric map. Under current water clarity conditions, macrophyte growth extends to a water depth of approximately 6 meters; this is a more extensive littoral zone than existed in the late 1990s.

The Onondaga Lake shoreline is highly regular with few embayments. Onondaga County owns most of the shoreline, and maintains a popular park and trail system. Syracuse residents and visitors use the parklands for varied recreational activities and cultural entertainment. The lake is increasingly popular for boating; sailboats, motorboats, kayaks and canoes are familiar sights on summer days. Local and regional fishing tournaments attract anglers to the lake and shoreline each year.

Water residence time is defined as the average time water remains in the lake, and is dependent on the ratio of inflow volume to lake volume. A large watershed with a small lake volume will result in a relatively short water residence time. Because Onondaga Lake has a relatively small volume and receives drainage from a large watershed, the water residence time is short. For Onondaga Lake, there are 62 km² of watershed area for each km² of lake surface area. Because of the relatively large watershed and abundant rainfall, the inflowing water is sufficient to replace the entire lake volume about four times each year; the average water residence time is about three months on a completely mixed basis. Lakes with smaller contributing watersheds and larger volumes have a longer water residence time. For example, Skaneateles Lake has a watershed area to lake area ratio of 4.3 and a water residence time of 18 years. Oneida Lake provides another example; this large, shallow lake has a watershed area to lake area ratio of 17 and a water residence time of one-half year.

Table 2-1. Morphologic characteristics of Onondaga Lake.

Characteristic	Metric	English
Watershed area	738 km ²	285 square miles
Lake:		
Surface area	12 km ²	4.6 square miles
Volume	131 x 10 ⁶ m ³	35 billion gallons
Length	7.6 km	4.6 miles
Width	2 km	1.2 miles
Maximum depth	19.5 m	64 feet
Average depth	11 m	36 feet
Average elevation*	111 m	364 feet
Average flushing rate	~4 times per year	~4 times per year
Sources: http://www.upstatefreshwater.org/NRT-Data/System-Description/system-description.html http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/8668.html *Elevation references to mean sea level.		



Aerial View of the Metropolitan Syracuse Wastewater Treatment Plant.




Figure 2-3. Bathymetric map of Onondaga Lake, with tributaries and primary sampling locations (South Deep, North Deep) identified.

Note: bathymetry based on data from CR Environmental Inc. 2007.

Section 3. Onondaga County Actions and Progress with Related Initiatives

3.1 Onondaga County Projects and Milestones

By signing the ACJ in 1998, Onondaga County agreed to design and construct a series of engineering improvements to the wastewater collection and treatment infrastructure within the Metro service area. The County has now completed many improvements to the Metro wastewater treatment plant and the wastewater collection system, including the combined sewers (Table 3-1). The improvements to Metro have reduced phosphorus concentrations and altered the speciation of nitrogen in the fully-treated effluent, associated with year-round nitrification treatment (Table 3-1).

Abating the combined sewer overflows (CSOs) is a significant challenge (Figure 3-1). The Combined Sewer System tributary to Metro includes an area of 7,337 acres or approximately 11 square miles. CSOs are tributary to three receiving waters, Onondaga Creek, Harbor Brook, and Ley Creek. The County has employed four strategies to reduce wet weather discharges from the combined sewer system to the Metro treatment plant; namely (1) sewer separation, (2) construction of regional treatment facilities, (3) capturing floatable materials, and (4) maximization of system storage capacity, or “gray infrastructure” (Table 3-2, Table 3-3). Since 1998, the County has closed or abated 47 of the 72 CSO locations that were active prior to the ACJ.

Onondaga County’s Save the Rain (STR) Program was created in response to the Fourth Stipulation of the Amended Consent Judgment (ACJ), entered into by Onondaga County, New York State and Atlantic States Legal Foundation (ASLF) on November 16, 2009. The ACJ specifically identified Green Infrastructure (GI) as an acceptable technology for combined sewer overflow control. GI is regarded as the County’s fifth strategy to significantly reduce or eliminate the discharge of untreated combined sewage into Onondaga Lake and its tributaries, and bring the County’s effluent discharges into compliance with the applicable water quality standards for the receiving waters.

The ACJ includes a phased schedule for CSO compliance, with the goal of capturing, for treatment or elimination, no less than 95 percent by volume of CSO by 2018. This is within the meaning of the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) National CSO Policy. To meet this goal the County initiated the “Save the Rain” Program in 2009, which is implementing a combination of green and gray infrastructure that focuses on the removal of stormwater from the combined

sewer system through GI, CSO storage with conveyance to Metro, and elimination of CSO discharge points. Eleven GI projects were completed in 2015 as part of the STR program. To date, a total of 180 GI projects have been implemented in Onondaga County through the STR program. Results from the County's recently calibrated Storm Water Management Model (SWMM) indicate that GI projects are reducing stormwater runoff by 123 million gallons per year and providing CSO reduction of approximately 59 million gallons per year.

The County has completed construction on all gray infrastructure projects required in the ACJ. Going forward, the County will focus on optimizing the performance of its CSO control facilities, while continuing to implement green infrastructure in priority areas, perform maintenance on both gray and green facilities, implement best management practices (BMPs) and floatables control measures, and monitor system performance.

Two new projects will contribute importantly to upgraded treatment at Metro in the coming years. The Metro Secondary Bypass Treatment Improvements Project, which is scheduled for completion in April 2017, will reduce the occurrence of tertiary bypass events and loading of fecal coliform bacteria to Onondaga Lake. This project includes modification of the existing chlorine tank, upgrade of the chemical feed system, a new de-chlorination tank, and improved flow monitoring and facility operation. The Metro Phosphorus Optimization project will ensure consistent high-level phosphorus treatment into the future, helping to achieve water quality goals in Onondaga Lake. This project is scheduled for completion in June 2019.



Crew Practice on Onondaga Lake.

Table 3-1. Summary (timeline) of significant milestones, pollution abatement actions, lake water quality status, and biological status.

Year	Regulatory/ Management Actions	Metro Actions	CSO Abatement Actions	Water Quality Status	Biological Status
1998	Amended Consent Judgment (ACJ) signed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> cap on annual ammonia-N and phosphorus load to the lake began selection and design of improvements 	evaluation and implementation of nine minimum control measures	summer TP 55 µg/L in lake's upper waters	county began design of integrated biological monitoring program
1999	--	completed upgrade of aeration system for secondary clarifiers at Metro	Maltbie Floatables Control Facility (FCF)	--	--
2000	--	--	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Franklin FCF Harbor Brook Interim FCF 	--	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biological AMP begins littoral zone plant coverage 11% in June
2001	--	--	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teall FCF Hiawatha Regional Treatment Facility (RTF) 	--	--
2002	--	--	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Erie Blvd Storage System repairs completed Kirkpatrick St. Pump Station Upgrade 	--	strong Alewife year class followed by declines in large zooplankton
2003	Three Rivers Water Quality Model peer review completed	--	progress with sewer separation (refer to 2009)	compliance with AWQS for DO in lake upper waters during fall	--

Table 3-1. Summary (timeline) of significant milestones, pollution abatement actions, lake water quality status, and biological status.

Year	Regulatory/ Management Actions	Metro Actions	CSO Abatement Actions	Water Quality Status	Biological Status
2004	--	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • year-round nitrification of ammonia-N at Metro using BAF • Stage III SPDES limit for ammonia-N met 8 years ahead of schedule 	progress with sewer separations (refer to 2009)	compliance with AWQS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ammonia-N in lake upper waters • for fecal coliform bacteria in lake Class B segments during Metro disinfection period 	--
2005	--	Actiflo® system on-line to meet Metro Stage II SPDES limit for TP (0.12 mg/L as a 12-month rolling average)	progress with sewer separations (refer to 2009)	--	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • no summer algal blooms • littoral zone plant coverage in June: 49%. •
2006	ACJ 2 nd Amendment motion filed by NYS Attorney General's Office	--	progress with sewer separations (refer to 2009)	compliance with AWQS for nitrite in the lake's upper waters	--
2007	--	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro meets Stage 2 SPDES limit for TP on schedule. • Onondaga Lake Water Quality Model development/calibration review (Phase 2). 	progress with sewer separations (refer to 2009)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • compliance with AWQS for ammonia-N in the lake at all depths • Summer TP 25 µg/L in lake's upper waters 	mesotrophic conditions achieved
2008	ACJ amended by Stipulation #3	--	Midland Ave. Phase I and II conveyance, storage and RTF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Onondaga Lake delisted for ammonia. • summer TP 15 µg/L in lake's upper waters 	Alewife population decline followed by resurgence of large zooplankton

Table 3-1. Summary (timeline) of significant milestones, pollution abatement actions, lake water quality status, and biological status.

Year	Regulatory/ Management Actions	Metro Actions	CSO Abatement Actions	Water Quality Status	Biological Status
2009	ACJ amended by Stipulation #4	Interim Stage II TP limit of 0.10 mg/L	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinton St. conveyance • Green Infrastructure (GI) program begins • 13 sewer separation projects completed 1999–2009 	summer average TP of 17 µg/L in lake’s upper waters	strong Alewife year class
2010	--	compliance with interim Stage II TP limit of 0.10 mg/L	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harbor Brook Interceptor replacement initiated • 40 GI projects completed, eliminating 16.7 acres of impervious surfaces 	summer average TP of 25 µg/L in lake’s upper waters	resurgence of Alewife; loss of larger zooplankton
2011	NYSDEC approved AMP modifications to determine whether CSOs are causing or contributing to violations of the NYS AWQS	compliance with interim TP limit of 0.10 mg/L	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 57 GI projects completed in 2011 • Gate chamber modifications to Erie Blvd. Storage System completed • Harbor Brook Interceptor Sewer 95% complete • CSO-044 Conveyance 90% complete 	summer average TP of 20 µg/L in lake’s upper waters	continued high densities of Alewife and absence of larger zooplankton

Table 3-1. Summary (timeline) of significant milestones, pollution abatement actions, lake water quality status, and biological status.

Year	Regulatory/ Management Actions	Metro Actions	CSO Abatement Actions	Water Quality Status	Biological Status
2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro SPDES permit issued on March 21, 2012 • Onondaga Lake Water Quality Model completed and applied to TMDL for phosphorus • TMDL for phosphorus approved by USEPA on June 29, 2012 (in-lake TP concentration of 20 µg/L established as a target) 	compliance with TP limit of 0.10 mg/L as a 12-month rolling average	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 35 GI projects completed in 2012 • CSO-044 Conveyance completed • CSO-022/045 sewer separation constructed • Construction of Harbor Brook Interceptor Sewer completed • Construction of Clinton and Harbor Brook Storage Facilities 50% complete 	summer average TP of 22 µg/L in lake's upper waters	continued high densities of Alewife and absence of larger zooplankton
2013	--	compliance with TP limit of 0.10 mg/L as a 12-month rolling average	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50 GI projects completed in 2013 • Harbor Brook Interceptor Sewer (HBIS) replacement completed • Clinton Storage Facility - completed and placed into operation. • Lower Harbor Brook Storage Facility - completed and placed into operation. 	summer average TP of 25 µg/L in lake's upper waters	continued high densities of Alewife and absence of larger zooplankton

Table 3-1. Summary (timeline) of significant milestones, pollution abatement actions, lake water quality status, and biological status.

Year	Regulatory/ Management Actions	Metro Actions	CSO Abatement Actions	Water Quality Status	Biological Status
2014	<p>On June 4, 2014, the NYSDEC issued a modification to Metro’s SPDES permit. The modified permit determined Metro’s permit effluent total phosphorus concentration limits not exceed 0.10 mg/L as a 12-month rolling average and a monitoring requirement for the operation of the CSO 018 pilot constructed wetland.</p>	<p>compliance with TP limit of 0.10 mg/L as a 12-month rolling average</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 22 GI projects completed in 2014 • 95.3% of CSO volume is captured 	<p>summer average TP of 22 µg/L in lake’s upper waters</p>	<p>continued high densities of Alewife and absence of larger zooplankton</p>

Table 3-1. Summary (timeline) of significant milestones, pollution abatement actions, lake water quality status, and biological status.

Year	Regulatory/ Management Actions	Metro Actions	CSO Abatement Actions	Water Quality Status	Biological Status
2015	--	compliance with TP limit of 0.10 mg/L as a 12-month rolling average	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11 GI projects completed • In August 2015 the CSO 063 Conveyances Project was completed, including 3,100 linear feet of new pipe to convey flow to the LHBSF. • Construction of the Harbor Brook CSO 018 Constructed Wetlands Pilot Treatment System was completed and placed into operation in April 2015. • The typical year model results show that the annual CSO capture percentage for the 2015 system conditions is 96.2%, exceeding the 95% final capture milestone mandated for 2018. • Since 2011, over 5,000 trees have been planted as part of the STR street tree planting program. 	summer average TP 23 µg/L in lake's upper waters	Alewife population reduced by cold winter of 2014-2015

Table 3-1. Summary (timeline) of significant milestones, pollution abatement actions, lake water quality status, and biological status.

Year	Regulatory/ Management Actions	Metro Actions	CSO Abatement Actions	Water Quality Status	Biological Status
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The County introduced the “Connect the Drops” campaign, which focuses on preventing street litter from entering our waters and builds on the STR Program 		



Hen Mallard and Chicks on Onondaga Lake.




Figure 3-1. Map of CSO areas.

Table 3-2. ACJ and additional gray infrastructure milestones, schedules, and compliance status.

Projects	Milestone Description	Milestone Type	Milestone Date	Compliance Status
CSO 044 Conveyances	Plans and specs to NYSDEC for review and approval	Minor	06/01/2010	Achieved
	Commence construction	Minor	12/31/2010	Achieved
	Complete construction and commence operation	Major	12/31/2011	Achieved
Harbor Brook Interceptor Sewer Replacement	Plans and specs to NYSDEC for review and approval	Minor	08/17/2009	Achieved
	Commence construction	Minor	01/01/2010	Achieved
	Complete construction and commence operation	Major	12/31/2013	Achieved
Erie Boulevard Storage System Modifications	Plans and specs to NYSDEC for review and approval	Minor	09/01/2010	Achieved
	Complete required modifications	Major	12/31/2011	Achieved
Clinton Storage Facility	Plans and specs to NYSDEC for review and approval	Minor	02/01/2011 ¹	Achieved
	Commence construction	Minor	10/01/2011 ¹	Achieved
	Complete construction and commence operation	Major	12/31/2013	Achieved
Lower Harbor Brook Storage Facility	Plans and specs to NYSDEC for review and approval	Minor	04/29/2011 ¹	Achieved
	Commence construction	Minor	12/31/2011 ¹	Achieved
	Complete construction and commence operation	Major	12/31/2013	Achieved
¹ Date reflects ACJ Milestone extension approved by the NYSDEC on November 4, 2010				

Table 3-3. Additional gray infrastructure projects and implementation schedules.

Projects	Task	Compliance Status
CSO 063 Conveyances	3,100 linear feet of new pipe was installed to convey flow to the LHBSF	Completed in August 2015
Hiawatha Trunk Sewer	Rehabilitation Project (relined)	Completed November 2015
Harbor Brook pilot wetlands and Hiawatha Trunk Sewer monitoring	Sewage flow monitoring to calibrate CSO 018 and CSO 075 sewersheds	Completed 2015
Model validation – Harbor Brook Interceptor	Install flow monitoring devices to compare predicted and measured flow volumes	Completed 2015
CSO 061 Sewer Separation	Separate sanitary and stormwater flow along Crehange Street and convey all wastewater to the MIS for treatment at Metro	Design of the project is underway and it is anticipated that it will advance to the bidding and construction phase in 2016



Green Infrastructure Facility Installed on a Two Acre Parcel of Land at the Intersection of Amy Street, Delaware Avenue and Grand Avenue.

Green infrastructure features include two bio-retention areas, a rain garden, porous gravel interior paths, and porous concrete sidewalks.



Fishing from the Pier at Onondaga Lake Park.

In August 2015 the CSO 063 Conveyances Project was completed. This project included installation of 3,100 linear feet of new pipe to convey flow to the Lower Harbor Brook Storage Facility (LHBSF). The CSO volume captured in LHBSF is ultimately conveyed to Metro for treatment.

Construction of the Harbor Brook CSO 018 Constructed Wetlands Pilot Treatment System was completed and the facility was placed into operation in April 2015. The system treats overflows from CSO 018 and serves as a demonstration project to test the effectiveness of three types of constructed wetland treatment systems (i.e., floating wetland island, vertical downflow, and surface flow). A significant benefit of this pilot project is the storage volume provided by the three cells, resulting in a significant decrease in CSO discharge volume. The CSO 018 constructed wetland pilot program currently treats approximately 25 million gallons of combined sewage each year, based on 2015 conditions SWMM results for the typical year.

Since 2011, over 5,000 trees have been planted as part of the STR street tree planting program. The County recently introduced the “Connect the Drops” campaign, which focuses on preventing street litter from entering our waters and builds on the STR Program. For additional information on these and other projects please visit the Save the Rain website (savetherain.us).



View of East Washington Street Green Corridor Project.

County Executive Joanne Mahoney continues to champion the [Save the Rain](#) (STR) initiative to educate residents about stormwater management. The campaign raises awareness of effective ways to improve the environment by using rain barrels, rain gardens, porous pavement, green roofs, cisterns, and vegetated swales. The STR program continued its approach to rebuilding neighborhoods, developing strong community relationships, and advancing signature projects to solidify its place as a national leader in stormwater management. Additionally, the STR initiative continued a comprehensive public education and outreach program to engage the local community while providing continued support for program activities. For additional information on STR projects please visit the Save the Rain website (savetherain.us).



Rain Barrel Installation.

3.2 Progress with Related Initiatives

Honeywell International is proceeding with a number of projects to address industrial contamination issues, with oversight by EPA and NYSDEC. By the end of 2014, about 2.2 million cubic yards of contaminated Onondaga Lake sediments had been removed from the lake bottom by hydraulic dredging. The dredging effort was completed a year ahead of schedule. In 2015, the capping phase of the sediment restoration effort continued, and extended into 2016. About 450 acres of the lake bottom are being capped to provide a new habitat layer, prevent erosion, and isolate remaining contaminants. To date, 44 acres of wetlands have been restored at Geddes Brook, Harbor Brook, Ninemile Creek, the former LCP Chemicals site, and along the western shoreline of Onondaga Lake. More than 110 species of fish, birds, and mammals have returned to restored wetlands, including mink, bald eagles, and northern pike. About 1.1 million plants, shrubs, and trees will be planted within the watershed to enhance fish and wildlife habitat. Additional details can be found on Honeywell's project website (<http://www.lakecleanup.com>).

Following the success of a three-year pilot test, nitrate additions to the deep waters of Onondaga Lake continued in 2015. The nitrate additions are designed to limit the release of methylmercury from the sediments under the deep portions of the lake by altering the chemical oxidation status of the overlying lake water. A liquid calcium-nitrate solution is injected into the deep waters of the lake several times each week during the summer

stratification interval, when oxygen concentrations fall below critical levels in the deep waters. The nitrate additions have reduced the flux of methylmercury and soluble reactive phosphorus out of the sediments by over 90%. Detailed descriptions of Honeywell's planned remedial projects, designed to reduce the adverse impacts of legacy pollutants and restore aquatic habitat, are on the NYSDEC web site <http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/48828.html>. The Onondaga Lake Visitors Center opened on the southwest shoreline of the lake in 2012 and provides the public with access to information on the lake cleanup. Thousands of people have visited the Center to learn more about Onondaga Lake and the cleanup project. Additional information on Honeywell's remediation activities is available on their project website <http://www.lakecleanup.com>.

For decades, scientists at Onondaga County Department of Water Environment Protection (OCDWEP) and the State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry (SUNY-ESF) have been monitoring Onondaga Lake to evaluate how biological communities are changing as pollution levels decline. A formal collaboration was launched in 2011. Researchers from Cornell University with interests in the lower trophic levels (phytoplankton, macrophytes, zooplankton, and Dreissenid mussels) have also been part of the collaborative monitoring and data interpretation efforts. The significance of the Alewife in structuring the lake's food web is another area of active research. Taken together, the findings of both academic and agency programs offer a unique window into the ecological changes taking place in this valuable community asset.



Onondaga Lake Dredging Operations.

Section 4. Tributary Water Quality: 2015 Results and Long-Term Trends

4.1 Tributary Monitoring Program

The primary objectives of the tributary monitoring program are to assess compliance with ambient water quality standards in the tributary streams and to estimate loading of materials to the lake, including the volume and loading of materials from combined sewer overflows (CSOs). In addition, results from the annual tributary monitoring data, conducted on a biweekly basis, are used to support long-term trend analysis. To support these objectives, seven tributaries to the lake are monitored, as are the Metro effluent and the Onondaga Lake outlet. The tributary monitoring program targets sample collection over a range of streamflow conditions, including a minimum number of high flow events as defined by statistical analysis of long-term flow records.

The post construction monitoring program (PCCM) includes monitoring of receiving waters and influent chambers of CSO facilities to support assessment of the effectiveness of green and gray infrastructure and CSO controls. Following discussions with NYSDEC in May 2015, the 2015 PCCM Sampling Program was approved for implementation on August 26, 2015. The protocol for sample collection was consistent with the procedures outlined in the AMP Five-Year Work Plan. The 2015 PCCM program included modifications to both the CSO facility and in-stream sampling programs (see [Section 4.8.2](#) for details). High frequency monitoring during storm events is an important component of the PCCM efforts. In 2015 high frequency monitoring was conducted during six storm events, four events on Harbor Brook and two events on Onondaga Creek.

Additional information on the tributary monitoring program can be found in the [Five-Year \(2014–2018\) AMP Work Plan](#). Results for key parameters and tributary sampling locations are presented in this section. Long-term time series covering 2006–2015 are provided for all AMP parameters and tributary sampling locations in [Appendix D-1](#).

4.2 Meteorological Drivers and Streamflow

Meteorological conditions in the Central New York region are subject to substantial seasonal variations. These conditions typically vary day-to-day, and noteworthy differences are commonly observed between years. Air temperature is the primary determinant of stream temperatures, which can affect the fate and transport of these inflows in the lake. However, precipitation, as the primary driver of stream flow, is the single most important meteorological attribute affecting material loading from the tributaries. Annual precipitation totaled 41.9 inches in 2015, 8% higher than the 30-year historic (1985–2014) average of 38.9 inches and 1.5 inches greater than the 40.5 inches received in 2014. Monthly precipitation totals were higher than the long-term averages in February, May, June, September, October, and December (Figure 4-1). Precipitation was particularly high during June when 9.9 inches were measured, making it the wettest June since 1972. The months of January, March, April, July, August, and November, were dryer than the long-term average. November was a particularly dry month, with just 0.9 inches compared to the long-term average of 3.4 inches. Snowfall for the winter of 2014–2015 totaled 119.7 inches, nearly equivalent to the 1951–2013 average of 119 inches.




Figure 4-1. Monthly precipitation in 2015 compared to the long-term (1985–2014) average.

Substantial year-to-year variations in precipitation are reflected in the wide range of annual average flows carried by Onondaga Creek during the 1971–2015 interval (Figure 4-2). Despite above average precipitation during 2015, the annual average flow for Onondaga Creek was 7% lower than the average for the 45-year record (Figure 4-2). The apparent contradiction of higher precipitation and lower streamflow is likely related to the timing of precipitation. Twenty-four percent of the total annual precipitation for 2015 occurred during the month of June, when evapotranspiration is high. This would cause a relatively low percentage of the

rainfall to enter the streams as runoff. Plotting the long-term annual average flows in a cumulative probability format shows that 67% of the annual average flows were higher than the average flow measured in 2015 (Figure 4-3).




Figure 4-2. Distribution of the annual average of daily average flows for Onondaga Creek at Spencer Street, 1971–2015.

Note: Annual average values for 2011–2015 and the mean for the entire 45-year record are identified.




Figure 4-3. Cumulative probability plot of annual average flows for Onondaga Creek at Spencer Street, 1971–2015.

Daily streamflow measurements for the major tributaries in 2015 depict major runoff events in early April, late June, early July, and late September (Figure 4-4). Following a dry interval from January through March, the April to June period was characterized by a series of significant runoff events and elevated flows in the tributaries. The wettest day of 2015 was June 30, when 2.64 inches of rain were recorded at Hancock International Airport. This event caused particularly high stream flows in Ley Creek and Harbor Brook, but was not captured by the monitoring program. The flows and material loadings received during late spring and early summer are considered particularly important in influencing summer water quality in receiving lakes. Indeed, the elevated flows of May and June 2015 would be expected to have significant impacts on lake water quality during the summer months. A major runoff event also occurred on September 29-30, when 2.98 inches of rain fell over two days. Relative to runoff events that occur during spring and summer, this event would have a diminished impact on lake water quality during the following summer season.

Concentrations, and thereby loading rates, of many constituents of water quality interest are known to depend importantly on the magnitude of stream flow. In recognition of this, the AMP targets a broad range of flow conditions to support robust loading rate estimates. Specifically, a minimum of five sampling events were targeted during high flow conditions (defined as stream flow at the Onondaga Creek-Spencer St. gauge of at least one standard deviation above the long-term monthly average). In 2015 this goal was exceeded by a wide margin on Onondaga Creek, Ninemile Creek, Ley Creek, and Harbor Brook (Figure 4-4). The percentage of samples collected during high flow conditions ranged from 18% at Harbor Brook and Ley Creek to 24% at Ninemile Creek.



Harbor Brook Wetland.




Figure 4-4. Hydrographs showing USGS tributary flows in 2015 compared with the 30-year average (1985–2014) flow for (a) Onondaga Creek, (b) Ninemile Creek, (c) Ley Creek, (d) Harbor Brook, and (e) daily precipitation at Metro.

Note: points indicate days of sampling.

4.3 Loading Estimates

4.3.1 Methodology

Dr. William Walker (<http://www.wwwalker.net/onondaga>) developed customized software for WEP staff to calculate annual loads using the program **AUTOFLUX**, method 5. This software was designed to support load estimates from detailed (e.g., continuous) flow measurements and less frequent (often biweekly) analyses of tributary water quality samples. Concentrations from the tributary monitoring program are stratified by flow regime and by season using a multiple regression technique. High-frequency measurements collected during storm events are incorporated into the calculations. Conditions during unmonitored periods are estimated using a residual interpolation method that includes a flow derivative term. This term was included to account for the potential effect of differences in the flow and concentration relationship depending on whether samples were collected during periods of increasing or decreasing flows. This software was used to compute all of the loading estimates presented in this report. Annual loading estimates for selected parameters are presented for 2015 ([Table 4-1](#)), mostly in units of metric tons (mt). Forms of phosphorus and nitrogen are measured frequently in the Metro effluent. Tributary loading calculations were supported by at least 21 observations within the year, except for East Flume Manhole 015 (n=4) and Tributary 5A (n=4). Fecal coliform samples were collected more frequently (5 samples per month) to allow for determination of compliance with the AWQS. Note that estimated daily discharges for Harbor Brook are rated as poor by USGS and thus contribute to greater uncertainty in loading estimates at this site.

4.3.2 Results for Key Constituents

The largest **total phosphorus** (TP) loads to Onondaga Lake in 2015 were delivered by the two largest tributaries, Ninemile and Onondaga Creeks, and by the Metro effluent ([Table 4-1](#), [Table 4-2](#)). Ninemile Creek was the predominant source of TP, contributing 35% of the total load. Ley Creek and the Metro Bypass (002) were estimated to be the fourth and fifth largest sources of TP, respectively. Metro's contribution was substantially greater before the Actiflo® upgrade in 2005. Total phosphorus loads in 2015 were 11% lower than in 2014, consistent with slightly lower stream flow in 2014. From 2014 to 2015 the TP load from Onondaga Creek decreased by 39%, while loads from Ninemile Creek and Metro (001+002) increased by 16% and 3%, respectively. Reduced TP loading from Onondaga Creek was primarily associated with a 40% decrease in loading at Dorwin Ave. Increased loading from Ninemile Creek was caused by a 33% increase in the average TP concentration, potentially related to ongoing cleanup

activities in the stream. The Metro effluent (001+002), Ninemile Creek, and Onondaga Creek, were also the largest contributors of [total dissolved phosphorus](#) (TDP) loads in 2015. The TDP fraction is a better representation of bioavailable forms than is TP, as a large portion of particulate phosphorus is typically unavailable to support algal growth.

The phosphorus TMDL for Onondaga Lake established both an average pollutant load allocation (77,668 pounds per year) and a maximum pollutant load allocation (114,975 pounds per year). The former is intended to protect the lake in the long run, while the latter was used to develop permit limits, recognizing variability in loads. The estimated total phosphorus load in 2015 was 84,657 pounds per year (converted from 38.4 metric tons in [Table 4-1](#)). In an effort to reduce phosphorus levels in stormwater runoff, New York State restricted the use of phosphorus fertilizer on lawns and non-agricultural turf beginning January 1, 2012. The phosphorus TMDL for Onondaga Lake has not yet been fully implemented. Phosphorus loading reductions associated with CSOs and the Metro Bypass are scheduled to be fully implemented by 12/31/18. Implementation dates for agriculture and MS4s are 12/31/22 and 12/31/25, respectively.

The Metro effluent (001+002) was the leading source of both [total nitrogen](#) (TN; 68%) and [ammonia](#) nitrogen (NH₃-N; 46%) to the lake in 2015 ([Table 4-1](#), [Table 4-2](#)). The second largest source of ammonia-N in 2015 was Ninemile Creek (28%), followed by Onondaga Creek (13%). The [total suspended solids](#) (TSS) load was dominated by inputs from Onondaga Creek (54%) and Ninemile Creek (34%), which combined to account for 89% of the total load to Onondaga Lake. The high TSS load in Onondaga Creek is at least in part attributable to inputs from the mud boils in upstream portions of its watershed. However, it is noteworthy that TSS loading in Onondaga Creek decreased 36% at Dorwin Ave. and 50% at Kirkpatrick St. from 2014 to 2015. These decreases are only partially attributable to the 18% reduction in streamflow from 2014 to 2015. Although we are unaware of a recent decrease in mudboil activity, it could be a potential cause for the observed decrease in TSS loading.

Table 4-1. Annual loading estimates for selected water quality constituents, 2015.

Notes: mt = metric tons. n represents the number of water quality samples included in the annual load calculation.

Parameters ¹	TP		TDP		TN ⁷		NH ₃ -N		TSS		FC ²		
	units	mt	n	mt	n	mt	n ³	mt	n	mt	n	10 ¹⁰ cfu	n
Metro:													
Treated Effluent (001) ⁵	5.7	(358)	1.7	(246)	1,160	(358)	32.0	(358)	500	(358)	61,504	(209)	
Bypass (002) ⁶	2.0	(45)	1.2	(6)	25	(45)	11.3	(45)	98	(45)	210,980	(33)	
Watershed:													
East Flume ⁴ Manhole 015	0.1	(4)	0.1	(4)	6	(4)	0.3	(4)	10	(4)	149	(4)	
Harbor Brook-Velasko ⁴	0.4	(33)	0.1	(25)	18	(33)	0.4	(33)	249	(26)	10,102	(56)	
Harbor Brook- Hiawatha ⁴	0.6	(32)	0.2	(26)	20	(33)	1.0	(33)	399	(26)	23,611	(57)	
Ley Creek ⁴	4.3	(21)	1.1	(21)	45	(21)	10.8	(21)	906	(21)	89,027	(46)	
Ninemile Creek ⁴	13.3	(25)	2.6	(25)	240	(25)	25.8	(25)	5509	(25)	121,028	(50)	
Onondaga Creek- Dorwin ⁴	9.2	(29)	1.3	(26)	194	(29)	5.5	(29)	6878	(26)	66,991	(51)	
Onondaga Creek- Kirkpatrick ⁴	12.1	(24)	2.4	(26)	239	(26)	12.6	(26)	8846	(26)	141,952	(51)	
Tributary 5A ⁴	0.2	(5)	0.1	(4)	4	(4)	0.2	(4)	24	(4)	315	(42)	
Total	38.4	--	9.3	--	1,739	--	93.9	--	16,293	--	648,565	--	
Notes:													
¹ Parameters are: TP (total phosphorus), TDP (total dissolved phosphorus), TN (total nitrogen), NH ₃ -N (ammonia-N), TSS (total suspended solids), and FC (fecal coliform bacteria). Because TDP was not measured on the Bypass, soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) loads are reported rather than TDP loads.													
² FC- fecal coliform bacteria loads have a very high standard error due to the episodic nature of the FC inputs.													
³ Not measured directly, counts reflect NH ₃ -N counts.													
⁴ Tributary loading results are calculated using 2015 measurements and concentration-flow relationships for the 2006-2015, processed through AutoFlux Method 5.													
⁵ Metro Effluent Outfall 001 loads for TP, TSS, and NH ₃ -N are calculated using daily observations. FC are collected biweekly as part of the long-term tributary program and daily from April 1 to October 15 (per SPDES permit during disinfection season).													
⁶ Metro Bypass Outfall 002 loads are calculated using periodic grab samples when Outfall 002 is active (secondary bypass events when the capacity of Metro is exceeded).													
⁷ TN loads were calculated by summing the annual NH ₃ -N, NO ₃ -N, NO ₂ -N, and ORG-N loads													

Table 4-2. Percent annual loading contribution by gauged inflow in 2015.

Parameter	TP	TDP	TN	NH ₃ -N	TSS	FC	Water
Metro:							
Treated Effluent (001)	15.0%	18.4%	66.7%	34.1%	3.1%	9.5%	20.4%
Bypass (002)	5.2%	12.5%	1.4%	12.0%	0.6%	32.5%	0.4%
Other Sources:							
Harbor Brook	1.6%	2.4%	1.2%	1.1%	2.5%	3.6%	2.5%
Ley Creek	11.2%	12.0%	2.6%	11.5%	5.6%	13.7%	8.8%
Ninemile Creek	34.7%	27.9%	13.8%	27.5%	33.8%	18.7%	32.2%
Onondaga Creek	31.7%	25.5%	13.8%	13.4%	54.3%	21.9%	35.1%
Tributary 5A	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%




Figure 4-5. Percent contributions to 2015 total load to Onondaga Lake for (a) total phosphorus and (b) fecal coliform bacteria.

The primary sources of fecal coliform bacteria were the Metro Bypass (33%), Onondaga Creek (22%), Ninemile Creek (19%), and Ley Creek (14%). The combined loading from these four sources accounted for 88% of the total fecal coliform load to Onondaga Lake. The Metro (001+002) contribution to total fecal coliform loading increased from 20% in 2014 to 42% in 2015. Fifty-three percent of the total fecal coliform load in Onondaga Creek entered between Dorwin and Kirkpatrick, while 57% of the total fecal coliform load in Harbor Brook entered between Velasko and Hiawatha. Loading contributions of gauged inputs for selected constituents in 2015 are presented here in both tabular ([Table 4-2](#)) and graphical ([Figure 4-5](#)) formats. Loading estimates for additional constituents are provided in [Appendix D-2](#) and relative standard errors of these estimates can be found in [Appendix D-6](#). Total annual loads (tributaries and Metro) to Onondaga Lake for the 1996–2015 interval are presented in [Appendix D-3](#).

The relative potency of the various inflows can be represented by comparisons of annual flow-weighted average concentrations (total annual loads (mass) ÷ total flow (volume)) calculated for each input. Flow-weighted concentrations for 2015 are presented in [Table 4-3](#) for selected constituents. The flow-weighted concentrations of total phosphorus ranged from 56 µg/L in Harbor Brook to 121 µg/L in East Flume Manhole 015, but were much higher for the partially treated Metro bypass (1,138 µg/L). Concentrations of total dissolved phosphorus were lowest in Onondaga Creek (11 µg/L) and highest in the bypass (661 µg/L). The Metro effluent, Metro bypass, and East Flume Manhole-015 were enriched in total nitrogen relative to the other inputs. Concentrations of TSS were highest in Onondaga Creek and the bypass and lowest in the fully treated Metro effluent. The bypass had the highest fecal coliform concentrations, followed by Ley Creek and Harbor Brook. The complete list of constituent flow-weighted average concentrations and relative standard errors is provided in tabular format ([Appendix D-4](#)).



Fish from Onondaga Lake on Display at the 2014 Clean Water Fair
September 6, 2014 at Metro.

Table 4-3. Average annual flow and flow-weighted average concentrations for selected constituents in Onondaga Lake tributaries, 2015.

Parameters ¹	Flow	TP		TDP		TN ⁷		NH ₃ -N		TSS		FC ²	
	units	(ft ³ /s)	µg/L	n	µg/L	n	mg/L	n ³	mg/L	n	mg/L	n	10 ¹⁰ cfu
Metro:													
Treated Effluent (001) ⁵	99	65	(358)	19	(246)	13.1	(358)	0.36	(358)	6	(358)	696	(209)
Bypass (002) ⁶	2	1,138	(45)	661	(6)	14.0	(45)	6.44	(45)	56	(45)	120,557	(33)
East Flume ⁴ Manhole 015	1	121	(4)	125	(4)	10.8	(4)	0.45	(4)	18	(4)	264	(4)
Tributaries:													
Harbor Brook-Velasko ⁴	10	40	(33)	15	(25)	2.0	(33)	0.04	(33)	27	(26)	1,098	(56)
Harbor Brook-Hiawatha ⁴	12	56	(32)	20	(26)	1.9	(33)	0.10	(33)	37	(26)	2,189	(57)
Ley Creek ⁴	43	113	(21)	29	(21)	1.2	(21)	0.28	(21)	24	(21)	2,323	(46)
Ninemile Creek ⁴	156	96	(25)	18	(25)	1.7	(25)	0.18	(25)	40	(25)	868	(50)
Onondaga Creek-Dorwin ⁴	133	80	(24)	16	(26)	1.6	(26)	0.08	(26)	58	(26)	934	(51)
Onondaga Creek-Kirkpatrick ⁴	170	77	(29)	11	(26)	1.6	(29)	0.05	(29)	58	(26)	563	(51)
Tributary 5A ⁴	2	78	(5)	30	(4)	1.8	(4)	0.11	(4)	11	(4)	152	(42)
All Inflows⁸	628	81	--	20	--	3.7	--	0.20	--	35	--	1,376	--
Notes:													
¹ Parameters are TP (total phosphorus), TDP (total dissolved P), TN (total nitrogen), NH ₃ -N (ammonia-N), TSS (total suspended solids), and FC (fecal coliforms). Because TDP was not measured on the Bypass, SRP loads are reported rather than TDP loads.													
² FC loads have a very high standard error due to the episodic nature of the FC inputs.													
³ Not measured directly, sample counts reflect NH ₃ -N counts.													
⁴ Tributary flow-weighted concentrations are calculated using 2015 observations (n = number of samples for 2015) processed through AutoFlux Method 5.													
⁵ Metro Effluent Outfall 001 loads for TP, TSS, and NH ₃ -N are calculated using daily observations; FC are collected biweekly as part of the long-term tributary program and daily during the Metro disinfection period of April 1–October 15.													
⁶ Metro Bypass Outfall 002 loads are calculated using periodic grab samples when the capacity of Metro is exceeded.													
⁷ All TN flow-weighted concentrations were calculated by dividing the total TN load (see Table 4-1) by the total flow volume for each site.													
⁸ Flow-weighted average concentrations for the sum of all inflows (Metro+tributaries).													

4.3.3 Phosphorus Loading and Bioavailability

Estimates of total phosphorus loads for the tributaries have generally been greater in higher runoff years (Figure 4-6). Annual rainfall for the 1990–2015 period explained 45% of the year-to-year variation in total phosphorus loading according to linear least-squares regression ($p < 0.01$). Two- to three-fold differences in total phosphorus loads from the watershed can be expected because of natural variations in rainfall. Clearly, variations in runoff need to be considered when evaluating year-to-year dynamics in lake water quality.




Figure 4-6. Daily average total phosphorus (TP) loading from the watershed versus annual precipitation for the 1990–2015 period.

Note: precipitation data from: <http://www.nws.noaa.gov/climate/xmacis.php?wfo=bgm>

The timing of phosphorus loads within a year is a potentially important factor influencing algal growth during the critical summer months, particularly in the context of the rapid flushing rate of Onondaga Lake (~ 4 times per year). Although a portion of the phosphorus load received in the fall to winter interval can contribute through various recycle pathways (e.g., sediment diagenesis), it is largely flushed through the lake, or particulate forms are deposited, by the following spring. Accordingly, late spring and summer loads are expected to be the most important for this lake. Monthly loads of total phosphorus (TP) and total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) are presented for 2015 (Figure 4-7). Compared to recent years (Appendix D-5), watershed loading of total phosphorus in 2015 was relatively high during June and July. The

relatively high loading of total dissolved phosphorus during April-July was available to support algal growth during spring and summer. Monthly total phosphorus loads are presented for the years 2012–2015 for comparison ([Appendix D-5](#)). There has been a recurring seasonal pattern driven by the seasonality of runoff, with the lowest loads generally prevailing in the summer and the highest in winter and spring. Substantial interannual differences in loading have occurred because of the dependency on the timing of runoff.




Figure 4-7. Monthly phosphorus loading to Onondaga Lake from Metro and watershed sources in 2015: (a) total phosphorus (TP) and (b) total dissolved phosphorus (TDP).

Increasingly, lake management programs acknowledge that only a portion of the total phosphorus loading to a lake is available to support algal growth. It is important to note that only dissolved forms of phosphorus can be utilized by algae. Much of the total phosphorus loading from the primary tributaries and Metro is in the form of particulate phosphorus (PP). Only a fraction of this PP is converted to dissolved forms that are available to support algal growth. At least two other processes further limit the potential for external total phosphorus loads to support algal growth: (1) settling of PP before it can be transformed and (2) the plunging of dense inputs that are colder or more saline than the upper waters of the lake. Experiments conducted with the Metro effluent in 2009 established the limited bioavailability of this phosphorus load (Effler et al. 2012). Only about 30% of the total phosphorus load from Metro is in a dissolved form, while the remaining 70% is in particulate form. Bioavailability assays established that only 1% of the particle bound phosphorus was available to support algal growth. Moreover, the PP from Metro had an unusually high settling rate and a portion plunged below layers where algae grow. Further reductions in PP are unlikely to contribute importantly to decreased algal growth. In contrast, the bioavailability of PP from the primary tributaries ranged from 22% to 52% (Effler et al. 2002).

Bioavailability considerations highlight the importance of assessing loading rates for the major forms of phosphorus. The changes in loading from Metro and the tributaries from the 1990–1998 interval (before the ACJ) to after implementation of Actiflo® (2007–2015) are presented here for total phosphorus (Table 4-4), total dissolved phosphorus (Table 4-5), and soluble reactive phosphorus (Table 4-6). Loading of total phosphorus was reduced by 87% for the fully treated Metro effluent (Table 4-4). The 76% decrease in the total phosphorus load from the bypass is also noteworthy. The changes for the tributaries over this period have been relatively modest, including 42% and 23% decreases for Ley Creek and Onondaga Creek, respectively. In recent years (post-Actiflo®), Metro (effluent plus bypass) has represented about 23% of the total phosphorus load, the third largest source, after Onondaga and Ninemile Creeks.

Loading rates of total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) are particularly important, as these forms of phosphorus are generally available to support algal growth. The contributions of Metro versus those of the tributaries to annual TDP loading for the 2007–2015 interval (post-Actiflo® upgrade) are presented in Table 4-5. Metro's average contribution to TDP loading over this interval was 29%, approximately equivalent to loadings from Ninemile Creek (29%) and Onondaga Creek (27%). Flow-weighted concentrations of total dissolved phosphorus for the three smallest tributaries considered (Harbor Brook, Tributary 5A, and East Flume-Manhole 015) were higher than for the treated Metro effluent (0.023 mg/L). The Actiflo® upgrade resulted in a 98% reduction in SRP loading from the treated Metro

effluent (Table 4-6). The SRP fraction is particularly noteworthy because it is immediately available to support algal growth. Loading of SRP from the Metro bypass declined by 80% during this period. Metro’s combined SRP load represents about 15% of the contemporary total, less than one-half of the inputs from Onondaga Creek (34%) or Ninemile Creek (32%).

Table 4-4. A comparison of total phosphorus (TP) loading and flow-weighted concentrations for pre-ACJ (1990–1998) and post-Actiflo® (2007–2015) periods.

Note: mt = metric tons; concentrations flow-weighted

Site	1990-1998 (pre ACJ)				2007- 2015 (post-Actiflo®)			
	Flow (%)	TP (mt P/yr)	TP (% load)	TP (mg P/L)	Flow (%)	TP (mt P/yr)	TP (% load)	TP (mg P/L)
Metro:								
fully treated	21%	52	57%	0.56	19%	6.9	18%	0.08
Bypass	1%	8.5	8%	1.83	0%	2.0	5%	1.18
Tributaries:								
East Flume Manhole 015	0%	0.2	0%	0.20	0%	0.1	0%	0.13
Harbor Brook	2%	0.7	1%	0.07	2%	0.8	2%	0.07
Ley Creek	9%	5.7	6%	0.14	8%	3.3	8%	0.09
Ninemile Creek	32%	10.2	10%	0.07	33%	10.9	27%	0.07
Onondaga Creek	34%	20.1	19%	0.12	36%	15.5	38%	0.09
Tributary 5A	1%	0.2	0%	0.05	0%	0.1	0%	0.10
Total	--	97.4	--	--	--	39.6	--	--

Table 4-5. Total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) loading and flow-weighted concentrations for the post-Actiflo® (2007–2015) period.

Note: mt = metric tons; concentrations flow-weighted; TDP wasn’t measured during the pre-ACJ period.

Site	2007- 2015 (post-Actiflo®)			
	Flow (%)	TDP (mt P/yr)	TDP (% load)	TDP (mg P/L)
Metro:				
fully treated	19%	2.0	22%	0.02
Bypass	0%	0.6	7%	0.37
Tributaries:				
East Flume Manhole 015	0%	0.1	1%	0.10
Harbor Brook	2%	0.4	4%	0.03
Ley Creek	8%	0.9	10%	0.02
Ninemile Creek	33%	2.8	29%	0.02
Onondaga Creek	36%	2.6	27%	0.02
Tributary 5A	0%	0.1	1%	0.04
Total	--	9.3	--	--

Table 4-6. A comparison of soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) loading and flow-weighted concentrations for pre-ACJ (1990–1998) and post-Actiflo® (2007–2015) periods.

Note: mt = metric tons; concentrations flow-weighted

Site	1990-1998 (pre ACJ)				2007- 2015 (post-Actiflo®)			
	Flow (%)	SRP (mt P/yr)	SRP (% load)	SRP (mg P/L)	Flow (%)	SRP (mt P/yr)	SRP (% load)	SRP (mg P/L)
Metro:								
fully treated	21%	12.0	59%	0.13	19%	0.26	5%	0.003
Bypass	1%	2.5	10%	0.50	0%	0.51	10%	0.291
Tributaries:								
East Flume Manhole 015	0%	0.1	0%	0.09	0%	0.06	1%	0.091
Harbor Brook	2%	0.3	1%	0.02	2%	0.30	6%	0.026
Ley Creek	9%	1.4	6%	0.03	8%	0.53	11%	0.014
Ninemile Creek	32%	1.7	8%	0.01	33%	1.70	32%	0.011
Onondaga Creek	34%	3.3	16%	0.02	36%	1.70	34%	0.010
Tributary 5A	1%	0.0	0%	0.01	0%	0.04	1%	0.029
Total	--	21.2	--	--	--	5.1	--	--

4.4 Metro Performance

The ammonia-N concentration of the Metro effluent decreased dramatically with the implementation of the BAF treatment upgrade in 2004 (Figure 4-8). Upgraded treatment resulted in a 98% decrease in ammonia-N loading to the lake from Metro (Figure 4-8). Efficient, year-round nitrification of ammonia-N reduced Metro’s contribution to the total annual load (Metro + tributaries) from 91% to 44% (Figure 4-9). The seasonal regulatory limits for ammonia-N concentrations in the Metro effluent are presently 1.2 mg/L for the June 1 to October 31 interval and 2.4 mg/L for November 1 to May 31. With the notable exception of October, monthly average concentrations continued to meet these limits by a wide margin in 2015; 2014 conditions are included for reference (Figure 4-10). The October exceedance was related to a planned shutdown/bypass of the tertiary treatment process from 10/23/15 to 10/30/15 for scheduled maintenance and inspections. Seasonality in the performance of the nitrification treatment is observed, with the lowest ammonia-N concentrations reported in summer. This seasonality is consistent with the timing of the limits, as well as the known dependence of nitrification treatment performance on temperature.




Figure 4-8. Time plot of the annual daily average Metro (outfalls 001+002) and tributary ammonia-N loading (metric tons per year) to Onondaga Lake, 1990–2015.




Figure 4-9. Contributions of Metro (outfalls 001+002) and the watershed to the total annual input of ammonia-N to Onondaga Lake, average for 1990–2004 compared to the average for 2007–2015.




Figure 4-10. Metro effluent monthly average ammonia-N concentrations compared to permit limits for 2014 and 2015.

The total phosphorus concentration of Metro’s effluent and associated loading (Figure 4-11) decreased dramatically with the implementation of the Actiflo® treatment upgrade. Moreover, Metro’s contribution to the total annual phosphorus load decreased from 61% over the 1990 to 2004 interval to 22% during 2007–2015 (Figure 4-12). Total phosphorus concentrations for the 2006–2015 interval are presented as a 12-month rolling average concentration, calculated monthly, consistent with the format of the regulatory limit (Figure 4-13). Accordingly, each monthly value on the plot corresponds to the average total phosphorus concentration of that month combined with the 11 preceding months. Initially, the limit was 0.12 mg/L (or 120 µg/L), starting in the spring of 2007. As part of the November 2009 Fourth Stipulation Amending the ACJ, the interim Stage II total phosphorus effluent limit became 0.10 mg/L (Figure 4-13). These limits have been successfully met with the Actiflo® treatment upgrade. The rolling average total phosphorus concentration in the Metro effluent has remained below 0.10 mg/L since mid-2008 and below 0.07 mg/L since May 2013 (Figure 4-13). During 2015, the average total phosphorus concentration in the Metro effluent was 0.066 mg/L.




Figure 4-11. Time plot of the annual daily average Metro (outfalls 001+002) total phosphorus (TP) loading (metric tons per year) to Onondaga Lake, 1990–2015.




Figure 4-12. Contributions of Metro (outfalls 001+002) and the watershed to the annual input of total phosphorus to Onondaga Lake, average for 1990–2004 compared to the average of 2007–2015.



Figure 4-13. Metro effluent total phosphorus concentrations compared to permit limits for the 2006–2015 interval.

Note: Concentrations are monthly rolling average values for 12-month intervals. Interim limits were in place through June 30, 2012. The final limit of 0.10 mg/L as a 12-month rolling average has been in place since June 30, 2012.

The major reductions in ammonia-N and total phosphorus loading from treatment upgrades at Metro (BAF and Actiflo®, respectively) were identified and graphically supported (Figure 4-8 for ammonia-N, Figure 4-11 for total phosphorus). The BAF upgrade resulted in a 98% decrease in ammonia-N loading to the lake from Metro. Implementation of the Actiflo® upgrade achieved an 85% decrease in total phosphorus loading from Metro compared to the early 1990s. Loading of total nitrogen from Metro has not changed substantially from the BAF upgrade, but a highly desirable shift in the contribution of the various forms was achieved. Implementation of the BAF treatment reduced the Metro loading of ammonia-N and nitrite, forms of nitrogen that raise water quality concerns. The BAF also increased the input of nitrate, a form of nitrogen that is not a water quality concern for Onondaga Lake. Moreover, the increased nitrate loading from Metro is having beneficial effects on the lake by diminishing the cycling of phosphorus and mercury (Matthews et al. 2013).

Metro performance relative to the requirements of the most recent modification to the SPDES permit, dated June 4, 2014, is provided in Appendix C-4. There were no violations of permit limits reported for Outfall 001 in 2015 for the following parameters: flow, fecal coliform bacteria, pH, settleable solids, total phosphorus, and total mercury. The SPDES permit limits for both CBOD5 and suspended solids percent removal were each determined to be in non-

compliance twice due to failure to collect influent samples. The limits for 30-day average ammonia-N concentration and daily maximum total cyanide concentration were each exceeded once in 2015. As noted previously, the exceedance for ammonia-N was related to a planned shutdown/bypass of the tertiary treatment process from 10/23/15 to 10/30/15 for scheduled maintenance and inspections. The daily average permit limit for total phenols was exceeded on six occasions. Quarterly whole effluent toxicity testing (WET) of the Metro effluent in 2015 indicated no issues with acute or chronic toxicity. The quarterly action level limit for chloroform was exceeded in three quarters during 2015. This triggered a short-term, high intensity monitoring effort. No SPDES limit violations were documented for the Metro Bypass in 2015.

A schematic of the Metro treatment process, including the various outfalls, is available on page 32 of the Metro SPDES permit ([Appendix C-7](#)). Flow exits Metro through four outfalls, depending on the level of wastewater treatment:

- headworks bypass – Outfall 01B
- secondary bypass – Outfall 002
- tertiary bypass – Outfall 01A
- fully treated – Outfall 001

Metro provided full treatment to an average flow of 65.1 million gallons per day (mgd) in 2015, which was discharged to the lake through Outfall 001. On an annual basis, discharge from Outfall 001 was more than 23.7 billion gallons. During particularly high runoff intervals, inflows to Metro can exceed the capacity of the facility to provide full treatment of wastewater. Portions of this inflow receive partial treatment, usually primary treatment and disinfection, and are discharged via Outfall 002 (secondary bypass; [Appendix C-1](#)). There were 60 secondary bypasses in 2015, which had a combined duration of 387 hours and a total volume of 462 million gallons. Less frequently, the inflow receives secondary treatment and disinfection prior to discharge via Outfall 01A (tertiary bypass; [Appendix C-2](#)). In 2015 there were 19 tertiary bypasses that contributed a total of 41 million gallons over a period of 80 hours. These inputs are of concern because concentrations of various constituents are higher compared to the fully treated effluent, as described above. Rarely, under particularly extreme runoff conditions, a small portion of the inflow to the facility receives no treatment and is discharged via Outfall 01B (plant headworks are bypassed; [Appendix C-3](#)). There was a single headworks bypass in 2015 that lasted 1.6 hours and discharged a total volume of 0.65 million gallons. The 2015 headworks bypass was associated with reduced plant capacity caused by the Grit Improvement Project. The extent to which bypasses occur depends critically on precipitation and runoff, both

of which are subject to substantial variability. Metro’s annual discharge volumes for 2010–2015 are summarized in [Table 4-7](#).

Table 4-7. Annual Metro discharge volumes for the fully treated effluent and bypasses, 2010–2015.

Year	Fully Treated Outfall 001 (million gallons)	Tertiary Bypass Outfall 01A (million gallons)	Secondary Bypass Outfall 002 (million gallons)	Headworks Bypass Outfall 01B (million gallons)
2010	22,000	22	374	43
2011	24,300	41	751	5
2012	20,200	12	214	0
2013	24,300	26	446	71
2014	24,400	19	442	33
2015	22,600	41	462	0.65

4.5 Prohibited Combined and Sanitary Sewer Overflows

The occurrence and volumes of prohibited combined sewer overflows (CSOs) and sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) within the Onondaga County service area are tracked by OCDWEP each year. As per the Metro SPDES permit CSO best management practices (BMP) requirements, dry weather overflows from the combined sewer system are prohibited. In accordance with 6NYCRR Part 750-2.8(b) and 40CFR 122.41, bypass of the collection and treatment system without treatment (i.e., SSOs) are prohibited except as noted in the Metro SPDES Permit. Detailed documentation of the prohibited CSO and SSO events that occurred during 2015 is presented in [Appendix C-5](#) and [Appendix C-6](#), respectively. Annual summaries of the prohibited CSOs and SSOs within the Onondaga Lake watershed for the 2010–2015 period are presented in [Table 4-8](#). Approximately 50,000 gallons of 51,600 gallons of prohibited CSO volume during 2015 were discharged from a County-owned manhole during a widespread flooding event caused by 2.55 inches of rain received in two hours. The increase in SSO volume during 2015 was attributable to a scheduled shut down to replace a deteriorated force main section.

Table 4-8. Number and volume of prohibited combined sewer overflows (CSOs) and sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) within the Onondaga Lake watershed during 2010–2015.

Year	CSO		SSO	
	Number	Volume (gallons)	Number	Volume (gallons)
2010	7	98,000	37	23,614,535
2011	4	2,700	66	12,390,818
2012	7	20,450	40	69,940
2013	5	800	35	31,105
2014	1	1,000	31	1,694,150
2015	3	51,600	29	11,165,794

4.6 Compliance with Ambient Water Quality Standards

Several segments of Onondaga Lake’s tributary streams are included on the [2014 NYSDEC compendium of impaired waters](#). NYSDEC places waterbodies on this list when there is evidence that water quality conditions do not meet applicable standards, and/or the water bodies do not support their designated use. Results of Onondaga County’s AMP are among the primary data sets used to evaluate compliance with standards and use attainment. The 2014 tributary data indicate that the major tributaries were generally in compliance with ambient water quality standards (AWQS) for most parameters addressed ([Table 4-9](#)). The primary exceptions in meeting AWQS in the tributaries were total dissolved solids (TDS), fecal coliform bacteria (FC), and dissolved mercury. The AWQS for TDS (500 mg/L) was contravened at all of the tributary monitoring sites, and often by a wide margin. Contravention of this standard is primarily associated with the natural hydrogeology of the watershed and not with anthropogenic effects. Achieving compliance with this water quality standard was not established as a goal of the remediation program.

Compliance with the AWQS for fecal coliform bacteria is specified by NYSDEC as the geometric mean of a minimum of five observations per month being less than or equal to 200 colony forming units (cfu) per 100 milliliters (mL). In April 2010 Onondaga County increased the frequency of bacterial sampling at each tributary sampling location to support monthly assessments of compliance with this AWQS. The abundance of fecal coliform bacteria in the tributaries during wet weather is affected by stormwater runoff and functioning of the combined sewer system. CSO remedial measures and improved stormwater management measures are underway. Among the objectives of the AMP is the tracking of changes in the

Table 4-9. Summary of tributary and outflow compliance (percent of observations in compliance) with ambient water quality standards (AWQS), 2015.

Note: occurrences of less than 100% compliance are highlighted in red text; dissolved oxygen, ammonia-N, nitrite, and fecal coliform are specified in the ACI; the number of observations is shown in parentheses; NS is not sampled.

Site	Field Data		Solids	Nitrogen		Metals ¹				Bacteria
	Dissolved Oxygen (4 mg/L)	pH	TDS	Ammonia-N	Nitrite	Dissolved Cadmium	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved Lead	Dissolved ² Mercury	Fecal ³ Coliform
Bloody Brook at Onon. L. Parkway	100% (42)	100% (42)	0% (4)	100% (4)	100% (4)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	50% (4)	43% (42)
Harbor Brook at Hiawatha Bvd.	100% (80)	98% (80)	0% (26)	95% (52)	100% (25)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	50% (4)	14% (76)
Harbor Brook at Bellevue Ave.	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)
Harbor Brook at Velasko Rd.	99% (80)	100% (80)	0% (26)	100% (53)	100% (25)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	50% (4)	57% (76)
Ley Creek at Park St.	100% (46)	100% (46)	15% (26)	100% (25)	100% (20)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	50% (4)	14% (76)
Ninemile Creek at Lakeland	100% (50)	100% (50)	4% (25)	100% (25)	100% (24)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	75% (4)	43% (50)
Onondaga Creek at Kirkpatrick St.	100% (65)	100% (51)	8% (26)	100% (25)	100% (25)	100% (4)	100% (4)	100% (4)	100% (4)	14% (51)
Onondaga Creek at Dorwin Ave.	100% (65)	100% (65)	23% (26)	100% (38)	96% (25)	100% (4)	100% (4)	100% (4)	100% (4)	57% (51)
Sawmill Creek at Onon. L. Rec. Area	98% (42)	100% (42)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	25% (4)	57% (42)
Trib. 5A at State Fair Blvd.	100% (38)	100% (38)	0% (4)	100% (4)	100% (4)	NS (0)	100% (4)	100% (4)	50% (4)	100% (42)
Onondaga Lake Outlet (2ft)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)
Onondaga Lake Outlet (12ft)	100% (21)	100% (21)	4% (26)	100% (21)	100% (20)	NS (0)	NS (0)	NS (0)	100% (4)	NS (0)

¹ AWQS for metals apply to the total dissolved form

² Dissolved mercury standard applies to health fish consumption standard (H(FC))

³ Fecal coliform compliance is assessed monthly, based on the geometric mean of at least 5 samples

input of bacteria to Onondaga Lake during wet weather. WEP also tracks bacterial abundance during non-storm periods; these observations provide a means of identifying potential illicit connections of sanitary waste to the stormwater collection system, or portions of the sewerage infrastructure in need of repair. Compliance with the AWQS for fecal coliform bacteria was achieved for less than 50% of the monthly means at Bloody Brook (43%), Harbor Brook at Hiawatha (14%), Ley Creek (14%), Ninemile Creek (43%), and Onondaga Creek at Kirkpatrick (14%). Fecal coliform conditions were marginally better at Harbor Brook-Velasko, Onondaga Creek-Dorwin Ave. and Sawmill Creek., where 57% of the monthly means were in compliance.

Another parameter with noteworthy exceptions to 100% compliance was dissolved mercury, which was monitored on a quarterly basis. Compliance with the AWQS for dissolved mercury was documented for all four samples collected at Onondaga Creek-Dorwin and Onondaga Creek-Kirkpatrick, three of the four samples collected at Ninemile Creek, two of the four samples from Bloody Brook, Harbor Brook-Hiawatha, Harbor Brook-Velasko, Ley Creek and Tributary 5A, and one of the four samples at Sawmill Creek. The wetland located near the mouth of Sawmill Creek likely contributes importantly to elevated levels of dissolved mercury in this stream. Inputs from atmospheric deposition result in regional increases in mercury levels.

Concentrations of dissolved oxygen below the instantaneous minimum standard of 4 mg/L standard were measured at Harbor Brook-Velasko (3.64 mg/L on 9/9/15) and Sawmill Creek (3.52 mg/L on 7/7/15). pH values exceeded the upper limit of the acceptable range (6.5–8.5 standard units) at Harbor Brook-Hiawatha on two occasions, September 9 (10.72) and September 29 (8.76). The AWQS for ammonia-N was exceeded at Harbor Brook-Hiawatha on these same dates. The nitrite-N concentration at Onondaga Creek-Dorwin on 5/12/15 was 0.113 mg/L, slightly higher than the AWQS of 0.100 mg/L. Quarterly free-cyanide samples collected from Ley Creek at Park Street in 2015 and analyzed by the special contract lab certified for free-cyanide analysis indicated compliance with the NYS AWQS of 5.2 µg/L. This parameter will be recommended to be discontinued as part of the 2017 AMP.



Harbor Brook.

Time series of fecal coliform concentrations in Onondaga Creek, Harbor Brook, and Ley Creek during 2015 are presented for both wet and dry weather conditions (Figure 4-14). Wet weather samples are those collected following at least 0.1 inches of rain in the preceding 48 hours; all other samples were considered to be representative of dry weather conditions. Both upstream and downstream values are shown for Onondaga Creek (Dorwin Ave., Kirkpatrick St.) and Harbor Brook (Velasko Rd., Hiawatha Blvd.). Only downstream samples are available for Ley Creek (Park St.). Fecal coliform levels were generally higher at downstream sampling sites and during wet weather. However, fecal coliform concentrations at the upstream sampling locations of Onondaga Creek (Dorwin Ave., Figure 4-14a) and Harbor Brook (Velasko Rd., Figure 4-14c) routinely exceeded the AWQS of 200 counts/100 mL during both wet and during dry weather conditions in the summer months. A distinct seasonality in fecal coliform concentrations was apparent at all sampling locations, with generally higher values observed during the warmer summer months of June–September. This temporal pattern, which suggests that fecal coliform abundance is strongly dependent on ambient temperatures, was also observed in an analysis of historic data (see Section 4.3.5 of the 2012 AMP Report).

Fecal coliform time series data for Onondaga Creek (Figure 4-15), Harbor Brook (Figure 4-16), and Ley Creek (Figure 4-17) are presented for the April–October interval of 2010–2015. The frequency of bacterial sampling increased to five samples per month starting in April 2010 to support assessments of compliance with the AWQS for fecal coliform bacteria. These figures include a reference line at 200 cfu/100 mL, which is the NYS AWQS for fecal coliform bacteria. Because this standard strictly applies to a monthly geometric mean based on a minimum of five samples, it is only included for numerical perspective. Although the upstream concentrations of fecal coliform were generally lower than the downstream concentrations, the upstream concentrations were frequently above 200 cfu/100 mL. Thus compliance is likely affected by

issues upstream of urban sources. The long-term record continues to show higher fecal coliform concentrations during the summer months.

The Harbor Brook CSO 018 Constructed Wetlands Pilot Treatment System was completed and placed into operation in April 2015. The system serves the dual purposes of treating overflows from CSO 018 and acting as a demonstration project to test the effectiveness of three types of constructed wetland treatment systems (floating wetland island, vertical downflow and surface flow). Eleven CSO diversion events were stored within the wetland during 2015 and the associated water slowly evapotranspired, or was released into the surrounding groundwater over time. Preliminary sampling conducted in 2015 has shown that the facility provides significant removal of CSO pollutants, including fecal coliform, BOD₅, and total phosphorus.




Figure 4-14. Time series of fecal coliform concentrations during wet weather and dry weather during 2015: (a) Onondaga Creek at Dorwin Ave., (b) Onondaga Creek at Kirkpatrick St., (c) Harbor Brook at Velasko Rd., (d) Harbor Brook at Hiawatha Blvd., and (e) Ley Creek at Park St.

Note: value of the AWQS for fecal coliform shown for reference (200 counts/100 mL).




Figure 4-15. Fecal coliform concentrations measured at Onondaga Creek stations (April–October 2010–2015).




Figure 4-16. Fecal coliform concentrations measured at Harbor Brook stations (April–October, 2010–2015).




Figure 4-17. Fecal coliform concentrations measured at Ley Creek, (April–October, 2010–2015).

4.7 Long-Term Trends

Loading trends for Metro and the tributaries over the 1991–2015 interval are presented graphically for selected constituents (Figure 4-18). Annual loads for additional constituents are presented in tabular format in Appendix D-3. Long-term decreases in loading of total phosphorus and total dissolved phosphorus have been driven mostly by reductions in the Metro contribution. Year-to-year variations in phosphorus loading from the watershed are regulated to a large extent by differences in the timing and magnitude of runoff. For example, the particularly low runoff conditions of 2012 are reflected in major reductions in constituent loading from the tributaries. Long-term decreases in ammonia-N loading and increases in nitrate loading are associated with implementation of efficient, year-round nitrification treatment at Metro. Variations in ammonia-N and nitrate loading from the tributaries have been modest in comparison. Noteworthy reductions in loading of fecal coliform bacteria have occurred since the 1990s, led by decreasing inputs from the Metro bypass.




Figure 4-18. Annual loading of selected constituents to Onondaga Lake from Metro and watershed sources, 1991–2015: (a) total phosphorus (TP), (b) total dissolved phosphorus (TDP), (c) ammonia-N (NH₃-N), (d) nitrate (NO₃-N), and (e) fecal coliform (FC) bacteria.

Seasonal Kendall tests were conducted for the 10-year period 2006–2015 to identify statistically significant ($p < 0.1$) trends in tributary concentrations (Table 4-10). Statistically significant decreases were evident for concentrations of total phosphorus and soluble reactive phosphorus in the Metro effluent. However, this 10-year analysis doesn't capture the effects of major upgrades to ammonia-N and phosphorus treatment that occurred in 2004 and 2005, respectively. Increased concentrations in the treated Metro effluent were indicated for organic nitrogen, calcium, chloride, and specific conductance. Fecal coliform bacteria decreased in the treated Metro effluent, in the Bypass, and at the downstream site on Onondaga Creek (Kirkpatrick St.). The decrease in fecal coliform concentrations was particularly noteworthy for the Metro Bypass, where levels have dropped at the rate of 26.5% per year.

Significant decreases in concentrations of ammonia-N, nitrate, and nitrite are indicated since 2006 for Ley Creek (Table 4-10). In contrast, concentrations of organic nitrogen and total Kjeldahl nitrogen have increased in Onondaga Creek, Ninemile Creek, and at the upstream sampling location on Harbor Brook. A cause for these changes in concentrations of nitrogen species is not apparent at this time. Total phosphorus concentrations increased at the upstream site on Onondaga Creek (Dorwin Ave.) and at Ninemile Creek. Both total phosphorus and soluble reactive phosphorus concentrations decreased at the downstream site on Harbor Brook (Hiawatha Blvd.).

Tributary loading trends were analyzed and tested using a linear regression analysis of annual load in metric tons (mt) versus time (Table 4-11). Annual loading trend slopes with p -values less than 0.1 were considered statistically significant. Treatment upgrades at Metro have resulted in decreasing loading trends for most constituents. However, the magnitude of the reductions decreased because the pre-upgrade years were no longer included in the 10-year period covered by this analysis. Loading of fecal coliform bacteria decreased markedly in both the treated Metro effluent and in the Metro Bypass. Harbor Brook-Hiawatha has experienced significant loading reductions for total phosphorus, soluble reactive phosphorus, and fecal coliform bacteria. Loading of fecal coliform bacteria and the ionic constituents sodium and chloride has decreased at the downstream Onondaga Creek sampling station over the 2006–2015 interval.

Table 4-10. Ten-year (2006–2015) trends in tributary concentrations, from application of two-tailed Seasonal Kendall tests adjusted for serial correlation.

Variable		Metro		Onondaga Creek		Harbor Brook		Ley Creek	Ninemile Creek
		Treated Effluent	Bypass	Dorwin	Kirkpatrick	Velasko	Hiawatha	Park	Route 48
Nitrogen	Ammonia-N (NH ₃ -N)	○	○	○	○	○	○	-3.1%	○
	Nitrite (NO ₂ -N)	○	10.0%	○	○	○	○	-2.2%	○
	Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	○	○	○	○	-1.1%	○	-4.3%	○
	Organic Nitrogen	2.9%	○	7.7%	7.1%	4.6%	○	○	6.5%
	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	○	○	7.4%	5.5%	3.6%	○	○	○
Phosphorus	Total Phosphorus (TP)	-7.2%	○	○	○	○	-7.7%	○	2.5%
	Soluble Reactive Phosphorus (SRP)	-9.6%	○	4.3%	○	○	-12.7%	○	2.5%
Solids	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	○	-3.1%	○	○	○	○	○	○
	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	-2.8%	○	○	○	○	0.8%	-1.3%	○
	Volatile Suspended Solids (VSS)	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○
Carbon	Total Inorganic Carbon (TIC)	○	○	-1.1%	-1.1%	-1.3%	○	○	-1.1%
	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	○	○	○	○	○	-1.8%	○	○
	Total Organic Carbon, filtered (TOC_F)	-2.8%	○	1.9%	○	○	○	○	1.9%
Other	Alkalinity	○	○	○	○	○	0.8%	○	○
	BOD ₅ *	○	○	0.0%	0.0%	○	○	○	0.0%
	Calcium (Ca)	2.3%	○	○	○	○	1.1%	○	○
	Chloride (Cl)	3.8%	○	○	○	○	1.3%	○	○
	Specific Conductance	2.1%	○	○	○	○	1.2%	○	○
	Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	○	○	○	○	○	1.0%	1.2%	○

Table 4-10. Ten-year (2006–2015) trends in tributary concentrations, from application of two-tailed Seasonal Kendall tests adjusted for serial correlation.

Variable	Metro		Onondaga Creek		Harbor Brook		Ley Creek	Ninemile Creek
	Treated Effluent	Bypass	Dorwin	Kirkpatrick	Velasko	Hiawatha	Park	Route 48
Fecal Coliform Bacteria	-5.2%	-26.5%	o	-7.2%	o	o	o	o
pH	o	o	o	o	o	0.2%	o	o
Silica (SiO ₂) dissolved	o	o	1.7%	1.7%	o	1.5%	o	o
Sulfate (SO ₄)	o	o	o	-2.4%	o	o	o	o
Temperature (°C)	o	o	o	o	-1.5%	o	o	o
Notes: Significance level, two-tailed, seasonal Kendall test accounting for serial correlation ($p < 0.1$). Blue value (%) indicates decreasing trend, percent per year Red value (%) indicates increasing trend, percent per year o indicates no trend - dash indicates parameter is not measured at this location.								

Table 4-11. Ten-year (2006–2015) trends in tributary loading, from linear regression of annual load versus time.

Variable		Metro		Onondaga Creek		Harbor Brook		Ley Creek	Ninemile Creek
		Treated Effluent	Bypass	Dorwin	Kirkpatrick	Velasko	Hiawatha	Park	Route 48
Nitrogen	Ammonia-N (NH ₃ -N)	-12.8%	o	o	o	o	o	o	-3.5%
	Nitrite (NO ₂ -N)	-13.9%	9.9%	o	o	o	o	o	o
	Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	2.3%	o	o	o	o	o	-5.0%	o
	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
Phosphorus	Total Phosphorus (TP)	-7.4%	o	o	o	o	-9.6%	o	o
	Soluble Reactive Phosphorus (SRP)	-6.7%	o	o	o	o	-13.4%	o	o
Solids	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	o	o	o	o	-16.0%	o	o	o
Carbon	Total Inorganic Carbon (TIC)	-2.8%	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
	Total Organic Carbon, filtered (TOC_F)	-1.8%	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
Other	Alkalinity	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
	BOD ₅ *	-5.3%	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
	Calcium (Ca)	2.1%	o	o	o	-2.9%	o	o	o
	Chloride (Cl)	o	o	o	-4.4%	o	o	o	-3.1%
	Fecal Coliform Bacteria	-18.6%	-20.3%	o	-10.4%	o	-13.2%	o	o
	Sodium (Na)	7.6%	o	o	-6.1%	o	o	o	-2.6%
	Silica (SiO ₂)	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
<p>Notes: Significance level, least squares linear regression of annual loads ($p < 0.1$). Blue value (%) indicates decreasing trend, percent per year Red value (%) indicates increasing trend, percent per year o indicates no trend - dash indicates parameter is not measured at this location. *BOD₅ (Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-day)) trend analysis results are accurate only for Metro & bypass because of the preponderance of data less than the MRL (PQL) in other inputs.</p>									

4.8 Post Construction Compliance Monitoring Program (PCCM)

4.8.1 Overview of the PCCM Program

The ACJ, as amended by the Fourth Stipulation in 2009, required the County to capture 95 percent of the annual CSO volume and to submit a plan with a schedule for implementation of the proposed modifications to the tributary component of the County's established AMP. These modifications include additional wet weather monitoring within the CSO-affected stream reaches to evaluate compliance with the AWQS for bacteria and floatables following improvements to the infrastructure for wastewater and stormwater collection. The monitoring program, which includes water quantity and quality monitoring of CSOs and receiving streams (Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook), is referred to as the post construction compliance monitoring program (PCCM).

A limited PCCM program has been conducted since 2011 to assess the effectiveness of the gray and green infrastructure projects designed to mitigate the impacts of CSOs. The primary objective of the PCCM, in the context of the recently constructed gray project milestones, is to demonstrate that the abated CSOs which include the captured (up to the 1-year, 2- hour storm) and separated CSOs are not causing or contributing to violations of water quality standards in the receiving water. Additionally, the PCCM program supports assessment of whether Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook are meeting the NYS AWQS's and their designated uses. The PCCM includes a monitoring plan through 2018 for assessing compliance with AWQS.

CSOs continued to contribute to exceedances of AWQS for fecal coliform bacteria in Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook during wet weather in 2015 despite the accomplishment of 96% capture of CSO volume (model estimate). Note that NYSDEC will make the determination of compliance with the ACJ Stage IV Percent Capture Milestone Value as part of the 2018 ACJ Annual Report review. Appendix I of the ACJ Fourth Stipulation (Technical Assistance Services to be Provided by Atlantic States Legal Foundation) includes "assisting the County in organizing and convening a conference of experts focusing on water quality assessment and compliance pursuant to the National CSO Policy 59 F.R. 18666, (1994) with the goal of developing scientific and policy recommendations with respect to compliance oriented water quality monitoring, and the formulation of wet weather standards that take into consideration both CSO and non-CSO related conditions, including structural and safety related use constraints." These topics will be discussed at a Water Quality Compliance Conference, scheduled for January 2017.

4.8.2 The 2015 PCCM Program

In 2015, an alternative approach was proposed to evaluate the overall system impacts of the remaining operational and abated CSO outfalls on in-stream water quality during wet weather events. This approach was based on the County's re-evaluation of the PCCM program as outlined in the October 2014 AMP Five-Year Work Plan and subsequent discussions with NYSDEC and ASLF staff. On July 15, 2015, the County submitted the modifications to the PCCM Program, for NYSDEC's approval. The 2015 PCCM Sampling Program was approved for implementation by the NYSDEC on August 26, 2015. The protocol for sample collection was consistent with the procedures outlined in the AMP Five-Year Work Plan ([Appendix H-1](#)).

The 2015 PCCM program included the following modifications:

CSO Facility sampling program (Influent): The collection of "grab" samples from individual CSO outfalls during wet weather overflows was fraught with issues of access and other practical limitations. Therefore, it was proposed to characterize CSO water quality through collection of samples from the Influent chambers of the CSO facilities (including the Midland Regional Treatment Facility, Clinton Storage Facility, Lower Harbor Brook Storage Facility and Maltbie Floatables Control Facility).

In-stream sampling program: The following sites, located both upstream and downstream of CSO discharges to Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook, were included as part of the County's preconstruction sampling program (referred to as the "Storm Event" sampling program conducted from 1999 through 2009), and were retained as part of the post-construction sampling program. This facilitates comparisons and assessments of long-term trends in water quality resulting from the CSO abatement projects.

- Onondaga Creek at Hiawatha Boulevard (changed to Kirkpatrick Street)
- Onondaga Creek at Dorwin Avenue
- Harbor Brook at Hiawatha Boulevard
- Harbor Brook at Velasko Road

The in-stream sampling program was also modified to eliminate sampling at locations in the creeks immediately adjacent to each of the ten Representative CSO and Storage Facility Outfalls. Included were four in-stream sampling locations on Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook. In addition to the long-term sites listed above, the following four sites were added in order to assess overall system impacts of the CSOs (refer to [Figures 4-19](#) and [Figure 4-20](#) for the Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook PCCM sampling locations):


- Onondaga Creek at Rich Street (site also serves as the upstream sampling site for the separated CSO 045 outfall)
- Onondaga Creek at West Genesee Street
- Harbor Brook at Fowler High School (upstream of the underground section of Harbor Brook)
- Harbor Brook at Culvert near Onondaga Lake (downstream of all CSOs)

Parameters: The parameter list for the in-stream sampling program was modified to focus on pollutants causing impairments of designated uses for Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook. The parameter lists for in-stream sampling and for CSO facility influent sampling are as follows:

- **In-stream samples:** Ammonia-N ($\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$), Total Phosphorus (TP), Bacteria (Fecal Coliform), Turbidity, Floatables, *In situ* data (dissolved oxygen [DO], pH, Temperature, Conductivity) and Priority Pollutants (polychlorinated biphenyl [PCB] Aroclors only) planned during one PCCM sampling event at downstream sampling locations; Onondaga Creek at Hiawatha Boulevard and Harbor Brook at Culvert near Onondaga Lake.
- **CSO Facility Influent samples:** Ammonia-N ($\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$), TP, Bacteria (Fecal Coliform), Turbidity, and Floatables



Measuring Water Quality at Sawmill Creek.




COMBINED SEWAGE OUTFALLS ON ONONDAGA CREEK
 FIGURE 2-2: PCCM SAMPLING LOCATIONS (ONONDAGA CREEK)

S:\GIS\WEP (Flow Control)\1.0\MXD\2.0 AMP\CSO_grey\LOC_04152015.mxd

THIS MAP INTENDED FOR GENERAL PLANNING PURPOSES ONLY

Created 3/23/2016 by dsmmh

Figure 4-19. PCCM sampling locations and combined sewage outfalls on Onondaga Creek.



S:\GIS\WEP (Flow Control)\1.0\MXD\2.0\AMP\CSO.greyl_HFB_04212015.mxd

THIS MAP INTENDED FOR GENERAL PLANNING PURPOSES ONLY

Created 3/23/2016 by dsmeier

Figure 4-20. PCCM sampling locations and combined sewage outfalls on Harbor Brook.

4.8.3 2015 PCCM Results

A total of six PCCM events were conducted in 2015. These events targeted storm rainfall intensities of at least 0.35 inches of rain/hour as most of the operational CSOs trigger at a rainfall intensity of 0.3 inches per hour or less.

The following section provides a summary of the results and analyses of two of the six PCCM events conducted in Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook in 2015. [Appendix D-7](#) includes the results and analysis of all six PCCM events conducted in 2015.

4.8.3.1 PCCM Event 1 Onondaga Creek – May 18, 2015

Rainfall, Streamflow, and Monitoring

- The first Onondaga Creek PCCM event was conducted at four locations on Onondaga Creek on May 18, 2015, in response to a rainfall event of 0.34 inches, as measured at the Metro rain gauge. Although the event was short-lived, the intensity of the rainfall was high (refer to [Figure 4-21](#)). Thirty-three one-hundredths of an inch (0.33 in) of rain was recorded between 17:50 and 18:05 at the Metro rain gauge, and an additional 0.01 inch was measured at 18:25.
- Stream flow at the USGS Spencer Street gauge began to increase at 17:00, peaked at 17:30 (280 cubic feet per second, cfs), and then returned to baseflow conditions at 19:45. The short time period between baseflow and peak flow (30 minutes) highlights the practical challenges associated with event-based monitoring. The response of the hydrograph at Dorwin Avenue was modest and delayed. The flow at Dorwin Avenue increased gradually from 123 cfs at 17:00 to 164 cfs at 20:30. A more marked increase in flow at Dorwin Avenue occurred subsequently, likely in response to a second, larger runoff event that was not sampled.
- A total of five grab samples were collected hourly from each of the four in-stream sampling locations (Dorwin Avenue, Rich Street, West Genesee Street, Hiawatha Boulevard) and were analyzed for fecal coliform bacteria, total phosphorus, turbidity, and ammonia-N. In addition, observations of floatables were noted and *in situ* measurements of temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and specific conductance were made.
- The timing of sample collection is depicted on the Dorwin and Spencer stream flow hydrographs ([Figure 4-21](#)). The first sample, representative of pre-storm (baseflow) conditions, was collected at 13:30. Additional samples were collected during the storm at 18:35, 19:35, 20:35, and 21:35. The final sample was collected during the rising limb

of the second storm and was not included in loading computations. Floatables were observed at Hiawatha Boulevard once prior to the event (pre-storm) and once during the event (Storm Cycle 3). The floatables consisted of food packaging (not flushable floatables of sanitary origin). Floatables were not observed at the other sampling locations.

- A single grab sample was collected from the influent to the Clinton Storage Facility to characterize CSO water quality. The fecal coliform concentration of this sample was 709,000 colony forming units (cfu)/100 milliliters (ml).



AMP Sampling Crew at Onondaga Creek.




Figure 4-21. Cumulative and hourly rainfall data from the Metro rain gauge, 15-minute flow data from Onondaga Creek at Dorwin Ave. and Spencer St., and fecal coliform and turbidity results for the May 18, 2015 PCCM storm event.

Note: The value of the AWQS for fecal coliform (200 counts/100 mL) is represented by dashed lines.

Fecal Coliform Concentrations and Loading Estimates

This preliminary analysis of the May 18, 2015, Onondaga Creek PCCM Event 1 focused on patterns of fecal coliform concentrations and loadings in an effort to discern contributions to Onondaga Creek from CSOs.

- Pre-storm fecal coliform concentrations were less than 200 cfu/100 ml for all in-stream sampling sites.
- Fecal coliform concentrations in the first four samples collected at Dorwin Avenue ranged from 36 to 118 cfu/100 ml, rising to 330 cfu/100 ml in the final sample (Figure 4-21). Fecal coliform concentrations at the two sampling sites furthest downstream (West Genesee, Hiawatha) increased dramatically from baseflow levels of 45 to 118 cfu/ml to peak concentrations exceeding 100,000 cfu/100 ml. Concentrations at Rich Street ranged between those measured at Dorwin and those at the downstream sites.
- Measurements of fecal coliform and turbidity made during this event were significantly correlated ($R^2 = 0.67$, linear regression of log-transformed data), suggesting the potential for turbidity to serve as a surrogate for fecal coliform concentration. However, turbidity was observed to decrease more rapidly than fecal coliforms on the falling limb of the hydrograph.
- Loading estimates require both concentration and flow data, preferably paired in both time and space. Although the concentration data for the four stream locations are available, the paired flow data are only available for Dorwin Avenue. As a result, the Dorwin flow was used for loading estimates at both Dorwin and Rich while the flow data at Spencer was used for loading estimates at West Genesee and Hiawatha. This is not likely a major source of error in our loading estimates; however, this approach might be refined by adjusting flows for the contributing watershed areas at these sites. Linear interpolation was used to develop 15-minute fecal coliform concentrations for periods between measurements. Fifteen-minute loads were calculated as the product of these concentrations and 15-minute provisional flows from the USGS gauges located at Dorwin and Spencer.
- Measured flows were available from flow meters installed at certain CSOs (Figure 4-22). Model output from SWMM was used to estimate CSO discharge volumes in cases where flow measurements were not available. Measured CSO storm volumes and SWMM output compared closely for this event.
- Fecal coliform loading in Onondaga Creek increased substantially from Dorwin Avenue (4.3×10^{10} cfu), to Rich Street (1.3×10^{12} cfu), to West Genesee St. (4.1×10^{13} cfu) and decreased somewhat at Hiawatha Blvd. (3.2×10^{13} cfu). Based on a total estimated CSO volume of 1.54 MG discharged during the event, an estimated 21 percent of the CSO

volume entered between Dorwin and Rich, 20 percent between Rich and West Genesee, and 58 percent between West Genesee and Hiawatha.

- Event mean concentrations (EMCs) were calculated for all four stream locations as the total event load divided by the total event flow volume. As expected, the EMCs generally increased moving from upstream to downstream. For example, at Dorwin, Rich, West Genesee, and Hiawatha the EMCs were 74 cfu/100 ml, 2,135 cfu/100 ml, 52,600 cfu/100 ml, and 41,813 cfu/100 ml, respectively.
- Lower fecal coliform concentrations and loads at Hiawatha, compared to West Genesee, could be related to incomplete flushing of the barge canal.
- Overall, these results suggest that CSO discharges contributed importantly to elevated fecal coliform levels in Onondaga Creek during this event. The negligible contribution from the portion of the watershed above Dorwin Avenue is attributable to a delayed response at this site, as flows and fecal coliform concentrations were still increasing at Dorwin Avenue when the final sample was collected ([Figure 4-22](#)).



Mermaid at 2016 Onondaga Cup and Lakefest.




Figure 4-22. Cumulative and hourly rainfall data from the Metro rain gauge, 15-minute flow data from Onondaga Creek at Dorwin Ave. and Spencer St., and measured CSO discharges for the May 18, 2015 PCCM storm event.

4.8.3.2 PCCM Event 1 Harbor Brook – June 8, 2015

Rainfall, Streamflow, and Monitoring

- The first of four Harbor Brook PCCM sampling events was conducted at four in-stream locations on Harbor Brook on June 8, 2015, in response to a rainfall event of 0.41 inches, as measured at the Metro rain gauge. The rain event lasted for about one hour, with the majority of the precipitation occurring within the first 15 minutes (Figure 4-23). Eighteen one-hundredths of an inch (0.18 in) of rain was recorded between 13:20 and 13:25 at Metro, and an additional 0.1 inch was measured between 13:25 and 13:40. The maximum intensity was 0.39 inches per hour.
- Flow at the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Velasko Road and Hiawatha Boulevard gauges began to increase at 12:30, peaked at 13:45 (33 and 28 cfs, respectively), and returned to baseflow conditions by 18:00. The Velasko Road hydrograph was flashier, with steeper trajectories on both the rising and falling limbs. Although the time interval between baseflow and the flow peak was 30 minutes at both gauges, an earlier and slightly lower peak (31 cfs) was reached at Velasko in just 15 minutes. Flow increased at both gauges nearly simultaneously with the initiation of rainfall.
- A total of six grab samples were collected from each of four sampling locations (Velasko Road, Fowler High School, Hiawatha Boulevard, Culvert near Onondaga Lake) and analyzed for fecal coliform bacteria, total phosphorus, turbidity, and ammonia-N. Additionally, observations of floatables were noted and *in situ* measurements of temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and specific conductance were made. The timing of sample collection is depicted on the Velasko and Hiawatha hydrographs (Figure 4-23). The first sample, representative of pre-storm (baseflow) conditions, was collected at 9:30. Additional samples were collected at approximately 14:00, 15:00, 16:00, 17:00, and 18:00. The second sample was collected near peak flow whereas the final four samples were taken on the falling limb.
- Single samples were collected from both the influent and effluent of the Lower Harbor Brook Storage Facility and analyzed for fecal coliform. The concentrations of the influent and effluent samples were 682,000 and 46,000 cfu/100 ml, respectively. The apparent large decrease in bacteria concentration within the storage facility may be associated with settling of solids.
- Floatables were not observed at any of the stream sampling locations during this PCCM event.




Figure 4-23. Cumulative and 5 minute rainfall data from the Metro rain gauge, 15-minute flow data from Harbor Brook at Velasko Rd. and Hiawatha Blvd., and fecal coliform and turbidity results for the June 8, 2015 PCCM storm event.

Note: The value of the AWQS for fecal coliform (200 counts/100 mL) is represented by dashed lines.

Fecal Coliform Concentrations and Loading Estimates

- The fecal coliform concentration in the prestorm (baseflow) sample collected at Velasko Road was 73 cfu/100 ml. This level is well below the water quality standard of 200 cfu/100 ml, which is applied as a monthly geometric mean based on a minimum of five samples.
- Fecal coliform concentrations at the three downstream sampling sites were elevated during baseflow, ranging from 400 to 664 cfu/100 ml. This indicates a significant dry weather source of bacteria to Harbor Brook located between Velasko Road and Fowler High School. These data also suggest a smaller dry weather source between Fowler and Hiawatha Boulevard.
- The concentration of fecal coliform bacteria increased rapidly at Velasko Road, from 2600 cfu/100 ml at 14:00 to 57,000 cfu/100 ml at 15:00. Bacteria concentrations at Velasko Road were reported as greater than 60,000 cfu/100 ml at 16:00 and 17:00. Concentrations tended to be somewhat lower at the three downstream sites, except for a peak of 104,000 cfu/100 ml measured at Hiawatha Boulevard at 15:05.
- Measurements of fecal coliform and turbidity made during this event were significantly correlated ($R^2 = 0.55$, linear regression of log-transformed data). This suggests the potential for turbidity to serve as a surrogate for fecal coliform concentration. However, turbidity levels decreased more rapidly than fecal coliform concentrations on the falling limb of the hydrograph.
- Loading estimates require both concentration and flow data, preferably paired in both time and space. Although concentration data are available for the four stream locations, flow data for only Velasko Road and Hiawatha Boulevard were paired. Therefore, the Velasko flow was used to compute loading estimates at both Velasko and Fowler and the flow at Hiawatha was used to compute loading estimates at Hiawatha and the Culvert. This is not considered a major source of error in the loading estimates. Although this approach could be refined by adjusting flows for the contributing watershed areas at these sites, this is probably unnecessary given the similarity of flows at Velasko and Hiawatha. Linear interpolation was used to develop 15-minute fecal coliform concentrations for periods between measurements. Fifteen minute loads were calculated as the product of these concentrations and 15-minute provisional flows from the USGS gauges located at Velasko and Hiawatha. Measured flows were available from flow meters installed at certain CSOs (Figure 4-24).
- Model output from SWMM was used to estimate CSO discharge volumes in cases where flow measurements were not available. Measured CSO storm volumes and SWMM

output compared closely for three of the four CSOs with paired data. The volume for CSO 063 was substantially over-predicted by SWMM.




Figure 4-24. Cumulative and 5 minute rainfall from the Metro rain gauge, 15-minute flow data from Harbor Brook at Velasko Rd. and Hiawatha Blvd., and measured CSO discharges for the June 8, 2015 PCCM storm event.

4.8.3.3 Summary of 2015 PCCM Results

A preliminary analysis of the data from the two Onondaga Creek and four Harbor Brook PCCM sampling events indicates that:

- CSO 060/077 contributed the largest volume to Onondaga Creek between Dorwin Avenue and Rich Street.
- CSO 027 accounted for the majority of the CSO discharge to Onondaga Creek between Rich Street and West Genesee Street.
- Based on SWMM projections, the majority of the CSO volume discharged to Onondaga Creek between West Genesee and Hiawatha was associated with the Burnet and Butternut (CSO 020) and Burnet (CSO 021) floatable control facilities.
- The Harbor Brook pre-storm sample results indicate significant dry weather bacteria inputs downstream of Velasko Road.
- Both upstream sources and CSO discharges significantly contributed to elevated fecal coliform levels in Harbor Brook. The major fecal coliform contribution from the portion of the watershed above Velasko Road may be associated with a failing community septic system.
- A graphical presentation of the 11 fecal concentrations measured in the influent to the CSO facilities (CSF, LHBSF and Midland RTF) indicates a median concentration of 530,000 cfu/100 ml (refer to [Figure 4-25](#)).

Despite the accomplishment of 96% capture of CSO volume in 2015 (model estimate), CSOs continued to contribute to exceedances of AWQS for fecal coliform bacteria in both Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook during wet weather. Determination of compliance with the ACJ Stage IV Percent Capture Milestone Value will be made by NYSDEC as part of the 2018 ACJ Annual Report review. A Water Quality Compliance Conference, scheduled for January 2017, will address water quality assessment and the formulation of wet weather standards that take into consideration of both CSO and non-CSO related conditions.



AMP Sampling of Harbor Brook at Hiawatha Boulevard.




Figure 4-25. Fecal coliform concentrations in the influent to the Clinton and Lower Harbor Brook Storage Facilities and the Midland RTF during 2015.

Note: The 10th and 90th percentiles are represented by error bars, the 25th and 75th percentiles are represented as the lower and upper bounds of the box, and the median is the line within the box. Outliers are shown as circles. The mean is biased high because of one unusually high concentration measured in the Clinton influent (2,700,000 cfu/100 ml).



Enjoying the View at Onondaga Lake Park.

4.9 Microbial Source Trackdown Study 2015

A study of pathogens in Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook was undertaken as a joint study of the Onondaga Environmental Institute (OEI) and OCDWEP. OEI was the principal partner. The Microbial Source Trackdown Study identified potential bacteria inputs into Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook during dry weather in 2008 and 2009. The results from Phase 1 (conducted from 2010 through 2012) and Phase 2 (conducted from June 2012 through July 2014) of the study have effectively documented the effects of dry-weather inputs on bacteria levels and water quality in Harbor Brook, Onondaga Creek, and Ley Creek. In addition, spatial and temporal trends in bacteria levels were identified that helped to:

- Explain patterns in-stream water quality related to land use, detect relationships between measured parameters.
- Identify and prioritize point source trackdown work.
- Measure the effects of remedial activities on bacteria levels.
- Assess long-term changes in bacteria levels since Phase 1.

Through the combined efforts of the Phase 1 and Phase 2 Microbial Trackdown Studies, 12 point sources have been successfully corrected (7 during and after Phase 1, and 5 during and after Phase 2). However, at the conclusion of the Phase 2 sampling there remained problematic

point source discharges in Harbor Brook and Onondaga Creek that, at constant flows, could have significant deleterious effects on water quality. Results have also identified several locations and/or point sources where bacteria levels have significantly worsened since Phase 1. This suggests that remedial efforts have failed and/or new problems have emerged in the system.

The results are presented in the “Identification of the Primary Sources of Bacteria Loading in Selected Tributaries of Onondaga Lake: Phase 2 Microbial Trackdown Study,” Final Report (Rev. 2 dated April 8, 2015), approved by the NYSDEC in November 2015.

The funds remaining from the Phase 2 efforts were allocated to further trackdown work in the watershed, including targeting specific point sources and tracking sources upstream and/or up the sewershed to identify and remediate the source. The Phase 2 sampling efforts resulted in four locations (three point sources and one in-stream location) in the Harbor Brook Watershed and nine point sources in the Onondaga Creek Watershed being recommended for further sewershed trackdown work in an effort to isolate the bacterial source(s). Through the efforts of Phase 2 follow-up sampling, areas of concern in several sewer- and watersheds in Harbor Brook and Onondaga Creek were identified. Results from sampling efforts also showed the effects of seasonal changes in temperature on in-stream and point source water quality and bacteria levels.

The Phase 3 work, which began in May 2014, and is currently on-going, includes the following sampling events:

- Routine sampling events for fecal coliform (FC) analysis up to two times per month during dry weather conditions for Onondaga Creek, Harbor Brook, and Ley Creek to provide a long-term dataset of temporal changes in bacteria throughout the watershed and to interpret trends in bacteria levels that are not CSO driven discharges. Two additional routine events in Upper Onondaga Creek per month, irrespective of weather conditions (i.e., dry or wet weather) for the parameters fecal coliforms, ammonia-N ($\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$), Total Phosphorus (TP), Total Kjeldahl-Nitrogen (TKN), and Total Suspended Solids (TSS). The wet weather sampling was performed in an attempt to better understand the effects of rain events on in-stream bacteria and nutrient levels that may be caused by surrounding land use practices (e.g., agriculture). Nutrient sampling in Onondaga Creek will build on understanding of agricultural practices, runoff, and sedimentation on stream quality in Onondaga Creek.
- Priority point source sampling for FC and TSS was performed at a maximum of four locations in Harbor Brook and ten in Onondaga Creek during dry weather conditions. The sampling program is designed to focus on point sources of concern that were

previously identified (during Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the study), and which: (1) continue to discharge high levels of bacteria at persistent rates (i.e., high flow) and the source(s) remains unknown, or (2) where corrective actions have been taken and follow-up sampling is needed to verify that those corrections have been successful.

- Tributary trackdown involves dry weather sampling of all natural, urban tributaries to Onondaga Creek in the urban section of the creek. This includes a total of 22 sampling locations.
- Point source trackdown focused on identifying the sources of bacterial discharge in the urban sewersheds upstream of the actual point sources in Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook during dry weather conditions. This effort involves coordination between the City of Syracuse and Onondaga County to develop and implement a strategized sampling program at select manholes, as well as potentially performing dye testing and TV camera-scoping when necessary. Numerous point sources have been identified as discharging persistently high levels of bacteria during the Phase 1 and Phase 2 Microbial Trackdown studies. The source(s) of these discharges remain unknown. Tracking up the sewershed is necessary to pin point the source(s) of the discharge and effectively eliminate the discharge at its source.

Strategies are being developed in 2016 to perform targeted sampling and analysis of each point source in an effort to isolate the source (i.e., animal vs. human) and location (crossconnection, illicit discharge, etc.) of the discharge. A subset of point sources will be selected for *Bacteroides* analysis to help identify the predominant source (i.e., animal vs. human) of bacteria.

Also, the data from the Microbial Source Trackdown Study will aid in tracking and remediating non- CSO discharges of bacteria in Onondaga Creek, Harbor Brook and Ley Creek. The AMP data will be integrated with the data from the Microbial Source Trackdown Study to evaluate the efficacy of remedial measures and support compliance evaluations.



Aerial View of the Harbor Brook Wetland Pilot Treatment System at CSO 018.

4.10 2015 Macroinvertebrate Monitoring

Tributary macroinvertebrates were monitored every two years from 2000 through 2010, which created a robust baseline dataset against which to evaluate response to implementation of point source (combined sewer overflows, or CSOs) and non-point source control measures within the tributary subwatersheds. The point source control measures were largely completed in 2013 when the Lower Harbor Brook and Clinton CSO storage facilities were completed. Nonpoint source control measures have been implemented gradually; municipal stormwater improvement projects and agricultural best management practices have been completed throughout the Onondaga Lake watershed. This 2015 tributary macroinvertebrate program was scheduled as an initial assessment of potential impact of the point source controls completed in 2013; this schedule allowed approximately 18 months for the benthic community to equilibrate to the reduction in organic loading from the CSO remedial measures. As part of the AMP, the final tributary macroinvertebrate program is planned in 2017.

A total of ten sampling sites are located along the three tributaries (Onondaga Creek, Harbor Brook and Ley Creek) affected by CSOs. The macroinvertebrate community present at each site was assessed every two years from 2000 to 2010 using standard protocols developed by NYSDEC. There was only one change in the macroinvertebrate sampling protocol between 2010 and 2015. Following the 2010 monitoring effort, one site (the Route 690 site in Harbor Brook) was dropped from the monitoring program due to the reconfiguration of the channel in this area. Benthic macroinvertebrate samples from the stream bottom were collected as kick

samples or grab samples, depending on the habitat conditions at the site. Data from four replicate samples collected at each site were analyzed by calculating various metrics designed to indicate the health of the macroinvertebrate community. These metrics were then scored on a common scale to come up with a Biological Assessment Profile (BAP), an index of overall level of impact to the macroinvertebrate community. In addition, the NYSDEC Impact Source Determination (ISD) was calculated at kick-sample sites to determine the primary factor(s) contributing to any observed impairment of the macroinvertebrate community. A more detailed description of the methods and findings of this sampling effort can be found in [Appendix D-8](#).

Sites on Onondaga Creek showed various levels of change with regard to level of impact compared to 2010. The Webster Road and Spencer Street sites showed notable improvement from 2010, the Dorwin Avenue site was essentially unchanged, and the Tully Farms Road site showed a considerable decline in condition from 2010 ([Figure 4-26](#)). The Webster Road, Spencer Street, and Dorwin Avenue sites were all assessed as slightly impacted based on their BAP scores, with the Tully Farms Road site BAP score falling on the demarcation for slightly impacted and moderately impacted. In the past, the Tully Farms Road site had always been the least impaired of the four sites, with the level of impairment generally increasing from upstream to downstream. This trend was not apparent in 2015, with the three downstream stations all having similar BAP scores (5.9, 5.9, and 6.3) and the most upstream station having the poorest BAP score (5.0) (see [Appendix D-8](#), Table A2-1).

Although the most upstream site on Onondaga Creek (Tully Farms Road) has shown a recent decline (i.e., increased adverse impact), the mid-reach sites (Webster Road and Dorwin Avenue) have been generally stable (slightly impacted). The most downstream site (Spencer Street) has shown notable recent improvement (from moderately impacted to slightly impacted). The improvement in the macroinvertebrate community at the Spencer Street site from 2010 to 2015 is likely a response to the reduced organic load to the stream after the Clinton storage facility came on line in December 2013. During high run-off events, this facility collects and stores stormwater and municipal sewage flows that exceed the capacity of the collection system that would previously have been discharged into Onondaga Creek upstream of the Spencer Street site. This stored water is then piped to Metro for treatment once adequate treatment capacity is available. The increased abundance of organisms like mayflies and caddisflies that are relatively intolerant of organic enrichment, toxics, and siltation and the noted decrease in oligochaetes, which are more tolerant of these conditions, suggest that the observed improvements in the macroinvertebrate community at the Spencer Street site are due to improvements in water quality brought about by operation of the Clinton CSO storage facility. In 2015, the macroinvertebrate community of this most downstream monitoring site on Onondaga Creek compared favorably with the three upstream stations for the first time in the 16-year monitoring program.

Ley Creek tends to show the greatest degree of overall impact of the three Onondaga Lake tributaries monitored for macroinvertebrates. From 2000 through 2010, sites in Ley Creek

were consistently assessed as severely impacted or moderately impacted based on their BAP (Figure 4-27). In 2015, both the Townline Road and the Park Street sites were again assessed as severely impacted, with the 7th North Street site assessed as moderately impacted. Despite some minor improvements in some individual community metrics at some stations, all of the monitored sites along the stream continue to exhibit water quality and/or habitat conditions that adversely impact the macroinvertebrate community.

The condition of both monitoring sites on Harbor Brook improved from 2010 to 2015, with the upstream site, Velasko Road, showing the greater improvement (Figure 4-28). The Velasko Road site in Harbor Brook had consistently been assessed as moderately impacted from 2000 through 2010, but the BAP score improved considerably in 2015, resulting in an assessment of slightly impacted. The Hiawatha Boulevard site was assessed as severely impacted from 2002 through 2010. The BAP score for this site improved slightly in 2015, but still resulted in an assessment of severely impacted (Figure 4-28). However, there were notable improvements in metrics measuring community balance and the level of impairment from organic loading. These improvements may be a response to improvements in water quality resulting from the Lower Harbor Brook storage facility coming on line in December 2013. Reductions in the amount of sewage entering lower Harbor Brook due to operation of this facility would be expected to increase the abundance and variety of macroinvertebrates that are intolerant to organic enrichment. This would have the dual effect of improving the diversity of the macroinvertebrate community. This appears to be the case given that *Ephemeroptera* (mayflies), *Trichoptera* (caddisflies), and *Coleoptera* (beetles), although collected in low numbers, reached their highest abundance at this site in 2015.

All of the sampled sites demonstrated some level of impact. Impairment was greatest in Ley Creek, followed by the Hiawatha Boulevard site in Harbor Brook. ISD analyses indicate that the primary causes of impairment to the macroinvertebrate community of Ley Creek were related to excessive organic loading, primarily from sewage or animal wastes, along with a potential industrial contribution. Municipal/industrial influences were indicated as the primary source of impairment to Harbor Brook, and toxic substances and municipal/industrial influences were indicated as the greatest contributors to impairment of the benthic community in Onondaga Creek.




Figure 4-26. NYSDEC water quality scores of Onondaga Creek sites since 2000. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.




Figure 4-27. NYSDEC water quality scores of Ley Creek sites since 2000. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.




Figure 4-28. NYSDEC water quality scores of Harbor Brook sites since 2000. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.



Morning Fog on Onondaga Lake.



Cusey at the Onondaga Cup and Lakefest 2016.

Section 5. Onondaga Lake Water Quality: 2015 Results and Trends

5.1 Onondaga Lake Sampling Program

The [Ambient Monitoring Program](#) (AMP) includes a sampling program for Onondaga Lake that encompasses multiple physical, chemical, and biological parameters ([Table 1-2](#)). Trained [Water Environment Protection](#) (WEP) technicians collect samples from various locations and depths within Onondaga Lake to characterize water quality and biological conditions. Most sampling occurs between April and November when the lake is free of ice. The lake program focuses on evaluation of compliance with [ambient water quality standards](#) (AWQS) and assessment of progress toward attainment of designated uses. Results for key parameters and lake sampling locations are presented in this section. Long-term time series covering 2006–2015 are provided for all AMP parameters and lake sampling locations in [Appendix E-1](#). Detailed results from the biological monitoring program are presented in [Section 6](#).

WEP also tracks physical factors, such as the development and extent of ice cover. During the winter of 2014–2015, ice cover extended for 95 days in the north basin and 89 days lake wide. This was the second most extensive ice cover documented for Onondaga Lake during the 1987–2015 period of record. Only during the winter of 2013–2014 was ice cover more extensive, when ice persisted 107 days in the north basin and 95 days over the entire surface of the lake. Ice remained on the lake until April 10, 2015, which is the latest date recorded since records began in 1987.

The main sampling station in the lake, referred to as South Deep, is located near the deepest point in the southern basin. South Deep has been the long-term reference monitoring location on Onondaga Lake since the County initiated monitoring in 1970. In addition to the routine biweekly sampling at South Deep, WEP technicians collect samples for a reduced number of parameters from the deepest point of the lake's northern basin (North Deep) four times each year to confirm that water quality conditions measured at the South Deep station adequately characterize open water conditions. Results from North Deep and South Deep remained generally comparable in 2015 ([Appendix E-2](#)). The AMP also includes sampling of a network of ten near-shore locations for parameters related to suitability for water contact recreation. These parameters include Secchi disk transparency, turbidity, and fecal coliform bacteria. The following changes were made to the in-lake sampling program in 2015:

- The frequency of monitoring chlorophyll-*a* at the South Deep station increased from bi-weekly to weekly. This will increase the probability of detecting algal blooms if they occur.
- Documentation of visual observations of floatables was added to the monitoring program for the ten near-shore locations. This addition is consistent with the ACJ requirements to assess floatables and evaluate the effectiveness of floatables controls.

5.2 Trophic State

The trophic state of a lake refers to its level of primary production (production of organic matter through photosynthesis). This is a fundamental feature of the ecology of lakes that also has important implications for water quality. Highly productive lakes are termed **eutrophic**, while lakes with low levels of productivity are termed **oligotrophic**. Those with intermediate levels of productivity are described as **mesotrophic**. Excessive productivity can result in conditions that impair a waterbody for particular uses, such as water supply or contact recreation.

Primary production in Onondaga Lake, like most lakes in the Northeast, is limited by the availability of the nutrient phosphorus. Addition of phosphorus to lakes causes increased primary production, described as eutrophication. This is generally accompanied by higher concentrations of algae, especially cyanobacteria (blue-green algae), which can have deleterious effects on water quality. Certain cyanobacteria are capable of producing harmful toxins.

Decay of settled algae contributes to the depletion of dissolved oxygen in the lower stratified layers. Where this decay is substantial, oxygen can be depleted to levels that make these layers uninhabitable for fish and other oxygen-requiring biota. The complete absence of dissolved oxygen (anoxia) enables the release of a number of undesirable substances from the sediments, including, soluble phosphorus, methylmercury, and various oxygen-demanding constituents, such as hydrogen sulfide and methane.

Much effort has been directed at decreasing primary production in Onondaga Lake through reductions in phosphorus loading. The effectiveness of this program has been tracked by monitoring multiple measures of the trophic state of the lake, including total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-*a* (Chl-*a*), and **Secchi disk** (SD) transparency, as well as related chemical metrics of the deep waters, and the composition and abundance of the algal community (see [Section 6](#)). Each of these parameters has shortcomings, but together they represent a robust representation of trophic state conditions. The three most commonly monitored parameters of

trophic state – TP, Chl-*a*, and SD – are all related to the amount of [phytoplankton](#) (microscopic algae) present in the water column. Much of the phosphorus and all of the chlorophyll-*a* (the dominant pigment of algae) is associated with phytoplankton. The Secchi disk measurement is more indirectly related to trophic state and controlled primarily by the concentration of particles in the water. The common case of dominance of the overall particle population by phytoplankton makes Secchi disk a valuable trophic state metric. These metrics of trophic state can all be influenced by both bottom-up (e.g., phosphorus supply) and top-down (food web) effects. Top-down effects associated with large zooplankton that effectively feed on (graze) phytoplankton may confound relationships between phosphorus loading and common metrics of trophic state.

5.2.1 Phosphorus

[Total phosphorus](#) (TP) concentrations in the upper waters of the lake remained near 20 µg/L for much of the 2015 monitoring period ([Figure 5-1](#)). The highest TP concentrations were measured during the April to June interval, including values of 31 µg/L on April 16, 47 µg/L on May 19, and 33 µg/L on June 16. The TP maxima in mid-April, mid-May, and mid-June were associated with intervals of precipitation and elevated stream flow. Only the high total phosphorus concentration measured on May 19 coincided with a peak in algal biomass ([Figure 5-3a](#)). Total phosphorus concentrations in the upper waters of the lake averaged 23 µg/L during the summer (June–September) of 2015, very similar to the summer average of 22 µg/L measured in 2014. Total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) concentrations averaged 6.4 µg/L and accounted for 17% to 39% (average of 28%) of TP ([Figure 5-1](#)). Soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) levels were consistently low, with a maximum concentration of 3 µg/L.

Long-term trends in concentrations of TP in the upper waters depict major decreases since the early 1990s ([Figure 5-2](#)). Since 2007, summer average total phosphorus concentrations in the upper waters of Onondaga Lake have been close to the guidance value of 20 µg/L. The summer average TP concentration was below the guidance value in 2008 and 2009. With the advanced treatment system at Metro producing consistently low effluent total phosphorus, the year-to-year variability in lake phosphorus levels largely reflects changes in precipitation, resultant watershed loading, and food web structure (see [Section 5.8.3](#)). At times, a substantial portion of the total phosphorus in Onondaga Lake may be associated with inorganic particles rather than phytoplankton. Total phosphorus is a flawed metric of trophic state during these periods, which are usually associated with major runoff events and the resulting influx of inorganic particles to the lake. Summer average concentrations of both TDP and SRP have been consistently low since 2007.




Figure 5-1. Time series of total phosphorus (TP), total dissolved phosphorus (TDP), and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentrations in the upper waters (0-3 meters) of Onondaga Lake during 2015.




Figure 5-2. Summer (June to September) average total phosphorus (TP), total dissolved phosphorus (TDP), and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentrations in the upper waters (0-3 meters) of Onondaga Lake, 1990–2015.

Note: TDP and SRP data not collected during 1993-1998.

5.2.2 Chlorophyll-*a*

Chlorophyll-*a* (Chl-*a*) concentrations in the upper waters of the lake in 2015 ranged from 2.1 µg/L on July 20 to a peak of 18.7 µg/L on July 9 (Figure 5-3a). The increase in chlorophyll-*a* concentrations during May was accompanied by a concomitant reduction in silica concentrations (Figure 5-3b). Diatoms are a group of phytoplankton that use silica to form their cell walls, known as frustules, and this pattern of silica depletion is indicative of the spring diatom bloom, a recurring feature in Onondaga Lake. The summer average Chl-*a* concentration in 2015 was 7.0 µg/L, lower than the 2014 average of 8.5 µg/L (Figure 5-4). The average and peak concentrations of Chl-*a* have declined substantially, particularly since the Actiflo® upgrade at Metro (Figure 5-4). Summer data (June–September) are used to track suitability of the lake for recreational uses. NYSDEC (2009) lists three levels of chlorophyll-*a* that serve as recreation use assessment criteria. Chlorophyll-*a* concentrations greater than 15 µg/L, 12 µg/L, and 8 µg/L correspond to impaired, stressed, and threatened conditions, respectively. Summer average Chl-*a* concentrations, which commonly exceeded 15 µg/L during 1990–2004, have remained less than 12 µg/L since 2007 (Figure 5-4).




Figure 5-3. Seasonal time plot of average upper water (0-3 meters) concentrations in Onondaga Lake, 2015: (a) chlorophyll-*a*, and (b) silica.





Figure 5-4. Summer average (June–September) chlorophyll-*a* concentrations in the upper waters of Onondaga Lake (South Deep), 1990–2015.

The EPA and NYSDEC are developing nutrient criteria for lakes to protect aquatic life, water supply and recreational uses, as well as deriving numerical limits on response variables such as chlorophyll-*a*. Algal blooms are generally esthetically undesirable and often accompanied by a turbid green appearance in Onondaga Lake. In the absence of state or federal criteria, the AMP has used subjective thresholds of 15 µg/L and 30 µg/L to represent minor blooms (impaired conditions) and major blooms (noxious conditions), respectively. According to the criteria adopted here, and based on biweekly laboratory measurements, there was one minor algal bloom in Onondaga Lake during the summer recreational period (June–September) of 2015, when the chlorophyll-*a* concentrations of 17.6 µg/L and 18.7 µg/L were measured on July 8 and July 9, respectively (Figure 5-3, Figure 5-5).

Detailed vertical patterns of chlorophyll-*a* are depicted in Figure 5-13d as a color contour plot. It is important to note that the results of the *in-situ* chlorophyll-*a* analysis are not as accurate as results from the certified extractive analysis procedure performed in the laboratory. The *in-situ* high frequency measurements are not intended to replace the standard procedure, but do complement the more accurate, but less frequent laboratory results. The laboratory results are best suited for tracking and reporting long-term patterns in the occurrence of summer algal blooms because they are more accurate and there is a long-term record of these measurements. Although the paired laboratory and *in-situ* chlorophyll-*a* measurements from 2015 were significantly correlated ($p = 0.05$), the relationship was rather weak ($R^2 = 0.30$). Accordingly, with the exception of Figure 5-13d, laboratory results are used exclusively in this report.




No blooms were observed during summer in 1995, 2008, 2009, 2010 - 2013

Figure 5-5. Percent occurrence of summer (June to September) algal blooms in Onondaga Lake evaluated annually for the 1990–2015 period, based on chlorophyll-*a* measurements taken at South Deep.

Note: Percent occurrence is calculated as the number of sampling events with blooms divided by the total number of sampling events multiplied by 100.

The total phosphorus concentration in spring has been a good predictor of the occurrence of major summertime algal blooms (Figure 5-6), which have not been observed when the total phosphorus concentration is less than 50 µg/L. The Metro total phosphorus load has been a good predictor of the summer average chlorophyll-*a* concentration of the upper waters. Note the decreases in chlorophyll-*a* observed as the total phosphorus load has been reduced (Figure 5-7). This analysis uses total phosphorus loads from the full water year (October 2013–September 2014) to account for loading that may influence algal growth during summer.



Two Diatom Species Found in Onondaga Lake.




Figure 5-6. Relationship between the frequency of major summertime algal blooms at South Deep and the total phosphorus concentration in spring, 2000–2015.




Figure 5-7. Relationship between summer (June–September) average chlorophyll-*a* concentrations in the upper waters at South Deep and total phosphorus loading from Metro over the full water year (October–September) for 1999–2015.

5.2.3 Secchi Disk Transparency

A Secchi disk is a 25 centimeter diameter disk with alternating black and white quadrants. The depth at which it can no longer be seen in the water is known as the [Secchi disk transparency](#). Greater depth indicates clearer waters with lower concentrations of particles, often in the form of phytoplankton. Secchi disk transparency greater than 1.2 meters (4 feet) is required to meet swimming safety guidance at designated beaches. There is no New York State standard or guidance value for Secchi disk transparency for off-shore waters. Most lake monitoring programs in the state make Secchi disk measurements at a mid-lake station overlying the deepest water, comparable to the Onondaga Lake South Deep station. A summer average Secchi disk transparency of at least 1.5 meters at South Deep has been established for Onondaga Lake as a target for improved aesthetic appeal ([Table 1-6](#)). The Citizens Statewide Lake Assessment Program (CSLAP), a joint effort of NYSDEC and the NYS Federation of Lake Associations, considers summer average Secchi disk transparencies greater than 2 meters as indicative of mesotrophic conditions (Kishbaugh 2009). The average water clarity of Onondaga Lake during the summer of 2015 was 2.1 meters and ranged from 1.4 to 3.5 meters ([Figure 5-8](#)). Summer average water clarity in 2015 was slightly higher than during 2014 ([Figure 5-9a](#)) and there have been no measurements less than 1.2 meters in the past two years ([Figure 5-9b](#)). The unusually high transparencies observed in both 2008 and 2009 were the result of grazing by large *Daphnia* populations. The *Daphnia* were enabled by low abundance of Alewife, a planktivorous fish, during these years.




Figure 5-8. Secchi disk transparency, Onondaga Lake South Deep, 2015.




Figure 5-9. Long-term summer median Secchi disk transparency, Onondaga Lake South Deep, 1990–2015 for (a) the summer median value, and (b) the percent Secchi disk observations less than 1.2 meters.

Note: The points in panel (a) represent summer median values. NYSDEC values for impaired (1.2 m), stressed (1.5 m), and threatened conditions (2.0 m) are shown.

5.2.4 Trends in Trophic State

Summer (June–September) average values of the three trophic state indicator parameters (total phosphorus, chlorophyll-*a*, Secchi disk transparency) are presented for the 1998–2015 interval (Figure 5-10). These trophic indicators are expressed relative to the trophic state boundary values presented by Cooke et al. (2005). Although the specific values of these trophic boundaries are somewhat subjective, they do serve as convenient general indicators of lake productivity. According to these parameters, trophic conditions have varied only modestly since 2010. Concentrations of total phosphorus and chlorophyll-*a* indicate a shift in the trophic state of Onondaga Lake from eutrophy to mesotrophy since 2008. Secchi disk transparency was higher in 2008 and 2009 due to grazing of particles by *Daphnia*, a large, filter feeding zooplankter. However, no systematic improvement in summer average Secchi disk transparency has been observed since 1998. Two factors likely contribute to this inconsistency for Secchi disk versus total phosphorus and chlorophyll-*a* (Effler et al. 2008): (1) inputs of inorganic particles that decrease clarity; and (2) the recent absence of the grazing effects of larger zooplankton that efficiently consume/remove phytoplankton as well as non-phytoplankton particles. The mud boils on upper Onondaga Creek have contributed to the diminished water clarity of the lake, and therefore to the disparity in trophic state based on Secchi disk versus the other two metrics. As observed during 2010–2014, efficient grazers of phytoplankton (i.e., *Daphnia*) continued to be mostly absent in 2015, consistent with the continuing large population of the Alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*). See Section 6 for a detailed discussion of food web dynamics. The observed decrease in chlorophyll-*a* and the increase in Secchi disk transparency in 2015 relative to 2014 indicate a very modest improvement in water quality.



Save the Rain Tree Planting.





Figure 5-10. Time series of common trophic state indicators based on summer average (June–September) data, 1998–2015.

5.2.5 Comparisons to Other Regional Lakes

In lakes where phytoplankton production is limited by phosphorus, total phosphorus and chlorophyll-*a* are highly correlated. Data from regional lakes, including Onondaga, illustrate this relationship and provide a valuable regional context (Figure 5-11). Data for the Finger Lakes represent results of a NYSDEC survey conducted between 1996 and 1999. The NYSDEC study design called for sampling each Finger Lake monthly between June and August at a single mid-lake station, with the exception of Cayuga Lake, which was sampled at three locations (Callinan 2001). Data for Onondaga and Oneida Lakes have been averaged over these same summer months in this presentation, for data comparability. Oneida Lake data were provided by the Cornell Biological Field Station (Rudstam 2015, Rudstam et al. 2016). Oneida Lake is notably shallower than the Finger Lakes, has a larger proportion of the bottom suitable for dreissenid mussels, and does not develop stable thermal stratification during the summer, features that may contribute to the observed deviations from the other lakes.

Advanced wastewater treatment has resulted in major decreases in total phosphorus and chlorophyll-*a* levels in Onondaga Lake. A comparison of total phosphorus and chlorophyll-*a* conditions in Onondaga Lake to other regional lakes provides context for the magnitude of the water quality improvements that have been achieved. During the 1998–2005 interval total phosphorus and chlorophyll-*a* levels in Onondaga Lake far exceeded those measured in some of the eastern Finger Lakes and Oneida Lake (Figure 5-12). Since 2007, levels of these important water quality indicators have been similar to those measured in Otisco Lake and Oneida Lake. The absence of cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) blooms in Onondaga Lake stands in contrast to the widespread occurrence of harmful algal blooms in lakes across New York State (see <http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/77118.html> for more information).



Onondaga Lake.




Figure 5-11. June to August average total phosphorus (TP) and chlorophyll-*a* concentrations in Onondaga Lake compared with selected regional lakes.

Note: (a) The top panel shows Onondaga Lake concentrations pre-Actiflo® (1998-2005) and post-Actiflo® (2006-2013). (b) The bottom panel represents the same data, scaled to show the 2007-2014 Onondaga Lake data and a best-fit trendline ($R^2 = 0.97$) of the Finger Lakes concentrations (1996-1999), and Oneida Lake concentrations (2005-2015; Rudstam 2015, Rudstam et al. 2016).




Figure 5-12. A comparison of summer average (May – September) trophic state metrics in Onondaga Lake and selected regional lakes: (a) total phosphorus concentrations and (b) chlorophyll-*a* concentrations.

Note: Skaneateles Lake data from 2011 and 2014, courtesy of the Town of Skaneateles. Cayuga Lake data from 2013, courtesy of Cornell University. Otisco Lake data from 2011-2012, courtesy of NYSDEC, Oneida Lake 2007-2015 data from Rudstam et al. 2016.

5.3 Dissolved Oxygen

Adequate **dissolved oxygen** (DO) content is critical for aquatic life and a common focus of water quality monitoring programs. Vertically detailed in-situ profiles of DO, temperature, specific conductance, and chlorophyll-*a* were collected at South Deep during 2015 and are presented here as color contour plots (Figure 5-13). These measurements were made at 1 meter depth increments over the spring to fall interval at South Deep with a monitoring buoy courtesy of Honeywell (<http://www.upstatefreshwater.org/NRT-Data/Data/data.html>). Dissolved oxygen concentrations were uniformly high throughout the water column through early May (Figure 5-13b). Depletion of DO from the lower layers began with the onset of thermal stratification in mid-May and by mid-July the lake was largely anoxic below a depth of 10 meters (Figure 5-13b). The lower waters were replenished with DO in late October, following the occurrence of fall turnover. There was no noteworthy depletion of DO in the upper waters during the fall of 2015, and the minimum concentration remained well above the AWQS of 4 mg/L (Figure 5-13b).




Figure 5-13. Color contour plots of Onondaga Lake in 2015, based on biweekly sensor profiles conducted at South Deep: (a) temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), (b) dissolved oxygen (mg/L), and (c) specific conductance ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$), (d) chlorophyll-a ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$).

A high priority goal for rehabilitation of the lake was the elimination of severe DO depletion in the upper waters during the approach to fall turnover in October (Figure 5-14) and contravention of the related AWQS. This goal has been achieved through reductions in Metro loading of both ammonia-N (Figure 4-8) and total phosphorus (Figure 4-11). Other improvements in the lake’s oxygen resources have been observed, particularly within the lower stratified layers (hypolimnion). Following the onset of summer stratification, these layers are subject to oxygen depletion from decay of depositing organic constituents and demand from the underlying sediments. Decreases in deposition of phytoplankton from reductions in Metro phosphorus loading resulted in lower rates of DO depletion, as manifested by a delay in the onset of anoxic conditions and decreases in “volume-days of anoxia” (Figure 5-15). Linear regression analysis indicates significant decreases in both volume days of anoxia ($R^2=0.54$, $p<0.01$) and volume days of anoxia + hypoxia ($R^2=0.43$, $p<0.01$) over the 1992–2015 interval. When evaluated over the 2004–2015 period, the decreasing trends for anoxic conditions ($R^2=0.56$, $p<0.01$) and anoxia + hypoxia ($R^2=0.37$, $p=0.04$) remained statistically significant. Since the Actiflo® process came on line in 2005, anoxia has been delayed for a period of several weeks in the lower waters. Some interannual variability is to be expected in this metric due to variations in the onset of stratification from natural meteorological variability. The implications of these improved conditions for the lake’s fish community are discussed in Section 6.5.




Figure 5-14. Minimum dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in the upper waters (0-4 meter average) of Onondaga Lake during October, annually 1990–2015.




Figure 5-15. Volume-days of anoxia (dissolved oxygen less than 1 mg/L) and hypoxia (dissolved oxygen less than 4 mg/L), in Onondaga Lake, 1992–2015.

5.4 Ammonia, Nitrite, and Nitrate

Onondaga Lake was impaired by elevated concentrations of **ammonia-N** (NH₃-N) prior to the treatment upgrades at Metro designed to achieve efficient year-round nitrification of wastewater. Concentrations of this potentially harmful form of nitrogen exceeded the state ambient water quality standard for protection of aquatic life. Upgraded aeration treatment at Metro in the late 1990s and implementation of the **biologically aerated filter** (BAF) technology in 2004 significantly reduced ammonia-N concentrations in the upper waters of the lake ([Figure 5-16](#), [Figure 5-17a](#)), enabling a more diverse biota. The lake is now in full compliance with the ambient water quality standards for ammonia-N ([Table 5-1](#)), and in 2008 was officially removed from the New York State’s 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies for this water quality parameter.

Efficient year-round nitrification treatment resulted in increased **nitrate** (NO₃-N) loading to the lake and increased in-lake concentrations ([Figure 5-17b](#)). However, these changes had some unintended benefits for the lake rehabilitation initiatives, including diminished release of phosphorus and mercury from the sediments during intervals of anoxia (Matthews et al. 2013). A 3-year (2011–2013) whole-lake nitrate addition pilot test was conducted as part of the

Honeywell cleanup with the objective of limiting release of methylmercury from the deep-water sediments through maintenance of nitrate concentrations > 1 mg/L. Based on the success of this pilot test, nitrate was added to the hypolimnion again during 2014 and 2015. During the 2011-2013 nitrate addition pilot test an average of 73 metric tons of nitrate-N were added to the hypolimnion annually. In contrast, annual nitrate-N loading from Metro averaged 940 metric tons per year over the 2004–2013 interval.




Figure 5-16. Summer average ammonia-N ($\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$) concentrations in the upper waters (0-3 meters) of Onondaga Lake, 1990–2015.






Figure 5-17. Summer average concentrations of nitrogen species in the epilimnion and hypolimnion of Onondaga Lake, 1995–2015: (a) ammonia-N, (b) nitrate-N, and (c) nitrite-N.

Note: 2015 ammonia-N and 2013-2015 nitrate-N and nitrite-N data based on discrete depths (epilimnion = 3m; hypolimnion = average of 15 and 18m).

Table 5-1. Percent of Onondaga Lake ammonia-N measurements in compliance with ambient water quality standards, 1998–2015.

Depth (m)	Percent measurements in compliance, NYS Standards																		
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 ¹	
0	64	62	86	95	68	96	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	-
3	45	67	90	90	68	96	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
6	50	86	90	95	73	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	-
9	41	76	90	95	73	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	-
12	18	52	90	81	50	80	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	-
15	23	52	57	52	41	56	80	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
18	23	48	52	38	32	48	75	95	95	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	-

¹ only 3 and 15 meter depths were sampled in 2015

Nitrite ($\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$) concentrations also commonly exceeded the limit (0.1 mg/L) to protect against possible toxicity effects within the upper waters of the lake before the BAF upgrade at Metro. In-lake concentrations of nitrite and exceedances of the AWQS were greatly reduced following the treatment upgrade (Figure 5-17c). Exceedances of the AWQS now only occur in the lower layers of the lake when dissolved oxygen concentrations are less than 2 mg/L. These conditions reflect incomplete nitrification of ammonia-N within those lower lake depths. However, these exceedances are not limiting to fish habitat. Rather, the limiting condition is the low oxygen concentration in these lower layers during summer stratification. At oxygen levels required to support fish, these higher nitrite levels would likely not be observed because complete nitrification would occur.

5.5 Deep Water Conditions

The upgrades in treatment at Metro resulted in profound changes in the lower waters of the lake. These were in addition to those described previously, associated with both the decreased loading of phosphorus and the increased inputs of nitrate (instead of ammonia-N). The improvements from reduced phosphorus loading were anticipated, following a well-established logic pattern for rehabilitation of culturally eutrophic lakes. Accordingly, reductions in phosphorus loading are expected to decrease algal growth and associated deposition, thereby decreasing the oxygen demand associated with its decay. This has been manifested as a delay in the onset of anoxia, described previously, which would be expected to translate to some reduction in the release of soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) from the sediments. When transported to the upper waters by vertical mixing processes, SRP released from the sediments can act to augment phytoplankton growth.

Phosphorus release from the sediments has been greatly diminished by increased in-lake concentrations of nitrate (Matthews et al. 2013). In the presence of dissolved oxygen or nitrate, sediment phosphorus remains in particulate phase, tightly bound to ferric iron. When oxygen and nitrate are depleted from the surface sediments, iron is converted to the reduced ferrous form and soluble reactive phosphorus is released. Thus maintenance of high nitrate concentrations in the hypolimnion serves to effectively block the release of phosphorus from the sediments. In 2009, depletion of nitrate in the lower waters during August and September (Figure 5-18b) resulted in release of soluble reactive phosphorus from the profundal sediments (Figure 5-18c). The complete absence of sediment phosphorus release under the high nitrate concentrations of 2015 (Figure 5-18f) clearly demonstrates the positive effect of nitrate, even under anoxic conditions (Figure 5-18d). This is in stark contrast to the high rates of phosphorus release that prevailed in years when both dissolved oxygen and nitrate were depleted from the hypolimnion (Figure 5-19).

The mass of phosphorus accumulated in the hypolimnion during the summer stratification interval has decreased by 90% since the 1990s (Figure 5-19). Note that the decrease in sediment P release has been in response to both the decrease in primary production from the Metro phosphorus treatment upgrade and the increase in nitrate from the facility's year-round nitrification. Some interannual variations are to be expected due to differences in the duration of stratification and ambient mixing, both associated with natural variations in meteorological conditions. Additionally, the supply of nitrate to the lower waters in summer is now being augmented by Honeywell as a strategy to control sediment release of mercury. Sediment release rates of phosphorus have been particularly low since the initiation of nitrate addition in 2011 (Figure 5-19).




Figure 5-18. Time-series of concentration values in the deep waters of Onondaga Lake: (a) 2009 (18 meters) dissolved oxygen (DO), (b) 2009 (hypolimnion composite) nitrate (NO₃-N), (c) 2009 (18 meters) soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP), (d) 2015 (18 meters) dissolved oxygen (DO), (e) 2015 (18 meters) nitrate (NO₃-N), (f) 2015 (18 meters) soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP).




Figure 5-19. The maximum mass of total phosphorus (TP) accumulated in the hypolimnion during summer stratification, 1995–2015.

5.6 Compliance with AWQS

The 2015 monitoring results indicate that the open waters of Onondaga Lake were in compliance with most ambient water quality standards (AWQS), with exceptions noted in [Table 5-2](#). The concentration of [total dissolved solids](#) (TDS), which primarily reflects the concentrations of the major cations ([calcium](#) (Ca^{2+}), [sodium](#) (Na^+), [magnesium](#) (Mg^{2+}), [potassium](#) (K^+)), and anions ([bicarbonate](#) (HCO_3^-), [chloride](#) (Cl^-), [sulfate](#) (SO_4^{2-})), exceeded the AWQS of 500 mg/L by a wide margin. Exceedance of this standard is associated with the natural hydrogeology of the lake and not with anthropogenic effects. The bedrock in Onondaga County is comprised of Paleozoic sedimentary rocks with high concentrations of calcium and sulfate, which contribute to the high TDS levels in Onondaga Lake and its tributaries.

Table 5-2. Percentage of measurements in compliance with ambient water quality standards (AWQS) and guidance values in the upper and lower waters of Onondaga Lake at South Deep in 2015.

Parameter ⁵	AWQS/Guidance Value	Upper Waters		Lower Waters	
		Depths	%	Depths	%
Dissolved Oxygen (n=420)	≥4 mg/L instantaneous ¹	2m	100%	12m	<i>44%</i>
Dissolved Oxygen (n=220)	≥5 mg/L daily average ¹	2m	100%	12m	<i>50%</i>
pH (n=17)	6.5–8.5	0–6m	100%	12–18m	100%
Total Phosphorus (n=9)	≤20 µg/L summer average ²	0, 3m	<i>0%</i> <i>(23 µg/L)</i>	--	--
Ammonia-N (n=18)	variable ³	3m	100%	15m	100%
Nitrite (n=18)	≤0.1 mg/L	3m	100%	15, 18m	100%
Total Dissolved Solids (n=18)	≤500 mg/L	3m	<i>0%</i>	15m	<i>0%</i>
Dissolved Mercury (n=3)	≤0.7 ng/L	3m	100%	18m	100%
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (n=7)	≤200 cfu/100 mL monthly geometric mean ⁴	0m	100%	--	--

Notes:
Dashed lines indicate that compliance was not evaluated; parameters listed in bold are cited in the ACJ; occurrences of less than 100% compliance are highlighted in italic red text.

¹ Dissolved oxygen compliance based on buoy data from 2 m and 12 m depths (one to four profiles per day).
² Total phosphorus compliance based on the 0-3m average for the June 1–September 30 period.
³ The AWQS for ammonia-N varies as a function of pH and temperature.
⁴ The AWQS for fecal coliform bacteria is specified as the monthly geometric mean being less than or equal to 200 colony forming units (cfu) per 100 milliliters (mL) during the period of Metro disinfection (April 1–October 15).
⁵ n refers to the number of measurements for the upper and lower waters individually. For example, compliance with the AWQS for dissolved oxygen was based on 220 measurements from 2 m and 220 measurements from 12 m.

New York State has promulgated a narrative standard for phosphorus in water: “None in amounts that will result in growths of algae, weeds and slimes that will impair the waters for their best usages” (NYSCRR §703.2). For ponded waters the narrative standard is interpreted using a guidance value of 20 µg/L, calculated as the average total phosphorus concentration in the lake’s upper waters between June 1 and September 30. A **total maximum daily load** (TMDL) allocation for phosphorus inputs to Onondaga Lake has been developed to meet this water quality goal. The phosphorus TMDL was approved by USEPA on June 29, 2012. The 2015 summer average **total phosphorus** (TP) concentration in the lake’s upper waters was 23 µg/L, slightly higher than the state’s guidance value of 20 µg/L. Five of the eight TP values measured during the June–September period exceeded 20 µg/L.

Based on long-term consistent compliance with AWQS, quarterly sampling of metals in the lake was discontinued in 2013. Samples for analysis of total mercury, dissolved mercury, and methylmercury were collected from South Deep at two depths (3 meters and 18 meters) in April, August, and October of 2015. Sampling for mercury was not conducted at North Deep in 2015. Methylmercury is of particular concern because this form bioaccumulates strongly in aquatic food webs, resulting in toxic effects at upper trophic levels when concentrations are high. The time series of total mercury and methylmercury concentrations measured in both the upper and lower waters of Onondaga Lake since 1999 indicate a substantial reduction in the concentration of this heavy metal (Figure 5-20). The AWQS for dissolved mercury in Class B and C waters is 0.7 nanograms per liter (ng/L) and applies to the health fish consumption standard. This standard was not exceeded in any of the samples collected during 2015 (data not shown).




Figure 5-20. Time series of annual average mercury (Hg) concentrations at the North and South Deep stations of Onondaga Lake, 1999–2015 (a) total Hg at 3 meters, (b) methyl Hg at 3 meters, (c) total Hg at 18 meters, and (d) methyl Hg at 18 meters.

Note: The error bars depict one standard deviation of the annual mean concentration. Error bars are not included for the North Deep station in 2013 because only one useable sample was available for total and methyl Hg. Sampling at the North Deep station was discontinued in 2014. Please note that scaling of the Y-axis varies from plot to plot.

Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations met the AWQS (Table 5-3) in the upper waters of Onondaga Lake throughout the 2015 sampling period. DO concentrations in the lower waters were below the minimum 4 mg/L during a portion of the summer stratified period. However, this situation is not uncommon in stratified lakes where the volume of the lower stratum (the hypolimnion) is relatively small. In New York, an estimated 70% of assessed lakes do not meet the minimum DO standards in the deep waters (NYSDEC Consolidated Assessment and Listing Methodology, May 2009). In the *TMDL for Phosphorus in Onondaga Lake*, NYSDEC concluded that the Lake is unable to meet the existing statewide DO water quality standard at all times during the year in the lower depths of the Lake because natural conditions contribute to the depletion of oxygen in the hypolimnion. NYSDEC has not classified Onondaga Lake as a trout water (T) or trout spawning water (TS). The onset of anoxia in the lake's lower waters is occurring later, suggesting improved water quality and habitat conditions.

Table 5-3. New York State water quality standards for dissolved oxygen.

AA, A, B, C, AA-Special	For trout spawning waters (TS), the DO concentration shall not be less than 7.0 mg/L from other than natural conditions. For trout waters (T), the minimum daily average shall not be less than 6.0 mg/L, and at no time shall the concentration be less than 5.0 mg/L. For non-trout waters, the minimum daily average shall not be less than 5.0 mg/L, and at no time shall the DO concentration be less than 4.0 mg/L.
----------------------------	---

In 2015, the measured fecal coliform bacteria counts at the Onondaga Lake monitoring stations were in compliance with the ambient water quality standard (monthly geometric mean concentration from at least five samples less than or equal to 200 cfu/100 mL) at offshore and nearshore locations within the Class B portion of the lake. Two sites, located within the Class C segment of the lake's southeastern shoreline, exceeded the standard for fecal coliform bacteria during the month of May, and one of these sites also exceeded the standard in October (see Section 5.7). The other locations within the Class C water segment met the ambient water quality standard for all monitored months.

5.7 Recreational Water Quality

The suitability of Onondaga Lake for water contact recreation is assessed using two parameters: fecal coliform bacteria and water clarity. Substantial inputs of bacteria and turbidity (causing reductions in clarity) often occur in both urban and agricultural areas during runoff events from the wash-off of pollutants from land surfaces and overflow of combined sewers. In New York State, fecal coliform bacteria (a class of bacteria present in the intestinal tract of all mammals) are used to indicate the potential presence of raw or partially treated

sewage in water. Although most strains of fecal coliform bacteria are not harmful, the abundance of fecal coliform bacteria in water is correlated with the risk of encountering pathogenic (disease-causing) microorganisms, including bacteria, viruses, and parasites.

The applicable New York State ambient water quality standard for fecal coliform bacteria in surface water, as set forth in 6NYCRR Part 703.4, is as follows: for classes A, B, C, D, SB, SC - the monthly geometric mean concentration of fecal coliform bacteria (colony forming units, cfu, per 100 mL), from a minimum of five examinations, shall not exceed 200 cfu per 100 mL. The fecal coliform standard for classes B, C, D, and SB shall be met during all periods: (1) when disinfection is required for SPDES permitted discharges directly into, or affecting the best usage of the water; or (2) when NYSDEC determines it necessary to protect human health. The [NYS Department of Health](#) (NYSDOH) criterion for fecal coliform in bathing beaches are $\leq 1,000$ cfu per 100 mL for a single sample and ≤ 200 cfu per 100 mL for a 30 day geometric mean. Presently, there is no public bathing beach located on Onondaga Lake.

The 30-day standard is applied on a monthly basis to assess bacterial contamination at nearshore locations ([Figure 5-21](#)) as well as at the open water sites North Deep and South Deep (refer to [Figure 1-2](#)). Bacteria levels in southern portions of the lake often increase following significant rainfall, and concentrations can vary by orders of magnitude due to the event-driven nature of the sources. Consequently, geometric means are appropriate for examining spatial and temporal trends. During the April to October interval of 2015, bacteria levels in Class B areas of Onondaga Lake did not exceed the standard established for contact recreation. Two sites (LS_METRO, LS_OUT), located within the Class C segment of the lake's southeastern shoreline ([Figure 5-21](#)), exceeded the bacteria standard during the month of May. In addition, LS_METRO exceeded the standard in October. LS_METRO and LS_OUT are located near the outlets of Metro, and Onondaga Creek, respectively. Bacterial counts at the two offshore monitoring locations, North Deep and South Deep, were below the AWQS for fecal coliform bacteria throughout the 2015 assessment period.

Water clarity is measured at the same network of ten near shore stations. While there is no NYSDEC standard for water clarity, the NYSDOH has a swimming safety guidance value for designated bathing beaches of 4 feet (1.2 meters). The NYSDOH swimming safety guidance value was met in Class B waters throughout the summer recreational period of 2015 ([Figure 5-22](#)). Sampling locations in the southern end of the lake, near the mouths of Onondaga Creek and Harbor Brook, failed to meet this guidance value on 20-30% of the monitored days. Sediment inputs from the mud boils on upper Onondaga Creek likely contributed to the diminished water clarity in nearshore areas of the Class C segment in the southern portion of the lake. The guidance value for clarity was met in the Class C segments at the mouths of Ley

and Ninemile Creeks on 21 of the 23 monitored dates (82%). Dreissenid (zebra, quagga) mussels likely have a significant positive impact on water clarity in the nearshore, while zooplankton have a greater effect on clarity in offshore regions.



Sunset at Onondaga Lake.




Figure 5-21. The percentage of months in compliance with the water quality standard for fecal coliform bacteria for nearshore stations in Onondaga Lake, April–October: (a) 2008, (b) 2009, (c) 2010, (d) 2011, (e) 2012, (f) 2013, (g) 2014, and (h) 2015.

Note: Compliance is calculated for each location by comparing the monthly geometric mean of a minimum of five samples with the AWQS (200 cfu/100 mL).




Figure 5-22. Percentage of nearshore Secchi disk transparency measurements greater than 1.2 meters (4 feet) during June–September: (a) 2008, (b) 2009, (c) 2010, (d) 2011, (e) 2012, (f) 2013, (g) 2014, and (h) 2015.

5.8 Long-Term Trends in Water Quality

Advanced wastewater treatment at Metro has resulted in major reductions in loading of total phosphorus, ammonia-N, and nitrite to Onondaga Lake. The lake responded positively to these loading reductions, with major improvements documented for a number of key water quality parameters and recovery of lost uses. In this section long-term trends are identified using various statistical approaches, including seasonal Kendall tests and least squares linear regression models.

5.8.1 10-Year Water Quality Trends: 2006–2015

Water quality trends for the last 10 years (2006–2015) were evaluated statistically using the two-tailed seasonal Kendall test (Table 5-4). It is important to note that the 10-year period covered by this analysis (2006–2015) reflects conditions following the major treatment upgrades at Metro in 2004 and 2005. The number of trends identified as significant and the strength of these trends has diminished as the 10-year analysis period has shifted further in time from the Metro upgrades. Nevertheless, significant decreasing trends continued to be identified for ammonia-N, total phosphorus, and soluble reactive phosphorus (Table 5-4). The significant increase in nitrate over the same period is primarily a manifestation of year-round nitrification at Metro. Honeywell’s nitrate addition pilot project has contributed to increased nitrate levels in the hypolimnion during 2011–2015.

Table 5-4. Summary of statistically significant trends in lake concentrations during the 2006 to 2015 period, according to two-tailed Seasonal Kendall tests that account for serial correlation.

Note: See table footnotes for color code. “Upper waters” refers to the 0-3m depth interval and “lower waters” refers to the 12-18m interval.

Variables		South Basin		North Basin		Lake Outlet	
		upper waters	lower waters	upper waters	lower waters	0.6m	3.7m
Clarity	Secchi disk transparency	○	--	-3.2%	--	--	--
Bacteria	Fecal coliform	○	--	○	--	-11.5%	--
Nitrogen	Ammonia-N (NH ₃ -N)	-5.0%	○	○	○	-8.0%	○
	Nitrite (NO ₂ -N)	○	○	○	○	○	○
	Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	1.8%	5.0%	○	5.1%	2.6%	3.2%
	Organic nitrogen as N	○	○	○	○	○	○
	Total Kjeldahl nitrogen as N (TKN)	○	○	○	○	○	○

Table 5-4. Summary of statistically significant trends in lake concentrations during the 2006 to 2015 period, according to two-tailed Seasonal Kendall tests that account for serial correlation.

Note: See table footnotes for color code. "Upper waters" refers to the 0-3m depth interval and "lower waters" refers to the 12-18m interval.

Variables		South Basin		North Basin		Lake Outlet	
		upper waters	lower waters	upper waters	lower waters	0.6m	3.7m
Phosphorus	Total phosphorus (TP)	-4.3%	-7.6%	-5.8%	-9.3%	-9.1%	-5.1%
	Soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP)	0.0%	-15.9%	o	-29.3%	-18.4%	-14.0%
Solids	Total suspended solids (TSS)	o	2.9%	0.0%	o	0.0%	o
	Total dissolved solids (TDS)	o	o	o	o	o	o
Chlorophyll	Chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	o	--	o	--	--	--
	Phaeophytin- <i>a</i>	o	--	o	--	o	o
Carbon	Total organic carbon (TOC)	o	o	o	o	o	o
	Total inorganic carbon (TIC)	-1.5%	o	-3.2%	o	-2.1%	-1.5%
Other	Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	o	o	o	o	o	o
	Calcium (Ca)	o	o	o	o	o	o
	Chloride (Cl)	o	o	o	o	o	o
	Specific conductance	o	o	o	o	o	o
	Dissolved oxygen (DO)	o	o	o	1.2%	o	o
	Magnesium (Mg)	o	o	o	o	o	o
	Manganese (Mn)	-0.6%	o	o	o	-3.7%	-2.2%
	Sodium (Na)	o	o	o	o	o	o
	pH	o	o	o	o	0.2%	o
	Dissolved Silica (SiO ₂)	o	o	o	o	o	o
	Sulfate (SO ₄)	o	o	o	o	o	o
Temperature	o	o	0.8%	o	o	o	

Notes:
 Two-tailed Seasonal Kendall test accounting for serial correlation, evaluated at the 10% significance level.
Blue value (%) indicates decreasing trend
Red value (%) indicates increasing trend
 o indicates no trend
 - dash indicates parameter not measured at this location.

5.8.2 Drivers of Long-term Phosphorus Trends

Scatterplots of water year (October 1 to September 30) total phosphorus (TP) loading estimates and summer average (June 1 to September 30) TP concentrations for the 1999 to 2015 period depict systematic decreases in both loading and in-lake concentrations achieved by the upgrades in treatment at Metro (Figure 5-23). The water year time segmentation is used to be more consistent with the summer interval of the in-lake total phosphorus guidance value. Empirical analysis according to linear least-squares regression demonstrates that changes in Metro loads explained 79% ($R^2 = 0.79$) of the observed variations in the summer average total phosphorus concentration of the upper waters (Figures 5-23a). This relationship becomes substantially weaker ($R^2 = 0.44$) when tributary contributions are included in the independent variable (Figure 5-23b). The weaker empirical model from inclusion of tributary contributions is attributable to multiple factors, including (1) disproportionately large inputs of total phosphorus from tributaries during intervals of the year that do not contribute substantively to in-lake total phosphorus concentrations during summer, (2) large interannual variations in tributary total phosphorus loading associated with natural variations in runoff, and (3) differences in the in-lake behavior of tributary phosphorus inputs compared to those from Metro.



Onondaga Lake Marina.




Figure 5-23. Evaluation of the relationship between summer (June–September) average total phosphorus (TP) concentration in the upper waters (0–3 meters) of Onondaga Lake and TP loading for the 1999–2015 period.

Note: Loads are presented on a water year (October 1–September 30) basis for (a) Metro, and (b) the sum of Metro and the tributaries.

5.8.3 Application of Empirical Models to Explain Contemporary Dynamics in Total Phosphorus and Chlorophyll-*a*

Summer average total phosphorus concentrations in the upper waters have varied from 15 (2008) to 41 µg/L (2006) over the ten year interval (2006–2015) following implementation of Actiflo® treatment. The regulatory goal of 20 µg/L has been met in three (2008, 2009, 2011) of these years. The drivers of the contemporary dynamics of trophic state metrics and their relative effects are of water quality and management interest, particularly given the year-to-year differences in the status of the lake related to the goal. Multiple linear regression models for summer average total phosphorus (TP_{epi}) and chlorophyll-*a* (Chl-*a*_{epi}) were developed and tested by Matthews et al. (2015) for the post-Actiflo® interval of 2006–2011, considering three potential drivers of year-to-year variations: the total phosphorus concentration of the Metro effluent (TP_{Metro}), tributary flow (Q_{ON}), and the presence of *Daphnia* (D). The models considered these drivers for the summer (June–September) interval, consistent with the specification for the total phosphorus goal. Tributary flow, a surrogate for phosphorus loading from the watershed, was represented as the summer average for Onondaga Creek (Q_{ON}, m³/s). Flows for the other tributaries have been found to be strongly correlated to Q_{ON} (Effler 1996). The effect of *Daphnia* grazing (D) was represented as a categorical variable, either present (D = 1) or absent (D = 0). We have extended the original analysis to include the 2006–2015 interval.

The updated best-fit multiple regression relationships were

$$\text{TP}_{\text{epi}} = 245.67 (\text{TP}_{\text{Metro}}) + 4.38 (\text{Q}_{\text{ON}}) - 8.70 (\text{D}) - 10.66 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Chl-}a_{\text{epi}} = 139.30 (\text{TP}_{\text{Metro}}) + 2.36 (\text{Q}_{\text{ON}}) - 3.32 (\text{D}) - 11.03 \quad (2)$$

These relationships explained 90% and 88% of the observed variations in TP_{epi} and Chl-*a*_{epi}, respectively. Despite the small sample size (n = 10 years), *p* values for both expressions were highly significant (*p*<0.01). The *p* values for the TP_{Metro}, Q_{ON}, and D components of the TP_{epi} model were 0.006, 0.001, and 0.008, respectively, and for the Chl-*a*_{epi} model these were 0.005, 0.002 and 0.035. Scatter plots of predicted versus observed TP_{epi} and Chl-*a*_{epi} demonstrate the ability of these simple empirical models to predict summer average conditions with high confidence (Figure 5-24).




Figure 5-24. Performance of multiple linear regression models in describing contemporary (2006–2015) interannual variations in trophic state metrics: (a) TP_{epi}, and (b) Chl-a_{epi}.

The major improvements in trophic state metrics in Onondaga Lake over the last 30 years were driven by decreases in the total phosphorus concentration of the Metro effluent, consistent with the historic dominance of the Metro load. With the decrease in the Metro load, other factors now contribute importantly to contemporary variability in trophic state. Inclusion of tributary flow and *Daphnia* as predictor variables in the empirical models for contemporary conditions reflects this change. Tributary phosphorus loading rates to Onondaga Lake have been observed to increase as runoff increases (Effler et al. 2009), supporting Q_{ON} as an appropriate independent variable. Lake total phosphorus concentrations have been reported to decrease as *Daphnia* populations increase (Shapiro and Wright 1984), reflecting efficient removal of phosphorus containing particles. Indeed, the lowest TP_{epi} values (15 and 17 µg/L) were observed in 2008 and 2009 when *Daphnia* were abundant. The inverse dependence of *Daphnia* abundance on planktivorous fish populations, as reported for Onondaga Lake (Wang et al. 2010), has been observed widely (Brooks and Dodson 1965, Carpenter et al. 1987, Rudstam et al. 1993, Lathrop et al. 1999).

5.8.4 N to P Ratio

The relative concentration of nutrients is an important determinant of the composition of the phytoplankton community. The effects of nutrient concentrations on phytoplankton speciation can have water quality management implications, particularly with respect to avoiding proliferation of cyanobacteria (blue-green algae). Cyanobacteria can cause noxious and potentially toxic conditions when present in high concentrations. The maintenance of high nitrogen to phosphorus ratios (N:P) in the upper productive layers of Onondaga Lake has been a long-term management strategy to discourage such noxious conditions. Data from a wide

range of temperate lakes suggest that a total N to total P ratio (TN:TP) of 29:1 (by mass) differentiates between lakes with cyanobacteria dominance (TN:TP<29:1) and lakes without such dominance (TN:TP>29:1; Smith 1983). The time series of the summer average (June 1–September 30) TN:TP ratio for the upper waters is presented for the 1998–2015 period (Figure 5-25). Total nitrogen (TN) was calculated as the sum of Total Kjeldahl N (TKN; organic nitrogen plus ammonia-N), nitrite, and nitrate.

The TN:TP ratio remained above the literature N:P threshold for cyanobacteria dominance for the entire 1998 to 2015 period (Figure 5-25). The higher values from 2007 to 2015 reflect the effects of systematic decreases in total phosphorus loading from Metro, with mostly unchanging TN concentrations. This representation of the N:P ratio is quite conservative, as the TN pool is dominated by dissolved forms while most of the TP pool in the upper waters of the lake is in particulate form and not immediately available to support algal growth. The common occurrence of dense populations of filamentous cyanobacteria in summer from the late 1980s to early 2000s was likely due to a combination of much lower N:P ratios and higher levels of P. Large cyanobacteria are better competitors when P levels are high both because they can get large enough to be inedible to grazers like *Daphnia*, and because they can regulate their buoyancy and better compete for light that can be limiting at high nutrient concentrations. Cyanobacteria have not been an important component of the algal community in recent years.




Figure 5-25. Summer average ratio of total nitrogen to total phosphorus (TN:TP, by weight) in the upper waters of Onondaga Lake, 1998–2015. Error bars represent plus and minus 1 standard error.

Section 6. Biology and Food Web: 2015

Results and Trends

6.1 Introduction

This section of the Annual Report reviews the extensive AMP data describing the phytoplankton, macrophyte, zooplankton, dreissenid mussel, and fish communities that form the Onondaga Lake food web. As phosphorus concentrations in Onondaga Lake have declined to mesotrophic levels, biological conditions have responded. The dramatic decline in phosphorus concentrations has led to lower phytoplankton biomass and greater water clarity. Light penetration deeper into the water column resulted in expansion of macrophyte beds, which has improved habitat and shelter for fish and other aquatic organisms. The assemblage of plants and animals in the lake has changed over the last decade as well, and some invasive species have become established. The immense data base developed over the course of the AMP supports a detailed analysis of ecosystem condition and change.

6.2 Primary Producers

6.2.1 Phytoplankton

The average biomass of phytoplankton, which includes microscopic algae and cyanobacteria, in Onondaga Lake has been below 2 mg/L since 2007, and 2015 was no exception, with an average phytoplankton biomass of 1.71 mg/L ([Figure 6-1](#)). This growing season (April – October) average concentration is below average for meso-eutrophic lakes, which Wetzel (2001) reported as 3-5 mg/L. Average phytoplankton biomass in 2015 was similar to that of 2013 and slightly higher than 2014, which was the lowest on record since 2008. Peak biomass, at over 6 mg/L, was more than double the peak biomass measured in 2014 and reflects the spring diatom bloom ([Figure 6-2](#)).

Algal biomass declined significantly since 1999, although there has been no further decline since 2008. The low algal biomass is attributed primarily to the 80% reduction in phosphorus loading achieved in 2005 with enhanced phosphorus removal at the Metro treatment plant. However, the presence of large zooplankton species affects algal biomass as well. This effect was notable in the 2008 and 2009 data. Large zooplankton were rare in 2014 and 2015. A detailed report of the results of the annual lower trophic level monitoring of Onondaga Lake is included as [Appendix F-1](#).

There is considerable seasonal change in phytoplankton composition with a major peak, predominantly of diatoms, in the spring and a lower peak, predominantly of chlorophytes and haptophytes, in the fall (Figure 6-3). The dominant algal genera in 2015 were similar to past years: diatoms were the most abundant group followed by cryptophytes, chlorophytes and chrysophytes. The spring diatom peak consisted mostly of *Diatoma* and *Synedra* with *Synedra*, *Cyclotella*, *Uroglena*, and *Chlorococcales* most common in the fall. *Cryptomonas*, *Chlamydomonas*, *Cyclotella*, *Chrysochromulina*, and *Rhodomonas* were common throughout the year. The composition of the phytoplankton community changed from one dominated by undesirable cyanobacteria and dinoflagellates (Pyrrophyta) through the early 2000s (Appendix F-1, Figure 11) to one dominated by more desirable diatoms (Bacillariophyta) and green algae (Chlorophyta) (Table 6-1, Figure 6-3). Large cyanobacteria species have become rare in the plankton assemblage of Onondaga Lake. In 2015, cyanobacteria were present in the fall, but peak biomass was only 0.1 mg/L (Figure 6-3). Phytoplankton biomass peaked in May, first with diatoms, then a short-lived period with chrysophytes. Biomass was lower through the summer but increased slightly in the fall.

As displayed in Figure 6-3 (upper panel), the lake's phytoplankton community consists mainly of six major taxa: diatoms, green algae, golden algae, brown algae, dinoflagellates, and cyanobacteria. The golden algae is the only group whose biomass increased from 1998 to 2015 to a statistically significant degree ($P=0.001$). All other groups declined over this 18-year period and the decline in biomass of cyanobacteria and dinoflagellates is statistically significant ($P<0.002$).

In addition to total biomass, the relative importance of the major phytoplankton groups has been tracked over the study period; these data are displayed in the lower panel of Figure 6-4. Chrysophytes and diatoms increased in proportional biovolume over this period ($P<0.003$), while dinoflagellates and cyanobacteria decreased ($P<0.002$). Note that these significant changes were due to the shifts in community composition between 1998 and 2004. Since 2005, community composition has been more stable. However, statistically significant increases in the proportion of cyanobacteria ($P=0.035$) and "other" (haptophytes, $P=0.033$) were detected when examining the community structure between 2005 and 2015.

The reduction in cyanobacteria is one of the most striking changes in Onondaga Lake over the last two decades. Cyanobacterial blooms occur annually in nearby Oneida Lake, which has phosphorus concentrations similar to those measured in Onondaga Lake (Idrisi et al. 2016). This difference between Oneida and Onondaga lakes may result from the availability of oxidized nitrogen in the form of nitrate ($\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$) and nitrite ($\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$) from the biochemical nitrification of ammonia-N ($\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$) at Metro, as discussed in Section 5.4. At low N:P ratios, cyanobacteria

achieve a competitive advantage over the true algae in the phytoplankton, leading to the blooms like those present in Oneida Lake currently and formerly in Onondaga Lake. While the average summer N:P ratio in Onondaga Lake, affected by the Metro effluent and nitrate additions by Honeywell, is approximately 120:1, the ratio in Oneida Lake is less than 10:1.

Although major cyanobacterial blooms have not occurred recently in Onondaga Lake, cyanobacteria of a number of genera are still present, especially in late summer and fall. These are principally of the genera *Aphanocapsa*, *Aphanothece*, *Sphaerospermopsis* and *Synechocystis* (Figure 6-5). Of special concern with regard to cyanobacterial blooms are the powerful toxins released by many genera. Among those potentially toxic genera listed by the World Health Organization (Sivonen and Jones 1999), *Anabaena*, *Aphanizomenon*, *Cylindrospermopsis*, *Microcystis* and *Planktothrix* were present during part of the summer and fall of 2015 in Onondaga Lake (Figure 6-5), but did not represent a significant portion of the biomass.




Figure 6-1. Temporal trend of average annual phytoplankton biomass (April – October) in Onondaga Lake from 1998-2015.




Figure 6-2. Temporal trend of average annual phytoplankton biomass (April – October) in Onondaga Lake during 2014 and 2015.

Table 6-1. Scientific and common names for major phytoplankton groups.

Scientific Division	Common Name
Cyanophyta (Cyanobacteria)	Blue-green algae
Pyrrhophyta	Dinoflagellates
Bacillariophyta	Diatoms
Chlorophyta	Green algae
Cryptophyta	Brown algae
Chrysophyta	Golden algae
Haptophyta	Brown algae




Figure 6-3. Temporal trends in biomass (upper panel) and proportional biomass (lower panel) of phytoplankton divisions in Onondaga Lake in 2015. Phytoplankton biomass first peaked in May with diatoms, then during a short-lived period with chrysophytes. Biomass was lower through the summer with a slight increase in the fall with mainly chlorophytes and cryptophytes.





Figure 6-4. Temporal trend of average biomass (April-October) of phytoplankton divisions in Onondaga Lake from 1998-2015.




Figure 6-5. Temporal trend of biovolume of cyanobacteria genera in Onondaga Lake (South station) in 2015.

Note: Cyanobacterial biovolume was low throughout the year. The “other” group includes the genera Anabaena, Aphanizomenon, Chroococcus, Cuspidothrix, Cylandrospermopsis, Merismopedia, Microcystis, Planktothrix, Pseudoanabaena, and Synechococcus.

6.2.2 Macrophytes

Macrophytes play a critical role in lake ecosystems by providing food for waterfowl and other wildlife, protecting small fish, creating spawning habitats, providing refuges for zooplankton, and oxygenating the water. They help stabilize bottom sediments and prevent their resuspension in response to waves. Macrophytes can also play a crucial role in nutrient transport from the sediments because most macrophyte species acquire nutrients via root uptake from the sediment pore water rather than from the overlying water (James et al. 2001). As part of the ACJ, the AMP included extensive sampling of the macrophyte community every five years (2000, 2005, and 2010) to document species occurrence and biomass. In addition, OCDWEP acquired aerial photographs of the littoral zone annually (2000–2013), when water clarity conditions allowed.

The area of the littoral zone covered with macrophytes more than doubled from 2006–2013, after the reduced phosphorus load from Metro led to the decline in phytoplankton biomass and increased depth of light penetration through the water column. Macrophyte coverage in 2013 was at desirable levels for supporting spawning and nursery habitat for the warmwater fish community. Because of dredging and capping operations macrophyte surveys were not conducted in 2014 or 2015. A final aerial survey is scheduled to occur in 2018 to complete the ACJ required monitoring.

6.3 Zooplankton

The zooplankton community is a central component of the lake ecosystem; these grazing aquatic animals affect the abundance and species composition of the phytoplankton community. Zooplankton, in turn, are a critical food for many species of fish, particularly in early stages of development. The size structure and abundance of the Onondaga Lake zooplankton community is tracked annually as part of the AMP. A detailed report on Onondaga Lake zooplankton monitoring results can be found in [Appendix F-1](#). In Onondaga Lake, zooplankton and benthic mussels are the most important grazers of phytoplankton.

The zooplankton data for 2015 should be interpreted with caution, particularly when making comparisons to historical data. Due to a sampling error (since corrected), the 2015 zooplankton samples were collected using a larger mesh size (500 μm instead of 64 μm). Fortunately, OCDWEP was able to draw on results of samples collected by Upstate Freshwater Institute (UFI) for another client. The UFI zooplankton samples were collected as tows from a water depth of 13 m to the surface, mid-June through mid-November. Because spring peaks in zooplankton density were not sampled, and because the sample tows extended to 13 m

(summer samples in Onondaga County collections extend only to 6 m), the densities from 2015 are likely to be low compared with other years in the OCDWEP database.

The size structure of the zooplankton community (i.e., the relative abundance of small and large species) is a consequence of the grazing pressure exerted on zooplankton by fish. The community composition changed dramatically during several time periods: in late summer 2002 as Alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) increased in abundance, in summer 2008 following Alewife declines, and again during summer 2009 when Alewife abundance rebounded (Figure 6-6). Alewife preferentially feed on larger zooplankton species. When Alewife populations are high, the population of larger zooplankton species declines. With reduced Alewife predation, the population of larger zooplankton species increases.


The species and size composition were similar to 2003-2007 and 2010-2014 but quite different from those observed in 2008 and 2009 when the Alewife population was low. In the available long-term data, zooplankton biomass has been low since 2010 and there is an overall long-term decline. Variability among years, such as the increase in 2008 and 2009, reflects the low abundance of planktivorous Alewife in those two years (Wang et al. 2010).

The biomass of zooplankton in 2015 was low compared to other years but this is partly due to the different sampling schedule in 2015 (June-October and 0-13 m depth strata). Note that there were proportionally more *Daphnia* in 2015 perhaps indicating slightly lower Alewife population in the fall of 2015. Nevertheless, the data suggest the continued dominance of *Bosmina* and cyclopoid copepods, both *Mesocyclops* and *Diacyclops* in 2015 with some *Daphnia retrocurva* present in the summer. The presence of *Daphnia retrocurva* in the summer of 2015 accounts for some of the slightly larger average size of zooplankton organisms in 2015, compared to 2014 and earlier recent years (Figure 6-7).

The exotic predatory zooplankton species *Cercopagis pengoi* was at similar densities in 2015 as in recent years (Figure 6-8). This predator is more likely to negatively affect *Bosmina* than it is *Daphnia*.

Populations of *Daphnia* can exert a strong influence on the phytoplankton community. Both high water clarity and low phytoplankton biovolume were observed in 2008 and 2009 associated with the combination of high grazing from large zooplankton, decreased phosphorus loading, and possible increased grazing by dreissenids. Algal biovolume was higher in 2015 than in any year since 2006, which is consistent with the low biomass of zooplankton in 2015.

A. Average Zooplankton Biomass of All Taxa



B. Proportion of major groups across time




Figure 6-6. Average biomass of zooplankton (all taxa combined) and the proportion of major taxa in Onondaga Lake from April through October in 1996-2015 (June through October, 2015).




Figure 6-7. Average crustacean zooplankton length (mm).




Figure 6-8. Time series of *Cercopagis pengoi* in Onondaga Lake, 1996 to 2015. Data represent the average biomass from standard samples collected at South Deep station from April through October.

Note: Bars represent one SE. For 2015, both the average for April – October standard samples using the 500 µm mesh cup (blue square) and the average for June-October samples using a 64 µm net (red square) are shown.



Dreissenid Mussel Sample from Onondaga Lake, 2014.

6.4 Dreissenid Mussels

Since 2005, the dreissenid mussel population in Onondaga Lake has been surveyed annually as part of the AMP. Dreissenid mussels [zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) and quagga mussels (*Dreissena bugensis*)] are invasive species that are described as “ecosystem engineers” due to the ecological impacts these bivalves can pose by filtering high volumes of water and altering the benthic habitat and energy flow (see reviews in Karatayev et al. 1997, Karatayev et al. 2002, Higgins and VanderZanden 2010, Mayer et al. 2014). Both species arrived in North America and Lake Erie in the mid-1980s (Carlton 2008, Mills et al. 1993, Mills et al. 1996). Zebra mussels spread rapidly, and by 1993 were common across the Great Lakes and many inland lakes, had reached the Gulf of Mexico through the Mississippi River, and also reached the Atlantic Coast through the Hudson River (Benson 2014).

Zebra and quagga mussel populations coexist in Onondaga Lake, with some shifts between the years in biomass and density reflecting increased importance of the quagga mussels (Figure 6-9). Quagga mussels were consistently larger than zebra mussels at all depths, indicating that quagga mussels have a growth advantage in both shallow and deeper areas. Quagga mussels have largely displaced zebra mussels in Onondaga Lake where water is deeper than 3 m, but both species are common in shallower water.

The continued coexistence of both species in 0–3 m depths may be related to the advantage of greater attachment strength of zebra mussels in areas more affected by wave action. Dreissenid biomass in 0–4.5 m deep water (both species) ranged between 13 to 30 g ash free dry weight/m² from 2007 to 2012 and declined to 4.5–6.9 in 2013–2015. Biomass was lower in 2005 and 2006. In 2015, biomass decreased to 4.5 g/m² in the 0–4.5 m depth zones,

the lowest value since 2006. Biomass of both species declined significantly since 2011, the year after Round Goby (*Neogobius melanostomus*) arrived in the lake. The detailed data are reported in [Appendix F-2](#).

Round Goby increased in abundance each year (UFI et al. 2014). The total catch from juvenile seines has increased from two individuals captured in 2011 to 373 individuals captured in 2014; fewer Round Gobies (74) were captured in 2015. The density of both mussel species declined significantly from 2011 to 2015, but the decline was greatest for quagga mussels. The timing of the decline, and the larger decline for quagga mussels, may reflect a predation effect from Round Goby. However, dredging activities in 2013 may have contributed to the decline in that year. Declines in dreissenids have been observed after Round Goby invasions elsewhere (Lederer et al. 2008, Barton et al. 2005), and fish predation is considered an important determinant of dreissenid abundance in Europe as well as North America (Naddafi et al. 2010, Magoulick and Lewis 2002, Watzin et al. 2008). The presence and increasing abundance of Round Goby in Onondaga Lake may be reducing the abundance of dreissenid mussels overall and affecting the relative abundance of the individual dreissenid species.




Figure 6-9. Biomass of zebra and quagga mussels in depth zones of Onondaga Lake, 2002-2015. The black lines represent the proportion of quagga mussel in each depth zone.

6.5 Fish

6.5.1 Introduction

The improvements in water quality and habitat conditions have altered the fish community of Onondaga Lake. The significant reduction in ammonia-N and phosphorus loading from Metro brought about a shift from eutrophic to mesotrophic conditions, which expanded the fish habitat in both the littoral and [pelagic zones](#). Since 2000, the AMP included an extensive fisheries monitoring program that incorporated multiple types of sampling gear to assess nesting, larval, juvenile, and adult stages of several species of the fish community. Over 175,000 individual fish representing fifty-three species have been captured or observed from Onondaga Lake through Onondaga County's sampling efforts ([Table 6-2](#)). [Species richness](#) and catch per unit effort ([CPUE](#)) are metrics commonly used by fisheries biologists to describe the fish community. Species richness is the number of different species represented in an ecological community and CPUE is an indirect measure of the abundance of a target species.

The many biotic and abiotic environmental factors affecting the fish community in Onondaga Lake present challenges for analyzing and interpreting the available fisheries data. The following sections provide an overview of the lake's fish community in 2015, an assessment of trends observed since the onset of the AMP biological program in 2000, and an assessment of changes in the fish community that integrates data from Honeywell from 2008 to 2015. Additionally, an analysis of growth and survival of Largemouth Bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) conducted by Onondaga County in 2015 is summarized in [Appendix F-3](#).



Bowfin Collected from Onondaga Lake, 2015.

Table 6-2. Fish species identified in Onondaga Lake, 2000–2015 (total catch, all gear types, and all life stages).

Abundant Species (>1000 individuals)		Common Species (50-1000 individuals)		Uncommon Species (<50 individuals)	
Alewife	Golden Shiner	Bluntnose Minnow	Northern Pike	Black Bullhead	Northern Hogsucker
Banded Killifish	Largemouth Bass	Bowfin	Rock Bass	Black Crappie	Quillback
Bluegill	Pumpkinseed	Channel Catfish	Shorthead Redhorse	Brook Stickleback	Rainbow Smelt
Brown Bullhead	Smallmouth Bass	Emerald Shiner	Tessellated Darter	Brown Trout	Rainbow Trout
Common Carp	White Perch	Fathead Minnow	Tiger Muskie	Chain Pickerel	Rudd
Gizzard Shad	White Sucker	Freshwater Drum	Walleye	Creek Chub	Silver Redhorse
Brook Silverside	Yellow Perch	Logperch	Yellow Bullhead	Goldfish	Spotfin Shiner
Round Goby		Longnose Gar		Greater Redhorse	Spottail Shiner
				Green Sunfish	Tadpole Madtom
				Johnny Darter	Trout Perch
				Lake Sturgeon	White Bass
				Longnose Dace	

6.5.2 Early Life Stages

The AMP employs several methods to assess fish reproduction and recruitment (i.e., juvenile survival to the adult life stage). Evaluation of larval and juvenile fish provides information on the overall health of the fish community within the lake and the success of annual reproduction. Various abiotic and biotic factors can affect the reproductive success of many species. In theory, comparing the relative abundances of different age classes of young fish can elucidate the net effects of reproductive success and environmental factors. This analysis is complicated due to differences in gear and sampling efficiencies. However, environmental factors do affect the AMP team’s ability to evaluate the various life stages.

Enumeration of fish nests is the first step in evaluating the number and types of fish spawning in the lake. Spring nest surveys have been part of the AMP since 2000; the nest survey was not conducted in 2015 due to persistently high turbidity. High rainfall and runoff precluded visual observation of the littoral zone throughout the primary fish nesting season.

Larval seines are used to enumerate and identify this early life stage. In 2015, 3052 larval fish representing 14 species were collected. Brook Silverside (*Labidesthes sicculus*) was the most common larval fish collected in the seines, and comprised 53% of the lakewide catch, followed by *Lepomis* species (Bluegill and Pumpkinseed) at 35% (Figure 6-10). The proportion of Brook Silverside increased from 2014 to 2015 (37% to 57%), mostly at the expense of

Alewife. The proportion of the various species of *Lepomis* was unchanged. Four species collected in 2015 that were not observed in 2014 included Brown Bullhead, Longnose Gar, Fathead Minnow, and an unidentified minnow species.



OCDWEP Technicians Conducting Larval Seining, 2015.




Figure 6-10. Relative abundance and catch per unit effort (number of fish per seine sweep) of larval fish in 2015 by stratum and species (fish collection by larval seining).


Note: Brown lines at the south end of the lake and near Ninemile Creek identify the location of silt curtains associated with dredging and capping activities. The blue hashing represents the area impacted by dredging in 2012 -2015. The area of each pie is not proportional to its total count.

Later in the summer, another round of littoral zone seining was conducted to enumerate and identify older fishes. These events were conducted twice during the summer and early fall of 2015 to assess abundance and diversity of young-of-year (YOY) and juvenile (age 1+ or greater, not yet mature) fishes. In 2015, 331 young-of-year fish were captured representing eight species: Bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*), Pumpkinseed (*Lepomis gibbosus*), Rock Bass (*Ambloplites rupestris*), Largemouth Bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), Smallmouth Bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*), Brown Bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*), Longnose Gar (*Lepisosteus osseus*), and Golden Shiner (*Notemigonus crysoleucas*). Largemouth Bass constituted the largest proportion, and Rock Bass increased between 2014 and 2015 at the expense of *Lepomis spp* (Figure 6-11).

Juvenile fish collected during the seining event totaled 57 individuals representing eight species. Largemouth Bass and *Lepomis sp.* were the most common species collected, comprising 49% and 23% of the total catch. Green Sunfish (*Lepomis cyanellus*), Gizzard Shad (*Dorosoma cepedianum*), Brown Bullhead, Rock Bass, and Northern Hog Sucker (*Hypentelium nigricans*) were also captured. (Figure 6-12). Largemouth Bass increased from 27% to 49% between 2014 and 2015. The number of juvenile fish species collected in 2015 was the lowest yet recorded during the AMP, with the exception of 2013 when eight species were also collected. The incidental capture of juvenile species such as Walleye (*Sander vitreus*), Longnose Gar, Northern Pike (*Esox lucius*) and Freshwater Drum (*Aplodinotus grunniens*) in previous years did not occur in 2015. These species likely immigrate into the lake through the Seneca River and when present in early life stages are only represented by a few individuals that are sporadically captured.




OCDWEP Technicians Demonstrating Juvenile Seining
(Honeywell Summer Science Week, 2016).



Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Overall CPUE	17.4	127.0	23.1	36.3	27.6	57.5	9.9	16.4	16.9	2.5	5.8	4.2	6.5	14.9	12.3	11.0
Richness	14	13	14	9	9	12	5	8	13	10	9	9	7	10	7	8

Figure 6-11. Relative abundance and catch per unit effort (number of fish per seine sweep) of young-of-year fish in 2015 by stratum and species.

Note: Brown lines at the south end of the lake and near Ninemile Creek identify the location of silt curtains associated with dredging and capping activities. The blue hashing represents the area impacted by dredging in 2012 -2015. The area of each pie is not proportional to its total count.




Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Overall CPUE (#/seine sweep)	1.75	9.15	53.54	3.16	0.49	21.36	3.06	4.74	3.69	1.71	3.01	0.94	2.61	5.93	2.60	1.90
Richness	18	20	16	14	11	14	13	11	14	15	14	16	15	8	10	8

Figure 6-12. Relative abundance and catch per unit effort of juvenile fish in 2015 by stratum and species. Life stage indicated as juvenile during seining (young-of-year and adult excluded).


Note: Brown lines at the south end of the lake and near Ninemile Creek identify the location of silt curtains associated with dredging and capping activities. The blue hashing represents the area impacted by dredging in 2012 -2015. The area of each pie is not proportional to its total count.

6.5.3 The Adult Fish Community

Adult fish are sampled by boat electrofishing in the littoral zone. Some adults, particularly the smaller species (minnows and killifishes) are captured during the littoral juvenile seining as well. In 2015, 1694 fish representing 24 species were collected during the fall boat electrofishing event, half as many fish and two fewer species than encountered in 2014. A striking difference between the relative abundances of species in 2014 and those of 2015 is the decrease in the importance of Gizzard Shad, from 42.1% to 11.9%, reflecting the changes in reproduction and recruitment noted earlier. Brown Bullhead (15.1%), Alewife (14.3%), Pumpkinseed (14.3%), Yellow Perch (*Perca flavescens*; 13.9%) and Largemouth Bass (12.6%) make up most of the rest of the community. Together, these six species comprise 82.1% of the adult fish community ([Figure 6-13](#)).



OCDWEP Staff Electrofishing (Preparing for the 2015 Fall Electrofishing Event).




Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Overall CPUE	254.2	196.47	634.23	519.93	2098.24	1158.47	371.19	471.3	802.03	814.06	1297.65	2344.99	1668.34	1019.43	1016.5	470.87
Richness	23	21	25	21	26	25	25	21	24	28	28	25	28	23	26	24

Figure 6-13. Relative abundance and catch per unit effort (fish collected per hour of electrofishing) of littoral adult fish in 2015 by species and stratum (based on "counts" only; counts for all species except for Gizzard Shad and Alewife for which counts and estimates were used).

Note: Brown lines at the south end of the lake and near Ninemile Creek identify the location of silt curtains associated with dredging and capping activities. The blue hashing represents the area impacted by dredging in 2012 -2015. The area of each pie is not proportional to its total count.

Largemouth and Smallmouth Bass are two of the principal warm water predators in Onondaga Lake. However, since 2002 Largemouth Bass have been the more prevalent of the two species (Figure 6-14). The declining catch rates observed for the Smallmouth Bass are likely indicative of the changing conditions in the littoral zone where increased macrophyte coverage is more suitable for Largemouth Bass (Stuber et al. 1982, Edwards et al. 1983). Smallmouth Bass prefer clear-water lakes and cool streams with moderate current, and rock and gravel substrate (Robbins and MacCrimmon 1974). Increases in the relative abundance of Largemouth Bass over Smallmouth Bass also occurred in Oneida Lake and Canadarago Lake, two other New York lakes with increasing macrophyte coverage (Jackson et al. 2012, Brooking et al. 2012). Honeywell International is in the final stages of a lake bottom restoration project. A habitat layer of gravel/cobble will be placed over approximately 234 hectares of the littoral zone in the south basin, likely enhancing Smallmouth Bass habitat.



Mean CPUE, entire year

Sample Period	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Largemouth Bass	12.2	5.1	35.5	13.6	19.2	23.1	19.0	13.1	26.1	27.7	22.7	25.7	28.7	63.4	50.6	43.6
Smallmouth Bass	3.0	5.2	11.0	2.7	8.1	13.6	19.8	24.7	15.8	8.5	3.9	0.9	1.1	0.2	0.5	0.7

Figure 6-14. Annual average catch rates (number per hour) from fall electrofishing events of Largemouth and Smallmouth Bass combined in Onondaga Lake from 2000 to 2015.

Overall trends in catch rates have varied by species since 2000. Smallmouth Bass, White Perch (*Morone americana*), and Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) have had reduced catch

rates over the past several years, while catch rates for other species such as White Sucker (*Catostomus commersoni*) and Walleye fluctuated considerably but haven't shown any clear trend. However, catch rates of some species, including Largemouth Bass, Brown Bullhead, and Yellow Perch, have generally increased since 2000 (Figure 6-15). These patterns are thought to reflect responses to increased macrophyte coverage, improved water quality, increased dreissenid mussel abundance, variation in climatic conditions, and influences of boom and bust cycles of Alewife and Gizzard Shad abundance. Changes in the abundance of Alewife and Gizzard Shad can have a cascading effect across multiple trophic levels.



Green Sunfish Collected from Onondaga Lake.





Figure 6-15. Trends in catch per unit effort (CPUE) of select fish species captured by electrofishing, 2000–2015. CPUE is based upon number of fish captured per hour.

Note: CPUE for gamefish (Smallmouth Bass, Largemouth Bass, Pumpkinseed, Bluegill, Yellow Perch, Walleye, Brown Bullhead, and Channel Catfish) is calculated from all 24 transects. CPUE for non-gamefish (White Sucker, Gizzard Shad, Alewife, and White Perch) is calculated from only one-half of the transects where all fish are collected (every other transect). Because of the difficulty in netting clupeids (shad and alewives), the CPUE for these species is calculated from a combination of fish that are boated and estimates of the number of fish seen but not netted. Note: Y-axis differs for each species.

In Onondaga Lake, adult fish species richness (i.e., number of species) collected during electrofishing events gradually increased since 2000. A total of 24 adult species were captured during the electrofishing survey in 2015. This is the third highest value since 2000 and comparable to the 26 species collected during 2013 and 2014 (Figure 6-16). Minor fluctuations in species richness over the past six years are due primarily to the incidental catches of uncommon species such as Black Bullhead (*Ameiurus melas*), White Bass (*Morone chrysops*), Goldfish (*Carassius auratus*), and Quillback (*Carpionodes cyprinus*) and the introduction of invasive species such as Round Goby. Onondaga Lake is part of the Seneca River system, which provides a corridor for fish movement between the lake and the waterways connected to the Seneca River as evident based on tagged fish returns since 1987 (Gandino 1996, Siniscal 2009, Hurley 2013). Species tagged in Onondaga Lake, including Channel Catfish, Pumpkinseed, Bluegill, Largemouth and Smallmouth Bass, and Walleye, have been recaptured in the Seneca River system. Walleye have been recaptured as far away as Oneida Lake. Since the monitoring program started in 2000, 53 species have been identified in Onondaga Lake.

Changes in diversity of the Onondaga Lake fish community are highly influenced by periodic peaks and crashes of two species of clupeid (herring family), Alewife and Gizzard Shad. Abundance of these two species is highly variable because both species periodically exhibit significant winter mortality. Extremes in recruitment are seen as well; both fish periodically produce very strong year classes that dominate the catch for years, as alewife can live to ten years and Gizzard Shad even longer.

The adult electrofishing data were used to calculate Shannon-Weiner diversity (H'), an index that considers richness and relative abundance. This index has fluctuated over the 15-year AMP due primarily to shifts in abundance of clupeids. The highest diversity ($H' = 1.01$) was calculated from the 2015 data, and the lowest value ($H' = 0.30$) from the 2005 data (Figure 6-16). A detailed report on Alewife abundance in Onondaga Lake in 2015 can be found in Appendix F-4.



	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Richness	20	15	19	21	22	20	19	20	22	22	24	23	23	26	26	24.00
Shannon Weiner Diversity (H')	0.49	0.98	0.97	0.68	0.51	0.3	0.9	0.98	0.69	0.94	0.92	0.52	0.94	0.94	0.99	1.01

Figure 6-16. Trends in adult fish Shannon-Weiner diversity (H') and richness, fish captured electrofishing, Onondaga Lake, 2000–2015.

Trap nets are passive gear used to sample littoral zone fish and have been used by SUNY-ESF since 1987 on Onondaga Lake. Because fish are not equally vulnerable to different gear types, trap net catches and electrofishing catches cannot be directly compared. However, examining both data sets in combination allows for a more complete assessment of the overall fish community in Onondaga Lake.

Results of the SUNY-ESF trap net program from the 1990s indicated that the catch was dominated by Gizzard Shad and White Perch (Arrigo 1998; Gandino 1996; Tango 1999). By 2005, the Alewife had become firmly established and dominated trap-net catches (Siniscal 2009). As part of the Honeywell monitoring program that began in 2008, SUNY-ESF students conduct monthly trap net sampling from May through October at ten locations around the lake. Overall catches in trap nets varied from year to year (Table 6-3).

Lepomis (Pumpkinseed and Bluegill) were the most frequently collected species in trap net samples in all years with the exception of 2011 and 2015 when Alewife and Gizzard Shad were the most frequent species collected (Figure 6-17). Additionally, species richness, total catch, and species evenness observed in 2015 were the lowest observed since 2008.

Alewife is currently the dominant pelagic planktivore in Onondaga Lake, but White Perch and Gizzard Shad have been dominant in some years. These patterns likely reflect the changing


habitats in the lake, including increased macrophyte coverage, increased mussel abundance, and changes in the fish community associated with water quality improvements, and variability in year-class strength of competing planktivores. It is likely that occasional shifts in the dominance of planktivores will continue to occur and have cascading effects on other trophic levels within the lake community.

Table 6-3. Yearly trap-net (May-October) captures 2008-2015.

Year	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Captures	8011	8857	6134	6295	3373	5589	3806	2041



Goldfish Captured from Onondaga Lake.



	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Overall
Total Fish Captured	8011	8857	6134	6295	3373	5589	3806	2141	44206
Richness	28	33	37	36	35	35	31	22	45
Shannon Diversity	2.11	2.35	2.34	2.26	2.56	2.39	2.59	1.79	2.30
Evenness	0.63	0.67	0.65	0.63	0.72	0.67	0.75	0.52	0.66

Figure 6-17. Relative abundance of fish collected in trap nets from Onondaga Lake by SUNY-ESF, 2008–2015.

6.5.4 Physical Abnormalities

The occurrence of physical abnormalities in adult fish captured during AMP sampling is monitored using a standardized protocol of identifying Deformities, Erosions, Lesions, Tumors, Fungus, and/or Malignancies (DELTFM). Data are used for trend analysis and to compare fish collected from Onondaga Lake to those collected in other areas. Fish abnormalities can result from chemical contamination, biological agents such as bacteria, viruses, or fungi, or interactions among multiple stressors. The occurrence of physical abnormalities is an indicator of recovery or degradation of the aquatic ecosystem.



Examining a Brown Bullhead collected in Onondaga Lake for the Occurrence of Physical Abnormalities.

The percentage of fish with observed DELTFM abnormalities increased from 2% in 2003 to nearly 8% in 2009, but has decreased since then. DELTFM abnormalities began declining in 2010 and decreased steadily to 2.1% by 2015 (Figure 6-18). The increases in recent years (2008-2012) were mostly due to an increase in the brown bullhead catch, a species with a comparatively high level of anomalies. Analysis of Onondaga Lake Brown Bullhead in 2008 detected a variety of pathogens, including *Trichodina*, *Saprolegnia*, *Digenean* infestations, *Micrococcus luteus*, and *Aeromonas sobria* (Cornell University, unpublished data). The incidence of lesions and tumors in Brown Bullhead in Onondaga Lake has continued to decline since 2008, suggesting a gradual recovery of the population. The incidence of lesions and tumors in Brown Bullhead in Onondaga Lake in 2015 was 1.2% and is within the range associated with regional reference sites (Figure 6-19).




Figure 6-18. Percent of adult fish captured during AMP sampling with DELTFM abnormalities.

Note: DELTFM are defined as Deformities, Erosions, Lesions, Tumors, Fungus, and/or Malignancies.





Figure 6-19. Occurrence of lesions and tumors in Brown Bullhead from Onondaga Lake and other regional waters.

Note: Onondaga Lake Brown Bullhead data include Lesions, Tumors and Malignancies, but does not include Deformities, Erosions or Fungal Infections. The following locations were identified as reference sites in the cited reports: Cape Cod – Great Herring Pond and Santuit Pond; Great Lakes: Buckeye Lake, Munuscong Bay, and Old Women Creek (Baumann et al. 2008, Pinkney et al. 2004).

6.5.5 Cool-water and Cold-water Fish Habitat

The question of what kind of fish community Onondaga Lake could support sustainably is of considerable interest, and many people associate a fish community dominated by cold-water adapted species, especially salmonids—trout and salmon—with the ideal. But this is not the ideal state for many healthy natural aquatic systems. The “fish space” metric was developed for Onondaga Lake as a useful tool for evaluating cool-water and cold-water fish habitat. The metric is based on dissolved oxygen (DO) and water temperature, two primary variables that determine the ability of fish species to maintain a viable population. Optimal DO and water temperature requirements differ for various fish species. This tool is a means to display changes in suitable habitat over both time and depth in the water column.

During most of summer, high water temperatures at the surface and low oxygen conditions in deeper cooler zones, render much of the lake unsuitable for cold-water species such as salmonids (Figure 6-20). Note, however, that the habitat for cold-water species increased after 2007. In contrast, the habitat for cool-water fish species such as walleye exists throughout most of the year, and the temporal data exhibit no trend toward expansion (Figure 6-21). The summer of 2015 was warm, and surface water temperatures within the lake exceeded preferred conditions for cold-water species for most of the summer. The impact of a warmer summer was less pronounced on habitat for cool-water species. This is because the surface water temperatures exceeded the preferred temperature range only sporadically from late July through mid-September.




Year	% Avail. Habitat ²	Total # Days in Range ³	# Consec. Days in Range ³	Year	% Avail. Habitat ²	Total # Days in Range ³	# Consec. Days in Range ³
2001	33	140	72	2009	47	156	80
2002	30	95	49	2010	45	142	71
2003	31	125	47	2011	37	131	77
2004	32	161	67	2012	40	119	68
2005	34	115	59	2013	43	153	70
2006	39	131	80	2014	45	142	68
2007	36	138	65	2015	40	133	66
2008	40	124	67				

¹ Default criteria: temperature $\leq 22^{\circ}\text{C}$ and DO ≥ 6 mg/L between May 15 and November 15.

² Assumes entire volume of the lake (May 15 to November 15) is available.

³ Number of days where temperature and DO are within range in at least a 1 meter vertical section of the lake (185 Day Max).

Figure 6-20. Cold-water fish habitat in Onondaga Lake in 2015 and trends in cold-water habitat availability, 2000–2015.



Year	% Avail. Habitat ²	Total # Days in Range ³	# Consec. Days in Range ³	Year	% Avail. Habitat ²	Total # Days in Range ³	# Consec. Days in Range ³
2001	46	185	185	2009	56	185	185
2002	40	153	67	2010	55	180	95
2003	39	172	87	2011	46	172	106
2004	45	185	185	2012	46	155	94
2005	43	162	89	2013	48	180	115
2006	47	179	101	2014	57	185	185
2007	49	184	102	2015	52	185	185
2008	53	185	185				

¹ Default criteria: temperature $\leq 25^{\circ}\text{C}$ and DO ≥ 5 mg/L between May 15 and November 15.

² Assumes entire volume of the lake (May 15 to November 15) is available.

³ Number of days where temperature and DO are within range in at least a 1 meter vertical section of the lake (185 Day Max).

Figure 6-21. Cool-water fish habitat in Onondaga Lake in 2015 and trends in cool-water habitat availability, 2000–2015.

6.6 Integrated Assessment of the Food Web

6.6.1 Introduction

The Onondaga Lake ecosystem continues to change, although the overall nutrient status has stabilized over the past few years (i.e., ammonia-N and phosphorus concentrations have remained relatively consistent since 2006). The reduced phosphorus and ammonia-N concentrations have resulted in a decrease in algal productivity and virtual elimination of nuisance cyanobacterial blooms. However, other less desirable species including Alewife, dreissenid mussels, Rudd (*Scardinius erythrophthalmus*) and recently, Round Goby are now present in Onondaga Lake and in other regional lakes also. Several successful year classes of Alewife have reduced the abundance of large *Daphnia*. This resulted in less grazing on phytoplankton thereby decreasing Secchi disk transparency values to those more typical of eutrophic conditions. A detailed report on Alewife abundance in Onondaga Lake in 2015 can be found in [Appendix F-4](#). Zebra mussels and quagga mussels have continued to expand deeper into the lake. Increased macrophyte coverage, last measured in 2013, has expanded nearshore habitat for many fish and presumably other aquatic animal species.

6.6.2 Influence of Alewives, Dreissenid Mussels, and Invasive Fish

Phytoplankton biomass in the lake has declined as a result of reduced phosphorus loading from Metro, but differences between the years after 2005 are affected by the abundance and efficiency of grazing organisms. New species of fish entering Onondaga Lake have had varying degrees of influence on the other biota. However, the influence of Alewife may be the most pronounced, because this species directly affects the size structure of the zooplankton community. In 2015, Alewife dominated the open water region of Onondaga Lake. This general pattern is consistent with a zooplankton community dominated by small *Bosmina longirostris* ([Appendix F-1](#)). Large *Daphnia*, which are correlated with higher water transparency, were only present in high abundance in years prior to 2002 and in 2008-2009, years with low Alewife abundance (Wang et al. 2010, Rudstam and Hotelling 2015).

Alewives are preyed on by larger, fish-eating species such as Largemouth Bass, Smallmouth Bass, Northern Pike, and Walleye. Studies conducted from 1987 to 1996 described Walleye populations as being low (Ringler et al. 1996); however, studies conducted in 2005 and 2006 (Siniscal 2009) documented substantial increases in Walleye abundance compared to earlier studies. Alewife abundance increased dramatically in Onondaga County's electrofishing samples in 2003 and remained high in 2004 to 2007, possibly providing a food source for Walleye.



Zebra Mussels (Left) and a Quagga Mussels (Right) Onondaga Lake, 2014.

The impacts of zebra and quagga mussel populations on the Onondaga Lake ecosystem currently are not fully understood. As filter feeders, dreissenid mussels have the potential to exert a pronounced effect on the plankton community and the transfer of nutrients and energy from the overlying water column to the benthos. The colonization of the littoral zone by dreissenid mussels, along with the improved trophic state of Onondaga Lake, has contributed to increased water clarity.

Dreissenid mussels are abundant in nearby Oneida Lake, and occupy a far greater proportion of the lake bottom. In Onondaga Lake, areas with overlying waters deeper than about 6 m become anaerobic during the summer period of thermal stratification, which limits dreissenids to a relatively small area of the benthic zone (refer to the lake's bathymetric map in [Figure 2-3](#)). In contrast, because of its shallow depth and its orientation with regard to prevailing winds, Oneida Lake does not develop stable thermal stratification in the summer. Thus, most of the entire bottom of Oneida Lake is suitable for colonization by mussels, especially quagga mussels at greater depths. Since 2005, total phosphorus concentrations have been similar in Onondaga Lake and Oneida Lake, yet chlorophyll-*a* concentrations (an indicator of phytoplankton abundance) have been considerably lower in Oneida Lake, as discussed in [Section 5.2.5](#). It is likely that this difference in grazing pressure by mussels can account for the difference in Chl-*a* between the two lakes.

The proliferation of zebra and quagga mussels in Onondaga Lake may be helping to support the increased abundance of several species by providing an abundant food source. Pumpkinseed, Freshwater Drum, Yellow Perch, Common Carp, Lake Sturgeon (*Acipenser*

fulvescens), and Round Goby feed on mussels and are likely benefiting from the increasing abundance of these mussels. Siniscal (2009) reported that the dominant *Lepomis* species shifted from Bluegill in the 1990s to Pumpkinseed in 2005 and 2006, and hypothesized that the switch in the littoral sunfish community could be a result of the introduction of dreissenid mussels. Adult Pumpkinseed feed on mollusks, while Bluegill tend to feed preferentially on zooplankton (Werner 2004). Consumption of mussels by multiple fish species provides a connection between the benthic-based food web and the pelagic-based food web. The increasing complexity of the overall food web in Onondaga Lake is an important sign that the lake is recovering from past environmental perturbations.



Round Goby.

Round Gobies were first collected in Onondaga Lake in 2010 and have continued to increase in abundance. Like Alewife they are preyed on by larger, fish-eating species and have been frequently observed being regurgitated by Largemouth Bass during electrofishing sampling events in Onondaga Lake. Hurley (2013) reported that Round Gobies were the most common food source of Largemouth Bass in Onondaga Lake based on the analysis of 137 stomach samples collected between May and July 2013. Where abundant, Round Goby can cause declines in native fish populations through their aggressive defense of nesting sites, predation on native fish and their eggs, and competition for food resources (Werner 2004). Additionally, Round Gobies spawn every 18-20 days and may potentially do so up to six times during a breeding season. This reproductive pattern gives them an ecological advantage over native species, which usually spawn only once (Dubs and Corkum 1996). Impacts of Round Goby invasion in Onondaga Lake are uncertain. However, in the Great Lakes and other areas where the Round Goby has become established, they have had a severely negative impact on native benthic fish populations, such as the Mottled Sculpin (*Cottus bairdi*) and Logperch (*Percina caprodes*).



Rudd.

The first documented occurrence of Rudd in Onondaga Lake was in 1994 when Arrigo (1998) captured three individuals. Every year since 2008, ESF, as part of the Honeywell monitoring program, has captured Rudd in trap nets with increasing frequency. The number of Rudd collected increased from 12 in 2008 to a high of 315 in 2014. In 2015 the total catch decreased to 100 Rudd. Hybridization between Rudd and Golden Shiner is probable, and such hybridization could threaten the genetic integrity of Golden Shiner (Burkhead and Williams 1991). Impacts on other native fishes are also a possibility. Small Rudd are vulnerable to predatory fish. Eklöv and Hamrin (1989) reported that Northern Pike selected Rudd over Eurasian Perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) in laboratory and field enclosure experiments, suggesting the potential to disrupt natural predator-prey relationships. Competition with native fishes for food is also a concern. In New Zealand, young-of-year Rudd fed on zooplankton and chironomids and larger Rudd fed on benthic invertebrates but became increasingly herbivorous at increased lengths (Hicks 2003). Feeding on zooplankton and chironomids could lead to competition between Rudd and many native fishes during the fishes' early life stages.

6.6.3 Macrophyte Coverage and Implications for the Fishery

In lakes, fish community structure and stability are associated with the presence, abundance, species composition, and structural heterogeneity of macrophytes (Weaver et al., 1996). Research indicates that there is a positive relationship between macrophyte coverage and Largemouth Bass production, up to a point. For Largemouth Bass, the optimum macrophyte coverage is between 36 and 60 percent of the littoral zone (Stuber et al. 1982, Wiley et al. 1987). It appears that macrophyte coverage in Onondaga Lake in 2013 (reported at 50%) was within this optimal range for Largemouth Bass. Catch rates of Largemouth Bass since 2013 have been the highest observed since the start of the AMP possibly reflecting this relationship.

Section 7. Literature Cited

- Arrigo, M. A. **1998**. Reproduction and recruitment of fishes in a in a hypereutrophic system (Onondaga Lake, New York). MS thesis. State University of New York, College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, NY. pp 121.
- Barton, D.R. R. A. Johnson, L. Campbell, J. Petruniak, and M. Patterson. **2005**. Effects of Round Gobies (*Neogobius melanostomus*) on Dreissenid Mussels and Other Invertebrates in Eastern Lake Erie, 2002–2004. J. Great Lakes Res. 31(Suppl. 2):252–261
- Baumann, P. C., D. R. LeBlanc, V. S. Blazer, J. R. Meier, J. R., S. T. Hurley, and Y. Kiry. **2008**. Prevalence of tumors in Brown Bullhead from three lakes in southeastern Massachusetts, 2002: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations, Report 2008–5198, pp 43. available online at <http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2008/5198>.
- Benson, A. J. **2014**. Chronological history of zebra and quagga mussels (Dreissenidae) in North America, 1988-2010. Pages 9-31 in T. F. Nalepa and D. W. Schloesser (editors). Quagga and zebra mussels: biology, impacts, and control, second edition. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Brooking, T. E., J. R. Jackson, L. G. Rudstam, and N. D. McBride. **2012**. Fisheries surveys of Canadarago Lake, New York. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Albany, NY.
- Brooks, J. L. and S. I. Dodson. **1965**. Predation, body size, and composition of the plankton. Science 150:28-35.
- Burkhead, N. M., and J. D. Williams. **1991**. An intergeneric hybrid of a native minnow, the golden shiner, and an exotic minnow, the rudd. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120:781-795.
- Callinan, C. W. **2001**. Water quality study of the Finger Lakes. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. http://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/water_pdf/synopticwq.pdf
- Carlton, J. T. **2008**. The zebra mussel *Dreissena polymorpha* found in North America in 1986 and 1987. Journal of Great Lakes Research 34:770-773.
- Carpenter, S. R., J. F. Kitchell, J. R. Hodgson, P. A. Cochran, J. J. Elser, M. M. Elser, D. M. Lodge, D. Kretchmer, X. He, and C. N. von Ende. **1987**. Regulation of lake primary productivity by food web structure. Ecology 68(6):1863-1876.

- Cooke, G. D., E. B. Welch, S. A. Peterson and S. A. Nichols. **2005**. Restoration and management of lakes and reservoirs. 3rd Edition. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. pp 591.
- CR Environmental, Inc. **2007**. Onondaga Lake Phase 1 Pre-design Investigation Geophysical Survey Report. Prepared for Parsons, Syracuse, NY. East Falmouth, MA. pp 167.
- Dubs D. O. L. and Corkum L. D. **1996**. Behavioral interactions between round gobies (*Neogobius melanostomus*) and mottled sculpins (*Cottus bairdi*). Journal of Great Lakes Research 22:838-844.
- Edwards, E. A., G. Gebhart, and O.E. Maughan. **1983**. Habitat suitability information: Smallmouth bass. U.S. Dept. Int. Fish. Wildl. Serv. FWS/OBS-82/10.36.
- Effler, S. W. **1996**. Limnological and engineering analysis of a polluted urban lake. Prelude to environmental management of Onondaga Lake, New York. New York (NY): Springer-Verlag.
- Effler, S. W., M. T. Auer, F. Peng, M. G. Perkins, S. M. O'Donnell, A. R. Prestigiacomo, D. A. Matthews, P. A. DePetro, R. S. Lambert and N. M. Minott. **2012**. Factors diminishing the effectiveness of phosphorus loading from municipal waste effluent: Critical information for TMDL analyses. Water Environment Research 84(3):254-264.
- Effler, S. W., R. K. Gelda, M. G. Perkins, F. Peng, N. G. Hairston and C. M. Kearns. **2008**. Patterns and modeling of the long-term optics record of Onondaga Lake, New York. Fundamental and Applied Limnology 172:217-237.
- Effler, S. W., A. R. Prestigiacomo, D. A. Matthews, E. M. Michalenko, and D. J. Hughes. **2009**. Partitioning phosphorus concentrations and loads in tributaries of recovering urban lake. Lake and Reservoir Management 25:225-239.
- Effler, S. W., S. M. O'Donnell, D. A. Matthews, C. M. Matthews, D. M. O'Donnell, M. T. Auer, and E. M. Owens, **2002**. Limnological and loading information and a phosphorus total maximum daily load analysis for Onondaga Lake. Lake and Reservoir Management 18:87-108.
- Eklöv, P. and S. F. Hamrin. **1989**. Predatory efficiency and prey selection: interactions between pike *Esox lucius*, perch *Perca fluviatilis* and rudd *Scardinius erythrophthalmus* Oikos, 56:149-156.
- Gandino, C. **1996**. Community structure and population characteristics of fishes in a recovering New York lake. M.S. thesis SUNY-ESF. Syracuse, NY.

- Hicks, B. J. **2003**. Biology and potential impacts of rudd (*Scardinius erythrophthalmus* L.). In Invasive Freshwater Fish in New Zealand, Department of Conservation, Hamilton, NZ, pp. 49-58.
- Higgins, S. N. and M. J. Vander Zanden. **2010**. What a difference a species makes: a meta-analysis of dreissenid mussel impacts on freshwater ecosystems. *Ecological Monographs* 80:179-196.
- Hurley, D. **2013**. Comparison of *Micopterus salmoides* population characteristics between two basins in Onondaga Lake, New York. Final Report Edna Bailey Sussman Foundation. pp 5.
- Idrisi, N. E. L. Mills, L. G. Rudstam, and D. J. Stewart. **2001**. Impact of zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) on the pelagic lower trophic levels of Oneida Lake, New York. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 58: 1430–1441
- Jackson, J. R., L. G. Rudstam, T. E. Brooking, S. D. Krueger, K. T. Holeck, C. Hotaling, and J. L. Forney. **2012**. The Fisheries and Limnology of Oneida Lake 2000-2011. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Albany, NY.
- James, W. F., J. W. Barko, and H. L. Eakin, **2001**. “Direct and indirect impacts of submersed aquatic vegetation on the nutrient budget of an urban oxbow lake,” APCRP Technical Notes Collection (ERDC TN-APCRP-EA-02), U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.
- Karatayev, A., L. E. Burlakova, and D. K. Padilla. **1997**. The effects of *Dreissena polymorpha* (Pallas) invasion on aquatic communities in Eastern Europe. *J. Shellfish Res.* 16:187-203.
- Karatayev, A. Y., L. E. Burlakova, and D. K. Padilla. **2002**. Impacts of zebra mussels on aquatic communities and their role as ecosystem engineers. Pages 433-447 in E. Leppäkoski, S. Olenin, and S. Gollasch (editors). *Invasive aquatic species of Europe*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands.
- Kishbaugh, S. A. **2009**. NY State Citizens Statewide Lake Assessment Program (CSLAP): Interpretive Summary: Cazenovia Lake 2008.
- Lathrop, R. C., S. R. Carpenter, and D. M. Robertson. **1999**. Summer water clarity responses to phosphorus, *Daphnia* grazing, and internal mixing in Lake Mendota. *Limnology and Oceanography* 44:137-146.
- Lederer, A.M. J. Janssen, T. Reed and A. Wolf. **2008**. Impacts of the Introduced Round Goby (*Apollonia melanostoma*) on Dreissenids (*Dreissena polymorpha* and *Dreissena bugensis*) and on Macroinvertebrate Community between 2003 and 2006 in the Littoral Zone of Green Bay, Lake Michigan. *J. Great Lakes Res.* 34:690–697

- Magoulick, D. D., L. C. Lewis. **2002**. Predation on exotic zebra mussels by native fishes: effects on predator and prey. *Freshwater Biology*. 47, 1908–1918.
- Matthews, D. A., D. B. Babcock, J. G. Nolan, A. R. Prestigiacomo, S. W. Effler, C. T. Driscoll, S. G. Todorova and K. M. Kuhr. **2013**. Whole-lake nitrate addition for control of methylmercury in mercury-contaminated Onondaga Lake, NY. *Environmental Research* 125: 52-60.
- Matthews, D. A., S. W. Effler, A. R. Prestigiacomo and S. M. O’Donnell. **2015**. Trophic state responses of Onondaga Lake, New York, to reductions in phosphorus loading from advanced wastewater treatment. *Inland Waters* 5(2): 125-138.
- Mayer, C. M., L. E. Burlakova, P. Eklöv, D. Fitzgerald, A. Y. Karatayev, S. A. Ludsin, S. Millard, E. L. Mills, A. P. Ostapenya, L. G. Rudstam, B. Zhu, and T. V. Zhukova. **2014**. The benthification of freshwater lakes: exotic mussels turning ecosystems upside down Pages 575-585 in T. F. Nalepa and D. W. Schloesser, editors. *Quagga and zebra mussels: biology, impacts, and control*, second edition. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Mills, E. L., R. M. Dermott, E. F. Roseman, D. Dustin, E. Mellina, D. B. Conn, and A. P. Spidle. **1993**. Colonization, ecology, and population structure of the quagga mussel (*Bivalvia, Dreissenidae*) in the lower Great Lakes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 50:2305-2314.
- Mills, E. L., G. Rosenberg, A. P. Spidle, M. Ludyanskiy, Y. Pligin, and B. May. **1996**. A review of the biology and ecology of the quagga mussel (*Dreissena bugensis*), a second species of freshwater dreissenid introduced to North America. *American Zoologist* 36:271-286.
- Naddafi, R., K. Pettersson, and P. Eklo. **2010**. Predation and physical environment structure the density and population size structure of zebra mussels. *J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc.* 29(2):444–453
- NYSDEC (New York State Department of Environmental Conservation). **2009**. The New York State Consolidated Assessment and Listing Methodology. 23 p.
- Pinkney, A. E., J. C. Harshbarger, E. B. May, M. J. Melanco. **2004**. Tumor prevalence and biomarkers of exposure in Brown Bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) from Black River, Furnace Creek, and Tuckahoe River, Maryland. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 46(4): 492-501
- Post, D. M., E. P. Palkovacs, E. G. Schielke, and S. I. Dodson. **2008**. Intraspecific variation in a predator affects community structure and cascading trophic interactions. *Ecology* 89:2019-2032.
- Ringler, N. H., C. Gandino, P. Hirethota, R. Danehy, P. Tango, C. Morgan, C. Millard, M. Murphy, M. A. Arrigo, R. J. Sloan, S. W. Effler. **1996**. *Fish Communities and Habitats in Onondaga*

- Lake, Adjoining portions of the Seneca River, and Tributaries. (Chapter 6.5) In: S.W. Effler (editor). 1996. Limnological and Engineering Analysis of a Polluted Urban Lake: Limnology and Environmental Management of a Polluted Urban Lake. Prelude to Environmental Management of Onondaga Lake, New York. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY. pp 832.
- Robbins, W. H., and A. R. MacCrimmon. **1974**. The black bass in America and overseas. Biomangement and Research Enterprises, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario.
- Roe, S. L. and H. J. MacIsaac. **1997**. Deepwater population structure and reproductive state of quagga mussels (*Dreissena bugensis*) in Lake Erie. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 54:2428-2433.
- Rudstam, L. G. and C. Hotelling. 2015. Assessing community structure of lower trophic levels in Onondaga Lake, New York in 2014. Onondaga County.
- Rudstam, L. G., R. C. Lathrop and S. R. Carpenter. **1993**. The rise and fall of a dominant planktivore: direct and indirect effects on zooplankton. Ecology 74:303-319.
- Rudstam, L. G., P. G. Rudstam, and E. M. Keller. **2014**. Alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) abundance in Onondaga Lake, 2013. A report to Onondaga County.
<http://knb.ecoinformatics.org/knb/metacat/kgordon.35.49/default>
- Rudstam, L. G. **2015**. Limnological data and depth profile from Oneida Lake, New York, 1975-present. KNB Data Repository. <http://knb.ecoinformatics.org/#view/kgordon.35.69>
- Rudstam, L. G., E. L. Mills, J. R. Jackson, and D. J. Stewart. **2016**. An introduction to the Oneida Lake research program and data sets. Pages 3-12 in L. G. Rudstam, E. L. Mills, J. R. Jackson, and D. J. Stewart, editors. Oneida Lake: Long-term dynamics of a managed ecosystem and its fishery. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Shapiro, J. and D. I. Wright. **1984**. Lake restoration by biomanipulation: Round Lake, Minnesota, the first two years. Freshwater Biology 14:371-383.
- Siniscal, A. C. **2009**. Characterization of the fish community of a recovering ecosystem, Onondaga Lake, New York. MS Thesis. State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, N.Y. 108 pp.
- Sivonen K., and G. Jones. **1999**. Bacterial cytotoxins, Ch. 3 in Bacterial cyanobacteria in water: a guide to their public health consequences, monitoring and management, I. Chorus and J. Bartram, eds. WHO ISBN 0-419-23930-8. Available:
http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/resourcesquality/toxcyanchap3.pdf.

- Smith, V. H. **1983**. Low N to P favor dominance by blue-green algae in lake phytoplankton. *Science* 225:669-671.
- Stuber, R. J., G. Gebhart, and O. E. Maughan. **1982**. Habitat suitability index models: Largemouth bass. U.S. Dept. of Interior, Fish and Wildl. Service. FWS/OBS-82/10.16.
- Tango, P. J. **1999**. Fish community ecology of a hypereutrophic urban lake. PhD dissertation. State University of New York, College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, NY. pp 92.
- Upstate Freshwater Institute, Anchor QEA LLC, Onondaga County Department of Water Environment Protection, L. Rudstam, and W. W. Walker. **2014**. Onondaga 2012 Lake ambient monitoring program. 2012 Annual Report. Onondaga County, NY.
- Wang, R. W., L. G. Rudstam, T. E. Brooking, D. J. Snyder, M. A. Arrigo, and E. L. Mills. **2010**. Food web effects and the disappearance of the spring clear water phase in Onondaga Lake following nutrient loading reductions. *Lake and Reservoir Management* 26:169-177.
- Watzin, M. C., K. Joppe-Mercure, J. Rowder, B. Lancaster and L. Bronson. **2008**. Significant fish predation on zebra mussels *Dreissena polymorpha* in Lake Champlain, U.S.A. *Journal of Fish Biology*. 73, 1585–1599.
- Weaver, M. J., J. J. Magnuson and M. K. Clayton, **1996**. Habitat heterogeneity and fish community structure interference from northern temperate lakes. *American Fisheries Society Symposium* 16: 335-46.
- Werner, R. G. **2004**. *Freshwater Fishes of the Northeastern United States*. Syracuse University Press, Syracuse NY. pp 335.
- Wetzel R. G. **2001**. *Limnology, Lake and River Ecosystems*. Third Edition. Academic Press NY.
- Wiley, M. J., P. P. Tazik, and S. T. Sobaski. **1987**. Controlling aquatic vegetation with triploid grass carp. Circular 57. Ill. Nat. History Surv., Champaign, IL.

List of Acronyms

AMP	Ambient Monitoring Program
ACJ	Amended Consent Judgment
ASLF	Atlantic States Legal Foundation
AWQS	Ambient Water Quality Standards
BAF	Biological Aerated Filter
BMP	Best Management Practices
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
CFU	Colony Forming Units
CPUE	Catch Per Unit Effort
CSO	Combined Sewer Overflow
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DVT	Data Visualization Tool
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
GIS	Geographic Information System
HBI	Hilsenhoff Biotic Index
HRFS	High Rate Flocculated Settling
METRO	Metropolitan Syracuse Wastewater Treatment Plant
MRL	Method Reporting Limit
N	Nitrogen
NYCRR	Official Compilation of the Rules and Regulations of the State of New York
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NPL	National Priority List

NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
NYSDOH	New York State Department of Health
OCDWEP	Onondaga County Department of Water Environment Protection
OLTAC	Onondaga Lake Technical Advisory Committee
OLWQM	Onondaga Lake Water Quality Model
PWL	Priority Waterbodies List
RSE	Relative Standard Error
SPDES	State Pollution Discharge Elimination System
SRP	Soluble Reactive Phosphorus
SSO	Sanitary Sewer Overflow
TKN	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TP	Total Phosphorus
TRWQM	Three Rivers Water Quality Model
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
UFI	Upstate Freshwater Institute
USGS	United States Geological Survey

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
303(d List)	--	the list of impaired and threatened waters (stream/river segments, lakes) that the Clean Water Act requires all states to submit for EPA approval every two years on even-numbered years. The states identify all waters where required pollution controls are not sufficient to attain or maintain applicable water quality standards, and establish priorities for development of TMDLs based on the severity of the pollution and the sensitivity of the uses to be made of the waters, among other factors (40C.F.R. §130.7(b)(4)).
Ambient Monitoring Program	AMP	Onondaga County's comprehensive program to evaluate the quality of the waterways [in Onondaga County] and track changes brought about by the improvements to the wastewater collection and treatment infrastructure and reductions in watershed sources of nutrients.
Amended Consent Judgment	ACJ	A legal finding or ruling. In this case, in 1998, an Amended Consent Judgment (ACJ) between Onondaga County, New York State and Atlantic States Legal Foundation was signed to resolve a lawsuit filed against Onondaga County for violations of the Clean Water Act. The lawsuit alleged that discharges from the Metropolitan Syracuse Wastewater Treatment Plant (Metro) and overflows from the combined sewer system (CSOs) precluded Onondaga Lake from meeting its designated best use. The ACJ obligates the County to undertake a phased program of wastewater collection and treatment improvements that will extend through the year 2012, monitor water quality response, and report annually on

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
		progress towards compliance.
Ambient Water Quality Standard	AWQS	Enforceable limits on the concentration of pollutants designed to protect a designated use of the waterbody. Standards are promulgated by NY State and approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
ammonia-N	NH₃-N	An important form of nitrogen that is the end product of the decomposition of organic material; it is used by phytoplankton for growth.
assimilative capacity	--	The capacity of a natural body of water to receive wastewaters or toxic materials without deleterious effects to its designated use (e.g., without damage to aquatic life or humans who consume the water).
AUTOFLUX	AUTOFLUX	A customized software package developed by Dr. William Walker and used by Onondaga County WEP staff to estimate loading of water quality constituents (nutrients) to Onondaga Lake. The program uses continuous flow data and less frequent (often biweekly) tributary water quality samples to estimate annual loading rates.
biochemical oxygen demand 5 day	BOD₅	The amount of oxygen a water sample's chemical and biological composition will consume over a 5 day incubation period. The higher the BOD ₅ , the more oxygen used by the sample. Generally, the higher BOD ₅ means lower water quality
Biological Aerated Filter	BAF	A combination standard filtration with biological treatment of wastewater. BAF usually includes a reactor filled with a filter media either in suspension or supported by a gravel layer. The dual purpose of this media is to support highly active microbes which remove dissolved nutrients from wastewater and to filter particulates.
Best Management	BMPs	A combined group of activities designed

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
Practices		minimize the amount of pollution that reaches a body of water. BMPs can be applied to agricultural, urban, and/or industrial areas as preventative measures to protect water quality.
bicarbonate	HCO₃⁻	Serves a crucial biochemical role in the physiological pH buffering water in natural systems and thereby minimize the disturbance of biological activities in these systems
calcium	Ca	A nutrient required by aquatic plants and some algae for proper metabolism and growth. Calcium, normally as calcium carbonate, is also a common contributor to water hardness.
catch per unit effort	CPUE	An indirect measure of the abundance of a target species
chloride	Cl	A halogen element usually associated with metallic elements in the form of salts.
chlorophyll-<i>a</i>	Chl-<i>a</i>	A pigment used by plants and algae for photosynthesis. Chlorophyll concentration in lakes is used as a surrogate for estimating the amount of algae present.
combined sewer overflows	CSOs	A discharge of untreated sewage and stormwater to a water body; CSOs occur when the capacity of a combined storm/sanitary sewer system is exceeded by storm runoff.
conductivity	--	The measure of the ability of water to conduct electricity
cultural eutrophication	--	An increase in a water body's biological production due to human activities. Cultural eutrophication usually results in negative water quality impacts such as loss of clarity, increased algal blooms, decreased oxygen resources, and accumulation of reduced species
dissolved oxygen	DO	Dissolved form of oxygen, (dissolved in water) an indicator of the quality of water to support fish and aquatic organisms.
ecosystem	--	An interrelated and interdependent

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
		community of plants, animals, and the physical environment in which they live
Environmental Protection Agency	EPA	The federal agency responsible for the conservation, improvement, and protection of natural resources within the US.
eutrophic	--	Systems with high levels of productivity
fecal coliform bacteria	FC	Microscopic single-celled organisms found in the wastes of warm-blooded animals. Their presence in water is used to assess the sanitary quality of water for body-contact recreation or for consumption. Their presence indicates contamination by the wastes of warm-blooded animals and the possible presence of pathogenic (disease producing) organisms.
frustules	--	Silica-rich external cell walls of diatoms.
guidance value	--	Best professional judgment of the maximum concentration of certain pollutants that will protect a designated use.
High-Rate Flocculated Settling	HRFS or Actiflo®,	An advanced process used in the treatment of municipal wastewater. Actiflo™ is a compact process that operates with microsand (Actisand™) as a seed for floc formation. Actisand™ provides surface area that enhances flocculation and also acts as a ballast or weight to aid a rapid settlement.
Hilsenhoff Biological Index	HBI	An index that uses species-defined pollution tolerance levels to assess the overall tolerance level of a community of organisms, and is an indicator of water quality.
hypolimnion	--	Deep, cold waters of a stratified lake; portion of the lake volume that remains isolated from atmospheric exchange during periods of thermal stratification
hypoxia	--	Low dissolved oxygen conditions of a water body which is detrimental to aerobic organisms.

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
indicator bacteria	--	Bacteria used to indicate the potential presence of pathogenic (disease-causing) microorganisms in water (see also fecal coliform bacteria).
interrelatedness	--	The degree to which organisms in an ecosystem interact and are influenced by other organisms. Pathways of interaction between species in an ecosystem
littoral zone	--	Shallow water zone at the edges of lakes, where light reaches the sediment surface
magnesium	Mg	A metallic element required by algae for the production of chlorophyll.
metrics	--	Quantifiable physical, chemical and/or biological attributes of an ecosystem that responds to human disturbances; also, measurable attributes of the ecosystem that indicate whether a desired state has been achieved. Good metrics are cost-effective to measure, associated with low uncertainty, relevant to stakeholders and sensitive to anticipated changes.
mercury	Hg	A trace metal element that is toxic to aquatic life and humans.
mesotrophic	--	Systems with mid-levels of productivity; between eutrophic and oligotrophic
Metropolitan Syracuse Wastewater Treatment Plant	Metro	The wastewater treatment plant that treats the municipal waste from the City of Syracuse and large portions of Onondaga County, located in Syracuse, NY near Onondaga Lake.
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation	NYSDEC	The state agency responsible for the conservation, improvement, and protection of natural resources within the state of New York.
New York State Department of Health	NYSDOH	
nanograms per liter	ng/L	A concentration unit. One billionth of a gram per liter or 10^{-9} g per liter
nitrate-N	NO₃-N	A form of nitrogen used by phytoplankton for growth; the end product of nitrification. In addition, the final stages of

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
		wastewater treatment at Metro produces large quantities of nitrate-N that is discharged to Onondaga Lake.
nitrite-N	NO₂-N	A form of nitrogen formed in the intermediate step of nitrification. Accumulation of nitrite-N can be toxic to aquatic organisms.
nitrogen	N	A common element required by algae for growth. In aquatic ecosystems, nitrogen is usually in abundance and does not limit algal growth in most freshwater systems.
oligotrophic	--	Systems with low levels of productivity
Onondaga Lake Technical Advisory Committee	OLTAC	
organic nitrogen	--	The total amount of nitrogen in a water sample, associated with total (particulate and dissolved) organic matter.
oxidation-reduction potential	Redox or ORP	A measure (in volts) of the affinity of a substance for electrons. The value is compared to that for hydrogen, which is set at zero. Substances that are more strongly oxidizing than hydrogen have positive redox potentials (oxidizing agents); substances more reducing than hydrogen have negative redox potentials (reducing agents). In Onondaga Lake's hypolimnion, ORP declines as organic material is decomposed.
particulate phosphorus	PP	The non-dissolved fraction of total phosphorus.
pelagic zone	--	Any water in the sea of a lake that is not near the bottom or the shore.
pH	pH	The negative log of the hydrogen ion concentration commonly used to quantify the acidity of a waterbody. pH is an important regulator of chemical reactions in ecosystems.
phosphorus	P	A common element required by algae for growth. In freshwater aquatic ecosystems, phosphorus is usually the nutrient limiting phytoplankton production. Increases in

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
		phosphorus can result in accelerated eutrophication.
photic zone	--	Upper layer of the water column where light penetration is sufficient for photosynthesis (algal growth).
phytoplankton	--	The community of algae and cyanobacteria present a water body.
percent model affinity	PMA	A measure of similarity of a sampled community to a model non-impacted community, using percent abundance of 7 major groups to quantify the community structure. The closer the similarity of the sampled community structure is to the model non-impacted community structure, the more likely that the sampled community is non-impacted.
potassium	K	A common alkali metal element necessary for proper growth and functioning of aquatic organisms.
profundal	--	The deep zone in an inland lake below the range of effective light penetration, typically below the thermocline
Secchi disk	SD	A round disk, 25 cm in diameter, with alternating quadrants of black and white commonly used in limnology to quantify the clarity of surface waters. The disc is lowered through the water column on a calibrated line, and the depth at which it is no longer visible is recorded; thus indicating water clarity.
silica	Si	A metallic element used by phytoplankton for construction of cellular structures
soluble reactive phosphorus	SRP	A dissolved form of phosphorus that is most readily used by algae for growth.
sodium	Na	A common metallic element in aquatic ecosystems usually associated with chloride, NaCl a common form of salt

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
sonde	--	A compact monitoring device that includes one or more sensors or probes to measure water quality parameters, such as temperature, pH, salinity, oxygen content, and turbidity directly, eliminating the need to collect samples and transport them to a laboratory for analysis.
specific conductance	SC	Conductivity normalized to 25°C.
species diversity	--	A common ecological measure of the abundance and relative frequency of species in an ecosystem.
species evenness	--	A measure of the relative abundance of different species in an ecological community.
species richness	--	The number of different species represented in an ecological community
stoichiometric	--	The ratio of required elements needed for a chemical reaction; in this context, refers to the ratio of N and P required by phytoplankton for metabolism.
sulfate	SO₄²⁻	A compound in abundance in Onondaga Lake due to the large quantities of gypsum (naturally occurring geological formation) in the lake's watershed. SO ₄ ²⁻ can be converted to hydrogen sulfide when oxygen is depleted.
total dissolved phosphorus	TDP	A dissolved form of phosphorus that is used by algal for growth. TDP is not as readily available as SRP.
total dissolved solids	TDS	A common measure of the amount of salts in a water body.
total inorganic carbon	TIC	The total amount of carbon in a water sample, not associated with organic matter.
total Kjehldahl nitrogen	TKN	A measure of the concentration of organic nitrogen and ammonia-N in a water sample.
Total Maximum Daily Load	TMDL	An allocation of the mass of a pollutant that can be added to a water body without deleterious effects to its designated use.

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
total organic carbon	TOC	The total amount of carbon in a water sample, associated with total (particulate and dissolved) organic matter
total nitrogen	TN	The total amount of nitrogen in a water sample, associated with particulate and dissolved organic and inorganic matter.
total organic carbon filtered	TOC_f	The total amount of carbon in a water sample, associated with dissolved organic matter.
total phosphorus	TP	The total amount (dissolved plus particulate) of phosphorus in a water sample. TP is a common metric of water quality of aquatic ecosystems and an important water quality standard in Onondaga Lake is determined using surface water TP concentration during the summer months.
total suspended solids	TSS	The amount of particulate material in a water sample.
trophic state	--	The status of a water body with regard to its level of primary production (production of organic matter through photosynthesis)
micrograms per liter	µg/L	A concentration unit. One millionth of a gram per liter or 10 ⁻⁶ g per liter
milligram per liter	mg/L	A concentration unit. One thousandths of a gram per liter or 10 ⁻³ g per liter
volatile suspended solids	VSS	The total amount of organic particulate matter in a water sample (a fraction of TSS).
volume days of anoxia	--	A metric that integrates the volume of the lake water affected by low dissolved oxygen (DO) conditions over the duration of the low DO.
water year	--	The continuous 12-month period, October 1 through September 30. The water year is designated by the calendar year in which it ends and which includes 9 of the 12 months. Thus, the year ending September 30, 2010, is referred to as the 2010 water year.

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
watershed	--	The area of land that drains into a body of water
Water Environment Protection	WEP	The agency in Onondaga County, NY responsible for wastewater and stormwater treatment as well as the monitoring and protection of all water resources in the county.